arXiv:1210.6645v1 [math-ph] 24 Oct 2012

The translation invariant massive Nelson model: III. Asymptotic completeness below the two-boson threshold

Wojciech Dybalski
Zentrum Mathematik, TU München
email: dybalski@ma.tum.de

and

Jacob Schach Møller
Department of Mathematics, Aarhus University
email: jacob@imf.au.dk

October 25, 2012

Abstract

This paper is concerned with the problem of proving asymptotic completeness for a class of translation invariant models describing a single quantum particle (the electron) linearly coupled to a massive scalar field (bosons). Our approach, which is based on a recently established Mourre estimate for these models, yields asymptotic completeness in an energy-momentum regime describing scattering of dressed particles and single asymptotic bosons. We impose no number cutoff, no restriction on the particle-field coupling strength, and no restriction on the magnitude of total momentum. Energy, however, is restricted to be below the threshold for energetic support of incoming/outgoing states containing more than one boson. The class of models we consider include the UV-cutoff Nelson and polaron models.

1 Introduction

In 1987 Sigal and Soffer published their famous paper on asymptotic completeness (AC) of many-body non-relativistic quantum systems with short-range forces [52]. It was a crowning achievement, solving a long-standing central problem in mathematical physics. The paper was followed in 1990 by Graf's more elegant proof [34], and the ideas so effectively used in these papers led to a long string of beautiful and far reaching AC results for many-body quantum systems in the following two decades. We mention here Dereziński's treatment of long-range interactions [11], the works [1, 37] on scattering in constant electric fields, and the papers [31, 53] on scattering in the presence of magnetic fields. The monograph [12] covers the fundamental results of [11, 34, 52], while the monograph [32] covers much of the work on scattering in magnetic fields.

With the pioneering works of Hübner-Spohn [39, 40] and Bach-Fröhlich-Sigal [5, 6] in the mid 90's, it became apparent that many of the techniques developed to deal with spectral and scattering problems for many-body quantum mechanics were in fact also applicable to models of quantized matter interacting with second quantized fields, sometimes called non-relativistic QFT. These models range from finite level systems interacting with scalar fields, e.g. the spin-boson model, to models of atoms and molecules minimally coupled to a second quantized Maxwell field. They include models from solid state physics, like the polaron model [22], describing electrons interacting with vibrational modes of crystals, i.e. phonons. We recall that acoustic phonons are modelled by massless fields, and optical phonons by massive fields.

In the dipole approximation and for harmonic external potentials some of these models are exactly solvable and asymptotic completeness can be verified directly [4]. It was shown by Spohn [56] that asymptotic completeness also holds if the external potentials slightly deviate from harmonicity. The first proof of AC in non-relativistic QFT beyond the dipole approximation was given soon after by Dereziński and Gérard [13]. It covers confined quantum systems coupled to a massive scalar field with the coupling term linear in the field. Confined quantum systems include finite level systems as well as electrons/atoms/molecules in external (sufficiently) confining traps. In particular, the massive spin-boson model and a confined version of the Nelson model [49] describing a system of quantum particles interacting with a massive scalar field, are covered by [13]. The following years saw AC proved for spatially cutoff $P(\phi)_2$ models [14], the ultraviolet renormalized massive Nelson model [2], and in [24] the work of Dereziński and Gérard was refined to cover more realistic confinements, like external Coulomb potentials, with AC established in a spectral subspace below the ionization threshold where the matter does not scatter.

After the publication of [24], the field was left with two gaping holes, which we now discuss. The latter is addressed by the present paper.

The results of [2, 13, 14, 24] all dealt with (effectively) massive bosons, leaving out for example the second quantized Maxwell field and acoustic phonons. The reason being the infamous infrared problem, which in the context of scattering theory manifests itself in the lack of control of the expectation value of the number operator at large times, i.e. the interaction may generate a diverging number of soft bosons as time increases. This issue is a lot more severe in scattering theory than in spectral theory. In fact – until very recently – only a complete decoupling of the soft bosons made the problem tractable [24]; indeed, the decoupling splits the Hamiltonian into a sum of a massive interacting Hamiltonian for the hard bosons, and a free massless one for the soft bosons. We refer the reader to [30] where AC, for confined massless Nelson models with interacting soft bosons, is proved in a spectral subspace constructed precisely such that the number operator is kept under control.

Proving AC for massless models of non-relativistic QFT is one of the major open problems in mathematical scattering theory today. As alluded to above, some important progress was recently made. In late 2011 De Roeck and Kupiainen established asymptotic number bounds for the massless spin-boson model in the weak coupling regime [10]. At the same time Faupin and Sigal were working on proving AC, assuming an asymptotic number bound [20]. These two papers were followed by a coup de grace [21] in the summer of 2012, delivered by Faupin and Sigal, bridging the gap between [10] and [20], thus establishing AC for the massless spin-boson model in the weak coupling regime. For a discussion of the spectral analysis of massless confined models see e.g. [7, 27, 28, 36, 44].

Secondly, the results discussed so far only addressed models where the matter part is effectively confined. As a stark example of a situation not covered we mention the dynamics of a single charged particle moving freely in space, interacting only with a quantized field, e.g. radiation (QED models) or phonons (solid state models). In 2004 Fröhlich, Griesemer and Schlein addressed this problem in the paper [25], which is the work closest to ours in intent. In [25] the authors study a single free electron interacting with a scalar massless radiation field, with non-interacting soft photons to avoid infrared issues as discussed in the preceding paragraph. In addition, the following restrictions are imposed: **(1)** The strength of the electron-field coupling should be sufficiently small. **(2)** The total momentum of the combined system, a conserved quantity, should be below an explicitly given value. Understanding the origin of these two restrictions is crucial. The interacting electron is an effective particle consisting of the bare electron itself, and a photon cloud, with dynamics governed by an effective dispersion relation Σ_0, which is a function of total momentum ξ. The restriction (1) ensures that Σ_0 is close to the unperturbed dispersion relation for a non-relativistic electron. Due to Cerenkov radiation, the effective dispersion is only expected to exist for ξ in a ball around the origin. This is one source for the restriction (2). The other, and for us more fundamental, source for (2) is that for ξ sufficiently small, the group velocity of the dressed particle is much smaller than the velocity of light thus ensuring that the asymptotic photons escape the dressed electron and hence the interaction region. Here, as opposed to [24], it is crucial that the authors have kept the massless dispersion relation $|k|$ for the photons, which ensures that asymptotic photons have momentum independent speed equal to 1. An additional crucial spectral consequence of keeping the massless dispersion relation, is that at low energies and weak electron-field coupling one has an easy virial argument for the non-existence of alternative scattering channels, i.e. excited mass shells. In [26] the authors extend the above analysis to a setup where the electron is replaced by a hydrogen atom, and an energy localization ensures that the electron is bound to the nucleus, while the dynamical atom now plays the role of the electron coupled to a radiation field as in [25]. The restrictions imposed and the reasons for them are the same as in [25].

This brings us up to date with the literature and we are now ready to explain, in non-technical terms, the central contributions of the present paper. The model we consider is that of a free quantum particle, e.g. a non-relativistic electron, linearly coupled to a UV-cutoff massive scalar field, e.g. longitudinal optical phonons or massive relativistic bosons. In particular this covers the translation invariant massive Nelson model [49] and Fröhlich's polaron model [22].

The isolated energy-momentum spectrum, i.e. the region below the one-boson threshold, is under our assumptions an analytic variety. It consists of the ground state mass shell, which is non-degenerate for all total momenta, and possibly excited isolated mass shells that may cross each other. To each mass shell one can associate a distinct dressed particle species. They have different dispersion relations, hence different masses, and some may even have group velocity in a direction opposite to momentum (non-increasing dispersion).

Incoming and outgoing states are of the form $\Psi \otimes \eta$, where Ψ is a dressed particle state (or superpositions thereof) and η is a vector in Fock space describing a collection of free asymptotic bosons. We note in passing that during a scattering process the outgoing dressed particle may differ from the incoming dressed particle i.e. the dressed particle species may not be conserved by collisions with bosons. The central objects of our investigation are the (conventional) wave operators which map incoming/outgoing states to states in the physical Hilbert space. In particular $\Psi \otimes |0\rangle$, where $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum vector, is mapped into the dressed particle state Ψ. For general η, vectors from the ranges of the wave operators describe scattering states of dressed electrons and bosons. As usual, AC is defined as unitarity of the wave operators, which means that all states of physical interest belong to their ranges. More concisely, the physical state space is identified through the wave operator with superpositions of free dressed particle states tensored with free asymptotic boson states and the wave operator intertwines the interacting Hamiltonian with a direct sum of dressed particle kinetic energies tensored with field energies. We stress that in these models the observed bosons are identical to the bare bosons, unlike in models with non-trivial quasiparticle content [15].

Existence of the wave operators is known for the Nelson model [13], but not for the polaron model. In the present paper we construct the wave operators and prove AC under rather natural assumptions which cover both the Nelson and polaron case: We employ no number cutoff, hence the dressed particles consist of a bare electron accompanied by an infinite virtual boson cloud. There are no restrictions on the electron-field coupling strength and no limitations on the magnitude of total momentum. The energy is only restricted by the (total momentum dependent) two-boson threshold which defines the largest spectral subspace on which only single-boson scattering processes take place. Above this threshold, we are not – yet – able to handle the plethora of scattering channels available.

The main result of the present paper, described above in general terms, was proven after a rather extensive investigation involving several test cases. The first test case was published recently in [33], where one of us together with C. Gérard and M. G. Rasmussen considered the same model but restricted by a cutoff in boson number to the vacuum and one-particle sectors. This has the effect of removing the need for an energy restriction, since by design interacting states can hold at most one boson. In addition, incoming and outgoing scattering states consist of tensor products of bare electron states and single free boson states. In particular, the model considered in [33] has only one scattering channel. In this situation AC is obtained by an immediate extension of the geometric method used in [13], which in fact can be traced back to the paper of Graf [34]. It relies on using certain phase space propagation estimates to prove a geometric AC statement, which together with a minimal velocity estimate can be turned into AC for the wave operators.

The same strategy was employed in our second test case, which is the polaron model with a short-range condition excluding the physical infrared behaviour of the interaction. The proof of AC in this case, which preceded the present argument, will be published in a separate paper by the present authors and M. G. Rasmussen. This proof relies on two main ingredients: The first ingredient is a novel phase-space propagation estimate involving the dispersion relations of the dressed particles. The second ingredient is a minimal velocity estimate, relying on recent advances in Mourre theory by one of us and M. G. Rasmussen [47], which will be outlined in Subsection 2.3. One advantage of this earlier Graf-type argument is that it gives a clear geometric picture of the polaron-phonon scattering, with a visible role of the dispersion relations of the dressed particles. However, our proof of this new variant of the phase-space propagation estimate relies heavily on the short-range condition and appears to be very sensitive to the structure of the isolated spectrum, in particular to the presence of level crossings. Due to this latter fact, only the constant bare dispersion relation of phonons can be handled along these lines if the dimension of space is larger than one. In the present paper we overcome this difficulty with the help of a new approach, which uses only minimal velocity propagation estimates and is more in the spirit of the ground-breaking work of Sigal and Soffer [52], than that of Graf [34].

To explain the main new ideas of the present paper we recall several standard concepts, which will be defined precisely in Section 2 and Appendix A. We use the Γ-functor notation of Segal for constructions of spaces and operators in the context of second quantization. The Hilbert spaces of incoming and outgoing configurations are given by $\mathcal{H}_{\pm} := \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{bnd}} \otimes \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{bnd}}$ contains the dressed electron states and $\mathcal{F}$ is the bosonic Fock space over the single-boson space $\mathfrak{h}$. The extended Hamiltonian and momentum operators are defined as

$$H^{\mathrm{ex}} := H \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\omega) \qquad \text{and} \qquad P^{\mathrm{ex}} := P \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathrm{d}\Gamma(k), \tag{1.1}$$

where ω is the dispersion relation of the bosons and (P, H) denote the total energy-momentum operators of our system. We recall that H acts on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{bnd}}$ as a direct sum of multiplication operators, one for each dressed particle species. For any pair of bounded operators q_0, q_∞ on $\mathfrak{h}$ we define the map $\check{\Gamma}(q_0, q_\infty)^*$, from a domain in $\mathcal{H}_{\pm}$ to $\mathcal{H}$, by the relation:

$$\check{\Gamma}(q_0, q_\infty)^*(\Psi \otimes a^*(h_1) \dots a^*(h_n)|0\rangle) = a^*(q_\infty h_1) \dots a^*(q_\infty h_n)\Gamma(q_0)\Psi. \tag{1.2}$$

The goal of our investigation is to establish the existence and unitarity of the wave operators

$$\Omega^{\pm} = \mathrm{s}\!-\!\lim_{t \to \pm\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} \check{\Gamma}(1,1)^* \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}}, \tag{1.3}$$

below the two-boson threshold (in the joint spectrum of $(H^{\mathrm{ex}}, P^{\mathrm{ex}})$). For reasons which will become clear below, we divide this region of spectrum into small subsets $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times \mathbb{R}$. For each $\mathcal{O}$ we construct a localized right inverse of $\Omega^{\pm}$ on the corresponding spectral subspace of (P, H). As noted in [13], a natural candidate has the form

$$W_{\mathcal{O}}^{\pm *} = \mathrm{s}\!-\!\lim_{t \to \pm\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}} \check{\Gamma}(q_0^t, q_\infty^t) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH} \tag{1.4}$$

where q_0^t, q_∞^t are some time-dependent families of operators s.t. $q_0^t + q_\infty^t = 1$ so that one can exploit the relation $\check{\Gamma}(1,1)^* \check{\Gamma}(q_0^t, q_\infty^t) = 1$. Important difference between our approach and previous work on asymptotic completeness in QFT consists in the construction of the operators q_0^t, q_∞^t.

Before we explain this construction, we recall that the Hamiltonian H has a direct integral decomposition into fiber Hamiltonians $H(\xi)$ at fixed momentum ξ. As shown in [47], and stated precisely in Theorem 2.2 below, if $\mathcal{O}$ is sufficiently small (and localized outside of some sets of measure zero) we can choose $(\xi_0, \lambda_0) \in \mathcal{O}$, a neighbourhood $\mathcal{J}_0$ of λ_0, and $c_{\mathrm{m}} > 0$ s.t.

$$\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{J}_0}(H(\xi)) \mathrm{i}[H(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(a_{\xi_0})] \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{J}_0}(H(\xi)) \geq c_{\mathrm{m}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{J}_0}(H(\xi)). \tag{1.5}$$

The estimate holds true for ξ belonging to a small neighbourhood of ξ_0, such that the Cartesian product of this neighbourhood with $\mathcal{J}_0$ contains $\mathcal{O}$. The operator a_{ξ_0} has the form

$$a_{\xi_0} = \frac{1}{2}\{v_{\xi_0} \cdot \mathrm{i}\nabla_k + \mathrm{i}\nabla_k \cdot v_{\xi_0}\}, \tag{1.6}$$

where $\mathrm{i}\nabla_k$ is the boson position operator and v_{ξ_0} is a vector field in momentum space, which carries information about the dispersion relations of incoming/outgoing dressed particles present in the energy-momentum region $\mathcal{O}$. Now we set

$$q_0^t := q_0(a_{\xi_0}/t) \qquad \text{and} \qquad q_\infty^t := q_\infty(a_{\xi_0}/t), \tag{1.7}$$

where q_0, q_∞ are smooth approximate characteristic functions of $(-\infty, c_0]$, $[c_0, \infty)$, $c_0 > 0$ is much smaller than c_{m}, and $q_0 + q_\infty = 1$. With such a choice of q_0^t, q_∞^t, closely tied to Mourre theory, strong convergence in (1.4) can be established using the Mourre estimate (1.5) (and its extended variant stated in Theorem 2.2). We note that this convergence result holds only in the spectral subspace of $\mathcal{O}$. Indeed, only in this subspace estimate (1.5) holds with the operator a_{ξ_0}, which entered into the definitions (1.7). The fact that $W_{\mathcal{O}}^{\pm *}$ has to be defined for each region $\mathcal{O}$ separately is, however, not an obstacle, since we use this operator only as a tool to show the existence and unitarity of the wave operators $\Omega^{\pm}$, which do not contain any information about the (non-canonical) operators a_{ξ_0}.

As this argument does not rely on the phase-space propagation estimate, which is problematic in the presence of level crossings, we can handle a large class of electron and boson dispersion relations. Moreover, due to the fact that v_{ξ_0} can be chosen to vanish for small momenta, we can cover the infrared-singular physical coupling of the polaron model. In addition, no smallness conditions on the coupling strength are involved. Thus, similarly to the classical results on asymptotic completeness in quantum mechanics [11, 34, 52], our result applies to a very large class of models which contains experimentally realizable physical systems (e.g. the polaron).

Going beyond the two-boson threshold for the models studied here will be a difficult task requiring more involved constructions of propagation observables, due to the more complicated channel structure. While we do have some ideas as to how to proceed, there are technical obstructions requiring new insights to overcome. Another promising direction of future research concerns the spectral and scattering theory of many-body dispersive systems. The methods developed in this paper, combined with those of [47], can be viewed from a broader perspective as a general strategy to deal with such systems. We hope – in fact expect – that one can study many body Schrödinger operators, with relativistic kinetic energy, as well as spin-wave scattering, i.e. the magnon model, with the aid of the techniques developed here. See [29, 35, 57], where both of these long-standing open problems are discussed. Finally, we would like to point out that collision theory of dispersive systems is an important intermediate step towards the problem of asymptotic completeness in local relativistic quantum field theories, as for example the $P(\phi)_2$ models. This observation has recently been exploited in [18] to show the existence of certain asymptotic observables in these models. Thus an application of the methods of the present paper in the local relativistic setting is another promising – and tractable – research direction. We recall that partial results on asymptotic completeness in $P(\phi)_2$ models can be found in [8, 54]. For recent progress on relativistic scattering theory we refer to [16, 17, 42].

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we define the class of models under study, summarize the known facts concerning their spectrum, including Mourre theory, and state the main results of this paper. In Section 3 we derive convenient representations for the Heisenberg derivatives of certain propagation observables which are then combined with Mourre estimates in Section 4 to derive minimal velocity propagation estimates. These propagation estimates are the key input to the proofs of existence of the relevant asymptotic observables in Section 5, including the localized inverses of the wave operators of the form (1.4). In Section 6 we establish properties of these operators which are then used in Section 7 to prove the existence and unitarity of the (conventional) wave operators (1.3). More technical steps of our investigation are postponed to appendices.

Acknowledgment: The authors thank Jan Dereziński, Christian Gérard, and Morten Grud Rasmussen for useful discussions we had during the course of this work. We acknowledge financial support of the Danish Council for Independent Research grant no. 09-065927

"Mathematical Physics", and hospitality of the Hausdorff Institute for Research in Mathematics, Bonn. Moreover, W.D. is grateful for the support of the Lundbeck Foundation and the German Research Foundation (DFG), the latter within the grant SP181/25–2 and stipend DY107/1–1.

2 Preliminaries and Results

2.1 Hamiltonian

Let $\mathcal{K} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^\nu_y)$ be the Hilbert space of a quantum mechanical particle moving in $\mathbb{R}^\nu$, whose position is denoted by y and momentum by $D_y := -\mathrm{i}\nabla_y$. Let $\mathfrak{h} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^\nu_k)$ be the Hilbert space of a single boson, whose dispersion relation will be denoted $\omega(k)$. The Hilbert space for the Bose field is the Fock space

$$\mathcal{F} = \Gamma(\mathfrak{h}) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}^{(n)}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{(n)} = \Gamma^{(n)}(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}^{\otimes_s n}$ is the symmetric tensor product of the single-boson spaces and the vacuum vector will be denoted by $|0\rangle$. The boson creation and annihilation operators are denoted by $a^*(k)$, $a(k)$ and satisfy the canonical commutation relations $[a(k), a^*(k')] = \delta(k-k')$ and $[a(k), a(k')] = [a^*(k), a^*(k')] = 0$. The total energy and momentum operators of the bosons are given by

$$H_{\mathrm{ph}} := \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^\nu} dk\, \omega(k) a^*(k) a(k), \tag{2.2}$$

$$P_{\mathrm{ph}} := \mathrm{d}\Gamma(k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^\nu} dk\, k a^*(k) a(k). \tag{2.3}$$

The Hilbert space of the system consisting of the electron and the bosons is $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{F}$. The dynamics is governed by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \Omega(D_y) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H_{\mathrm{ph}} + \phi(G_y), \tag{2.4}$$

where the interaction term is given by

$$\phi(G_y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^\nu} dk\, \big(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}ky} G(k) 1 \otimes a^*(k) + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}ky} \overline{G(k)} 1 \otimes a(k)\big). \tag{2.5}$$

Under the minimal conditions on Ω, ω and G, specified below following [47], this Hamiltonian is essentially self-adjoint on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu) \otimes \mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{C} := \Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu))$ is defined in Appendix A.

Condition 1. (Minimal Conditions). There exists $s_\Omega \in [0,2]$ and $C > 0$ s.t. the dispersion relation ω and the coupling function G satisfy:

(MC1) $\omega \in C(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$, $\Omega \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$, $\langle k\rangle^6 G \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$, where $\langle k\rangle = \sqrt{k^2+1}$.

(MC2) $m := \inf_{k\in\mathbb{R}^\nu} \omega(k) > 0$.

(MC3) $\forall k \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$ we have $\omega(k) \le C\langle k\rangle$, $\Omega(k) \ge C^{-1}\langle k\rangle^{s_\Omega} - C$.

(MC4) $|\partial_k^\alpha \Omega(k)| \le C\langle k\rangle^{s_\Omega - |\alpha|}$, for all multiindices α with $0 \le |\alpha| \le 2$.

(MC5) $\forall k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$ we have $\omega(k_1 + k_2) < \omega(k_1) + \omega(k_2)$.

(MC6) Either $\lim_{|k|\to\infty}\omega(k)=\infty$ or: $\sup_{k\in\mathbb{R}^\nu}\omega(k)<\infty$ and $\lim_{|k|\to\infty}\Omega(k)=\infty$.

We note that (MC1) is stronger than in [47].

We recall that the Hamiltonian (2.4) commutes with the total momentum operators given by

$$P=D_y\otimes 1+1\otimes P_{\mathrm{ph}}, \tag{2.6}$$

thus it has a fiber decomposition. More precisely, using the unitary transform of Lee-Low-Pines [43]

$$I_{\mathrm{LLP}}:=(F\otimes 1)\circ\Gamma(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}k\cdot y}), \tag{2.7}$$

where F is the Fourier transform in the electron position variable and Γ the second quantization functor (cf. Appendix A), we obtain

$$I_{\mathrm{LLP}}HI_{\mathrm{LLP}}^*=\int_{\mathbb{R}^\nu}^{\oplus}d\xi\,H(\xi). \tag{2.8}$$

The fiber Hamiltonians have the form

$$H(\xi)=\Omega(\xi-P_{\mathrm{ph}})+H_{\mathrm{ph}}+\phi(G), \tag{2.9}$$

where $\phi(G):=\phi(G_y)|_{y=0}$, and are essentially self-adjoint on $\mathcal{C}$. The joint spectrum of the family of commuting self-adjoint operators (P,H) is given by

$$\Sigma=\big\{(\xi,\lambda)\in\mathbb{R}^{\nu+1}\,\big|\,\lambda\in\sigma(H(\xi))\big\}. \tag{2.10}$$

It can be decomposed into the pure-point, absolutely continuous and singular continuous parts

$$\Sigma=\Sigma_{\mathrm{pp}}\cup\Sigma_{\mathrm{ac}}\cup\Sigma_{\mathrm{sc}} \tag{2.11}$$

defined as $\Sigma_i=\{\,(\xi,\lambda)\in\mathbb{R}^\nu\times\mathbb{R}\,|\,\lambda\in\sigma_i(H(\xi))\,\}$, where $i\in\{\mathrm{pp},\mathrm{ac},\mathrm{sc}\}$. We denote the bottom of the spectrum of the fiber Hamiltonians by

$$\Sigma_0(\xi):=\inf\,\sigma(H(\xi)) \tag{2.12}$$

and the bottom of the spectrum of the full operator by $\Sigma_0:=\inf_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^\nu}\Sigma_0(\xi)$. Moreover, we introduce

$$\Sigma_0^{(n)}(\xi,\underline{k}):=\Sigma_0(\xi-\sum_{j=1}^n k_j)+\sum_{j=1}^n\omega(k_j) \tag{2.13}$$

and define the n-boson thresholds

$$\Sigma_0^{(n)}(\xi):=\inf_{\underline{k}\in\mathbb{R}^{n\nu}}\Sigma_0(\xi,\underline{k}). \tag{2.14}$$

By the HVZ Theorem [23, 45, 46, 55],

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(H(\xi))=\big[\Sigma_0^{(1)}(\xi),\infty\big). \tag{2.15}$$

and below $\Sigma_0^{(1)}(\xi)$ the spectrum consists of locally finitely many eigenvalues of finite multiplicity, which can only accumulate at $\Sigma_0^{(1)}(\xi)$. Due to the subadditivity assumption (MC2) on ω, we have

$$\Sigma_0^{(n)}(\xi) \geq \Sigma_0^{(m)}(\xi) \tag{2.16}$$

for any $n > m$. The inequality is strict if $\lim_{|k|\to\infty} \omega(k) = \infty$. If $M = \sup_{k\in\mathbb{R}^\nu} \omega(k) < \infty$, then the inequality is also strict if $2\liminf_{|k|\to\infty} \omega(k) > M$, which is satisfied by the constant polaron relation [46]. In these cases the region $\mathcal{E}^{(1)}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{(1)} &= \big\{(\xi,\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu+1} \,\big|\, \lambda \in \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(\xi)\big\}, \\ \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(\xi) &= \big\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \,\big|\, \Sigma_0^{(1)}(\xi) \leq \lambda < \Sigma_0^{(2)}(\xi)\big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

is non-empty.

2.2 Extended Hamiltonian

The formalism of extended Hilbert space, which we present in this section and in Appendix A.2, was introduced in [13] and used later on in [2, 14, 24, 45, 46] in the context of spectral and scattering theory. Let us define the extended Fock space and the extended physical Hilbert space as follows

$$\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ex}} = \mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}\oplus\Big(\bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{(\ell)}\Big) \simeq \mathcal{F}\oplus\Big(\bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{\infty} L^2_{\mathrm{sym}}(\mathbb{R}^{\ell\nu};\mathcal{F})\Big), \tag{2.18}$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{ex}} = \mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}\oplus\Big(\bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{(\ell)}\Big), \tag{2.19}$$

where we made use of the identification $\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{(\ell)} \simeq L^2_{\mathrm{sym}}(\mathbb{R}^{\ell\nu};\mathcal{F})$. The extended Hamiltonian and extended total momentum operators are given by

$$H^{\mathrm{ex}} = H\otimes 1 + 1\otimes \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\omega) = H\oplus\Big(\bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{\infty} H^{(\ell)}\Big), \tag{2.20}$$

$$P^{\mathrm{ex}} = P\otimes 1 + 1\otimes \mathrm{d}\Gamma(k) = P\oplus\Big(\bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{\infty} P^{(\ell)}\Big). \tag{2.21}$$

Here

$$H^{(\ell)} = H\otimes 1 + 1\otimes \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(\ell)}(\omega), \qquad P^{(\ell)} = P\otimes 1 + 1\otimes \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(\ell)}(k). \tag{2.22}$$

The operators $(H^{\mathrm{ex}}, P^{\mathrm{ex}})$ are essentially self-adjoint on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu)\otimes\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{ex}}$, where $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{ex}} := \mathcal{C}\otimes\mathcal{C}$. Similarly, $(H^{(\ell)}, P^{(\ell)})$ are essentially self-adjoint on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu)\otimes\mathcal{C}^{(\ell)}$, where $\mathcal{C}^{(\ell)} := \mathcal{C}\otimes C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu)^{\otimes_s \ell}$. Since $(H^{\mathrm{ex}}, P^{\mathrm{ex}})$ as well as $(P^{(\ell)}, H^{(\ell)})$, for $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$, form commuting families of self-adjoint operators, we can introduce their joint spectral resolutions $E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\,\cdot\,)$ and $E^{(\ell)}(\,\cdot\,)$. We use extended Lee-Low-Pines transformations to perform fiber decompositions of H^{ex} and $H^{(\ell)}$ w.r.t. the total momentum. They have the form

$$I^{\mathrm{ex}}_{\mathrm{LLP}} := (F\otimes 1)\circ\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}k\cdot y}) = I_{\mathrm{LLP}}\oplus\Big(\bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{\infty} I^{(\ell)}_{\mathrm{LLP}}\Big), \tag{2.23}$$

where F is the Fourier transform in the electron position variable, $\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}k\cdot y})$ is defined as explained in Section 1.2 of [47] and $I_{\mathrm{LLP}}^{(\ell)} := (I_{\mathrm{LLP}}^{\mathrm{ex}})_{|\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{F}^\ell}$. There holds

$$H^{\mathrm{ex}} = I_{\mathrm{LLP}}^{\mathrm{ex}*}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^\nu}^{\oplus} d\xi\, H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)\Big) I_{\mathrm{LLP}}^{\mathrm{ex}}, \qquad H^{(\ell)} = I_{\mathrm{LLP}}^{(\ell)*}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^\nu}^{\oplus} d\xi\, H^{(\ell)}(\xi)\Big) I_{\mathrm{LLP}}^{(\ell)}. \tag{2.24}$$

The fiber Hamiltonians $H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)$ are essentially self-adjoint on $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{ex}}$ and have the form

$$H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi) = \Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)) + \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\omega) + \phi(G)\otimes 1, \tag{2.25}$$

where $\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\,\cdot\,)$ is defined in Appendix A.2. The extended fiber Hamiltonians $H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)$ can be decomposed just as for H^{ex}, cf. (2.20), and we get as expected

$$H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi) = H(\xi) \oplus \Big(\bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{\infty} H^{(\ell)}(\xi)\Big). \tag{2.26}$$

Since there is no interaction in the second tensor component of $H^{(\ell)}(\xi)$, which is simply a multiplication operator, we can decompose further into a direct integral over momenta from $\mathbb{R}^{\ell\nu}$:

$$H^{(\ell)}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\ell\nu}}^{\oplus} dk\, H^{(\ell)}(\xi, \underline{k}), \tag{2.27}$$

$$H^{(\ell)}(\xi;\underline{k}) = H(\xi - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} k_j) + (\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\omega(k_j))1. \tag{2.28}$$

In our investigation we will often make use of the following simple fact:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\chi\colon \mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ be a bounded Borel function, with essential support in the set $(-\infty, \Sigma_0^{(n)}(\xi))$. Then*

$$\chi(H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)) = \chi(H(\xi)) \oplus \Big(\bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \chi(H^{(\ell)}(\xi))\Big). \tag{2.29}$$

Proof. Let $\ell \geq n$. We recall that

$$\Sigma_0^{(\ell)}(\xi) = \inf_{\underline{k}\in\mathbb{R}^{\ell\nu}} \Big(\Sigma_0(\xi - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} k_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\omega(k_j)\Big). \tag{2.30}$$

Consequently,

$$H^{(\ell)}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\ell\nu}}^{\oplus} dk\,\big(H(\xi - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} k_j) + (\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\omega(k_j))1\big) \geq \Sigma_0^{(\ell)}(\xi)1. \tag{2.31}$$

Since $\Sigma_0^{(\ell)}(\xi) \geq \Sigma_0^{(n)}(\xi)$, and χ is supported below $\Sigma_0^{(n)}(\xi)$, only the first $n-1$ terms of the expansion

$$\chi(H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)) = \chi(H(\xi)) \oplus \Big(\bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \chi(H^{(\ell)}(\xi))\Big) \tag{2.32}$$

are non-zero. □

2.3 Structure of the spectrum

To continue our discussion of the spectrum of H we need more restrictive assumptions. Following [47], we state:

Condition 2. (Spectral Theory). We impose:

(ST1) Ω and ω are real analytic functions.

(ST2) G admits 2 distributional derivatives with $\partial_k^\alpha G \in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^\nu \backslash \{0\})$, for all $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2$.

(ST3) For all orthogonal matrices $O \in \mathrm{O}(\nu)$ and all $k \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$ we have $\omega(Ok) = \omega(k)$, $\Omega(Ok) = \Omega(k)$, and $G(Ok) = G(k)$ almost everywhere.

(ST4) $\sup_{k\in\mathbb{R}^\nu} |\partial_k^\alpha \omega(k)| < \infty$ for all $|\alpha| \geq 1$ and $|\partial_k^\beta \Omega(k)| \leq C_\beta \langle k\rangle^{s_\Omega - |\beta|}$ for $|\beta| \geq 2$. $s_\Omega \in [0, 2]$ appeared in Condition 1.

We note that (ST2) coincides with the corresponding condition from [47] for $n_0 = 2$. (ST4) is stronger than in [47].

Making use of Kato's analytic perturbation theory [41] we obtain a description of the isolated part of the spectrum (cf. (2.15) above):

$$\Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}} = \big\{(\xi, E) \in \Sigma \,\big|\, E < \Sigma_0^{(1)}(\xi)\big\}. \tag{2.33}$$

This spectrum consists of analytic mass shells and level crossings. The set of level crossings is defined as

$$\mathcal{X} := \big\{(\xi, E) \in \Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}} \,\big|\, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}} \cap B_{1/n}((\xi, E)) \text{ is not a graph } \big\}. \tag{2.34}$$

The connected components of $\mathcal{X}$ are $S^{\nu-1}$-spheres. They have the form $\partial B(0; R) \times \{E\}$, or, in the degenerate case, $\{0\} \times \{E\}$. They can accumulate either at infinity or at the bottom of the essential spectrum. The level crossings are connected in Σ_{iso} by shells which are real-analytic manifolds. Each shell is a pair $(\mathcal{A}, S)$, where $\mathcal{A} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^\nu \,|\, r < |\xi| < R\}$, $0 \leq r < R$, is an open annulus or an open ball centred at zero. The function $S\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ is real analytic and rotation invariant.

The structure of the continuous spectrum in $\mathcal{E}^{(1)}$, cf. (2.17), was studied in [47] with the help of Mourre theory. As these results are very relevant for the present investigation we summarize them here. For any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$ the conjugate operator has the form $A_\xi = \mathrm{d}\Gamma(a_\xi)$, where

$$a_\xi = \frac{1}{2}\big\{v_\xi \cdot \mathrm{i}\nabla_k + \mathrm{i}\nabla_k \cdot v_\xi\big\}, \tag{2.35}$$

and $v_\xi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu \backslash \{0\}; \mathbb{R}^\nu)$ is a suitable vector field constructed in [47]. It is easily seen that a_ξ is essentially self-adjoint on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$ and A_ξ is essentially self-adjoint on $\mathcal{C}$. In [47] one can find a construction of the threshold sets $\mathcal{T}^{(1)}(\xi) \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$, which carry information about the structure of the isolated spectrum, and exceptional sets

$$\mathrm{Exc}(\xi) = (0, \omega(0)) + \Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^\nu, \tag{2.36}$$

which account for a possible singularity of the coupling function G at zero. (We recall that in [47], formula (1.35), $\mathrm{Exc}(\xi)$ was defined to be empty for G regular at zero. Here it is always given by (2.36)). The main result of [47] can be summarized as follows:

Theorem 2.2. *[47] Assume Conditions 1 and 2. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$ unless stated otherwise. Then the following properties hold true:*

(a) *The sets $\mathcal{E}^{(1)}(\xi) \cap \mathcal{T}^{(1)}(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{E}^{(1)}(\xi) \cap \mathrm{Exc}(\xi)$ are locally finite with possible accumulation points only at $\Sigma_0^{(2)}(\xi)$.*

(b) *All eigenvalues in $\sigma_{\mathrm{pp}}(H(\xi)) \cap \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(\xi) \backslash (\mathcal{T}^{(1)}(\xi) \cup \mathrm{Exc}(\xi))$ have finite multiplicity.*

(c) *The set $\sigma_{\mathrm{pp}}(H(\xi)) \cap \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(\xi)$ is at most countable, with accumulation points at most in $\mathcal{T}^{(1)}(\xi) \cup \mathrm{Exc}(\xi) \cup \{\Sigma_0^{(2)}(\xi)\}$.*

(d) *Let $(\xi_0, \lambda_0) \in \mathcal{E}^{(1)}$ be s.t. $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(\xi_0) \backslash (\mathcal{T}^{(1)}(\xi_0) \cup \mathrm{Exc}(\xi_0) \cup \sigma_{\mathrm{pp}}(H(\xi_0))$. Then there exist a neighbourhood N_0 of ξ_0, a neighbourhood $\mathcal{J}_0$ of λ_0, and a constant $c_{\mathrm{m}} > 0$ s.t. for any $\xi \in N_0$:*

$$\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{J}_0}(H(\xi))\mathrm{i}[H(\xi), A_{\xi_0}]\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{J}_0}(H(\xi)) \geq c_{\mathrm{m}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{J}_0}(H(\xi)), \tag{2.37}$$

$$\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{J}_0}(H^{(1)}(\xi))\mathrm{i}[H^{(1)}(\xi), 1 \otimes a_{\xi_0}]\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{J}_0}(H^{(1)}(\xi)) \geq c_{\mathrm{m}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{J}_0}(H^{(1)}(\xi)). \tag{2.38}$$

(e) *The fiber Hamiltonians have no singular continuous spectrum below the two-boson threshold:*

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{sc}}(H(\xi)) \cap (-\infty, \Sigma_0^{(2)}(\xi)) = \emptyset. \tag{2.39}$$

2.4 Results

We begin by introducing some notation. First of all, the space of bound states $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{bnd}}$ of the system is the closure of the span of all states of the form $I_{\mathrm{LLP}}^* \int^{\oplus} \Psi_\xi d\xi$, where $\mathbb{R}^\nu \ni \xi \to \Psi_\xi$ is compactly supported, measurable and Ψ_ξ is an eigenvector for $H(\xi)$ for a.e. ξ. Expressed concisely in terms of the joint spectral resolution E for the vector of commuting operators (P, H) this amounts to

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{bnd}} = E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{pp}})\mathcal{H}. \tag{2.40}$$

Incoming scattering states prepared at $t = -\infty$, as well as outgoing scattering states at $t \to +\infty$, consist of a superposition of interacting dressed particles and a collection of free bosons. That is, the incoming and outgoing spaces are

$$\mathcal{H}_{\pm} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{bnd}} \otimes \mathcal{F}. \tag{2.41}$$

The asymptotic dynamics on incoming and outgoing spaces are generated by the restriction of H^{ex} to $\mathcal{H}_\pm$. In the light of our discussion in the preceding two subsections, $H^{\mathrm{ex}}_{|\mathcal{H}_\pm}$ is a direct sum of operators of the form

$$I_{\mathrm{LLP}}^{(\ell)*}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^\nu}^{\oplus} d\xi \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\ell\nu}}^{\oplus} dk\, S(\xi - k_1 - \cdots - k_\ell) + \omega(k_1) + \cdots + \omega(k_\ell)\Big) I_{\mathrm{LLP}}^{(\ell)}, \tag{2.42}$$

where $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $(\mathcal{A}, S)$ are shells in Σ_{iso}. Moreover, it is an easy consequence of the HVZ theorem, cf. [46, Theorem 2.1], that (P, H) and $(P^{\mathrm{ex}}, H^{\mathrm{ex}})_{|\mathcal{H}_\pm}$ have identical energy-momentum spectra.

Let us recall that the asymptotic creation operators of bosons are usually defined as follows:

$$a_\pm^*(h)\Psi := \lim_{t \to \pm\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} a^*(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega t} h)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\Psi, \tag{2.43}$$

where $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ and Ψ belongs to the dense domain $\mathcal{D}$ of vectors of bounded energy (i.e. $\mathcal{D} := \bigcup_{K\subset\mathbb{R}^{\nu+1}} \operatorname{Ran} E(K)$, where the union extends over all compact sets). It is well known [38] and easy to see that the limit exists in the case of the massive Nelson model (i.e. $G \in S(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$ and $\omega(k) = \sqrt{k^2+m^2}$, where $m > 0$). As a consequence, in this case there exist mappings $\widetilde{\Omega}^\pm$ defined on $\Psi' \in \mathcal{D} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{h})$ by

$$\widetilde{\Omega}^\pm \Psi' = \lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} \check{\Gamma}(1,1)^* \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}} \Psi', \tag{2.44}$$

where the scattering identification map $\check{\Gamma}(1,1)^*$ is defined in (1.2) and in Appendix A.2. The restrictions of $\widetilde{\Omega}^\pm$ to $\mathcal{H}_\pm$, denoted by $\Omega^\pm$, are usually called the (conventional) wave operators. They were introduced first in [40]. The associated (conventional) scattering operator $S\colon \mathcal{H}_- \to \mathcal{H}_+$ is then given by $S = (\Omega^-)^*\Omega^+$. Observe that restricted to the subspace $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{bnd}} \otimes \mathbb{C} \subset \mathcal{H}_\pm$, the wave operators trivially exist and act as injections

$$\forall \Psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{bnd}} : \qquad \Omega^\pm(\Psi \otimes |0\rangle) = \Psi. \tag{2.45}$$

To serve as an acceptable wave operator, $\Omega^\pm$ should be isometric. At small coupling strength such a result seems to be within reach of methods present in the literature [25, 3]. However, at arbitrary couplings, in the possible presence of eigenvalues embedded in the continuous spectrum, nothing is known to date about this problem. Not to speak of the problem of asymptotic completeness in the massive Nelson model, which is the question of isometry of the adjoints of the wave operators.

We note that in the case of the polaron model (i.e. $G = \tilde{G}(k)/|k|$, $\tilde{G} \in S(\mathbb{R})$ and $\omega(k) = m$, where $m > 0$), which is also covered by our assumptions, problems start already at the level of existence of the wave operators. Since the boson dispersion relation gives only a phase factor, it might even seem that the wave operators (2.44) do not exist!

It turns out that the situation is much better than outlined above, at least in the energy-momentum regime below the two-boson threshold i.e. in the region $\mathcal{R} := \{(\xi,\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu+1} \,|\, \lambda < \Sigma_0^{(2)}(\xi)\}$. Indeed, in this region our main result resolves all the problems mentioned in the two paragraphs above:

Theorem 2.3. *Assume Conditions 1 and 2. The wave operators* $\Omega_{\mathcal{R}}^{\pm}\colon E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}_\pm \to \mathcal{H}$ *exists in the sense of the strong limits*

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{R}}^{\pm} := \mathrm{s}\!-\!\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \mathrm{e}^{itH} \check{\Gamma}(1,1)^* \mathrm{e}^{-itH^{\mathrm{ex}}}, \tag{2.46}$$

where $\check{\Gamma}(1,1)$ *is defined in Appendix A.2. The operators* $\Omega_{\mathcal{R}}^{\pm}$ *are unitary as maps from* $E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}_\pm$ *to* $E(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}$*. More precisely:*

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{R}}^{\pm *}\Omega_{\mathcal{R}}^{\pm} = E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R})_{|\mathcal{H}_\pm} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Omega_{\mathcal{R}}^{\pm}\Omega_{\mathcal{R}}^{\pm *} = E(\mathcal{R}). \tag{2.47}$$

Finally, the scattering operator $S_{\mathcal{R}} = (\Omega_{\mathcal{R}}^{-})^*\Omega_{\mathcal{R}}^{+}\colon E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}_- \to E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}_+$ *is unitary.*

In the energy-momentum regime $\mathcal{R}$, scattering only happens between bound states associated to the isolated part Σ_{iso} of Σ_{pp}. For this reason a special role is played by the bound states pertaining to isolated mass shells, for which we use the notation

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{iso}} = E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}})\mathcal{H}. \tag{2.48}$$

Let us introduce the terminology that a state $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{bnd}}$ and a smearing function $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ are $\mathcal{R}$-compatible if there exists a Borel set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^\nu \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Psi \in E(\mathcal{S})\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{bnd}}$ and

$\{(\xi+k, E+\omega(k)) \,|\, (\xi,E)\in\mathcal{S}, k\in\operatorname{supp} h\}\subset\mathcal{R}$. By $\operatorname{supp} h$, we understand h's essential support. Note that by energy-momentum considerations we can always choose $\mathcal{S}\subset\Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}}$, such that in fact $\Psi\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{iso}}$.

With the terminology just introduced, $E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}_{\pm}$ is the direct sum of states of the form $\Psi\otimes|0\rangle$, with $\Psi\in E(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{bnd}}$, and states from the closure of the span of states of the form $\Psi\otimes a^*(h)|0\rangle$, where $\Psi\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{iso}}$ and h are $\mathcal{R}$-compatible. See Lemma M.1) for a proof.

For any $\Psi\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{iso}}$ and $h\in\mathfrak{h}$ which are $\mathcal{R}$-compatible we define the corresponding scattering state as follows

$$a_+^*(h)\Psi := \Omega_{\mathcal{R}}^+(\Psi\otimes a^*(h)|0\rangle). \tag{2.49}$$

Theorem 2.3 has the following corollary:

Corollary 2.4. *Let $a_+^*(h)\Psi$, $a_+^*(h')\Psi'$ be scattering states and $\Psi''\in E(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{bnd}}$. There hold the following properties:*

(a) *Tensor product structure:*

$$\langle a_+^*(h)\Psi, a_+^*(h')\Psi'\rangle = \langle h,h'\rangle\langle\Psi,\Psi'\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle a_+^*(h)\Psi,\Psi''\rangle = 0. \tag{2.50}$$

(b) *Asymptotic completeness:*

$$E(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H} = \overline{\operatorname{Span}\big\{a_+^*(h)\Psi, \Psi'' \,\big|\, \Psi, h \text{ are } \mathcal{R}\text{–compatible}, \Psi''\in E(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{bnd}}\big\}}. \tag{2.51}$$

Note that for the particular case of the polaron model, the notion of Ψ and h being $\mathcal{R}$-compatible is completely trivial. Here $\mathcal{R}=\{(\xi,E)\in\mathbb{R}^{\nu+1}\,|\,E<\Sigma_0+2m\}$, where m is the phonon mass, cf. (MC2), and Σ_0 is the bottom of the spectrum of H. That is, $\mathcal{R}$ is just a half-space. Being $\mathcal{R}$-compatible thus reduces to $\Psi\in E(\mathbb{R}^\nu\times(-\infty,\Sigma_0+m))\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{bnd}}=\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{iso}}$, with no condition on h. Hence, in this the polaron case we have:

$$E(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H} = \overline{\operatorname{Span}\big\{a_+^*(h)\Psi, \Psi'' \,\big|\, \Psi\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{iso}}, h\in\mathfrak{h} \text{ and } \Psi''\in E(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{bnd}}\big\}}. \tag{2.52}$$

3 Heisenberg derivatives

As usual in investigations of the problem of asymptotic completeness, we are interested in the existence of asymptotic observables, which are strong limits as $t\to\infty$ of time dependent families of observables of the form

$$\mathbb{R}\ni t\to \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\Phi(t)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}, \tag{3.1}$$

where the propagation observable $\mathbb{R}\ni t\to\Phi(t)\in B(\mathcal{F})$ is uniformly bounded in time. Since we are going to proceed via Cook's method, we are interested in the Heisenberg derivatives of propagation observables, defined a priori in the sense of forms on $D(H(\xi))$ as

$$\mathbf{D}\Phi(t) = \partial_t\Phi(t) + \mathrm{i}[H(\xi),\Phi(t)]. \tag{3.2}$$

In Propositions 3.3 and 3.4 below we will express such derivatives by Heisenberg derivatives of some propagation observables $\mathbb{R}\ni t\to\Phi^{(1)}(t)\in B(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{(1)})$, given by

$$\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\Phi^{(1)}(t) = \partial_t\Phi^{(1)}(t) + \mathrm{i}[H^{(1)}(\xi),\Phi^{(1)}(t)]. \tag{3.3}$$

Before we state and prove these propositions, which provide the technical basis for our investigation, we need the following definition:

Definition 3.1. Let $j_0, j_\infty \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be s.t. $j_0', j_\infty' \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $0 \leq j_0, j_\infty \leq 1$, $j_0 = 1$ in a neighbourhood of zero. We set $j_0^t := j_0(a/t)$, $j_\infty^t := j_\infty(a/t)$, and $j^t := (j_0^t, j_\infty^t)$ as a map $\mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ defined by $j^t h := (j_0^t h, j_\infty^t h)$.

Remark 3.2. In Section 3 and in Appendices C-H $a := \frac{1}{2}\{v \cdot \mathrm{i}\nabla_k + \mathrm{i}\nabla_k \cdot v\}$, where $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu\backslash\{0\}; \mathbb{R}^\nu)$ is an arbitrary vector field. Unless stated otherwise, in the remaining part of the paper $a := a_{\xi_0} = \frac{1}{2}\{v_{\xi_0} \cdot \mathrm{i}\nabla_k + \mathrm{i}\nabla_k \cdot v_{\xi_0}\}$ is the observable appearing in Theorem 2.2, associated with some neighbourhoods N_0 and $\mathcal{J}_0$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$ and $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_\mathbb{R}$ be supported in $(-\infty, \Sigma_0^{(2)}(\xi))$. Let $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})_\mathbb{R}$ be s.t. $0 \notin \operatorname{supp} q$ and $q' \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ (in particular q is bounded). Let j_0, j_∞ be as specified in Definition 3.1 and s.t. $j_0^2 + j_\infty^2 = 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{D}(\chi \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q^t)\chi) = {} & \Gamma(j_0^t)\mathbf{D}(\chi \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q^t)\chi)\Gamma(j_0^t) \\ & + \check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j^t)^* \chi^{(1)} \mathbf{D}^{(1)}(1 \otimes q^t)\chi^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j^t) + O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where we set $\chi := \chi(H(\xi))$, $\chi^{(\ell)} := \chi(H^{(\ell)}(\xi))$ and $q^t := q(a/t)$. Moreover, for $\operatorname{supp} j_0 \cap \operatorname{supp} q = \emptyset$ *we have*[1]

$$\Gamma(j_0^t)\chi\mathbf{D}\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q^t)\chi\Gamma(j_0^t) = O(t^{-2}). \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. We write $j := j^t$, $q := q^t$. The Heisenberg derivative of the asymptotic observable $\Phi(t) := \chi \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q)\chi$ is given by

$$\mathbf{D}\Phi(t) = \chi \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\partial_t q)\chi + \mathrm{i}\chi[H(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q)]\chi. \tag{3.6}$$

We consider the first term on the r.h.s. above:

$$\chi \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\partial_t q)\chi = \check{\Gamma}(j)^* \chi^{\mathrm{ex}} \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\partial_t q)\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j) + O(t^{-2}), \tag{3.7}$$

where we applied Proposition G.2 and Lemma D.3, and set $\chi^{\mathrm{ex}} := \chi(H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi))$. We use the decomposition (2.26) of $H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)$ and, by Lemma 2.1, it suffices to consider the terms $\ell = 0$ and $\ell = 1$. The $\ell = 0$ term has the following form

$$\check{\Gamma}^{(0)}(j)^* \chi^{(0)} \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(0)}(\partial_t q)\chi^{(0)}\check{\Gamma}^{(0)}(j) = \Gamma(j_0)\chi \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\partial_t q)\chi\Gamma(j_0). \tag{3.8}$$

If j_0 is supported outside of the support of q, this contribution is of order $O(t^{-2})$ by Proposition G.1. Otherwise it contributes to the first term on the r.h.s. of (3.4).

The $\ell = 1$ term has the form

$$\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^* \chi^{(1)} \big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\partial_t q) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (\partial_t q)\big)\chi^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j). \tag{3.9}$$

By Corollary G.4, we obtain

$$\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^* \chi^{(1)} \big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\partial_t q) \otimes 1\big)\chi^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j) = O(t^{-2}). \tag{3.10}$$

So we are left with

$$\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^* \chi^{(1)} \big(1 \otimes \partial_t q\big)\chi^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j), \tag{3.11}$$

which contributes to the expression on the r.h.s. of (3.4).

---

[1] One can weaken this assumption to $\operatorname{supp} j_0 \cap \operatorname{supp} q' = \emptyset$ at a cost of additional complications in Appendix G. This is, however, not needed in the following.

Now we proceed to the second term on the r.h.s. of (3.6). From Proposition G.5, we obtain

$$\chi[H(\xi),\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q)]\chi=\check{\Gamma}(j)^*\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}[H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi),\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(q)]\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j)+O(t^{-2}). \tag{3.12}$$

Making use of the decomposition (2.26), we get

$$\check{\Gamma}(j)^*\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}[H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi),\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(q)]\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j)=\check{\Gamma}(j)^*\Big(\bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\chi^{(\ell)}[H^{(\ell)}(\xi),\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(\ell)}(q)]\chi^{(\ell)}\Big)\check{\Gamma}(j). \tag{3.13}$$

By Lemma 2.1, it suffices to consider $\ell=0$ and $\ell=1$ terms: The $\ell=0$ contribution is the following:

$$\check{\Gamma}^{(0)}(j)^*\chi^{(0)}[H^{(0)}(\xi),\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(0)}(q)]\chi^{(0)}\check{\Gamma}^{(0)}(j)=\Gamma(j_0)\chi[H(\xi),\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q)]\chi\Gamma(j_0). \tag{3.14}$$

If j_0 is supported outside of the support of q, this contribution is of order $O(t^{-2})$ by Proposition G.1. Otherwise it contributes to the first term on the r.h.s. of (3.4).

Let us now consider the contribution with $\ell=1$:

$$\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^*\chi^{(1)}[H^{(1)}(\xi),\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(1)}(q)]\chi^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j). \tag{3.15}$$

We recall that $\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(1)}(q)=\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q)\otimes 1+1\otimes q$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^*\chi^{(1)}[H^{(1)}(\xi),\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(1)}(q)]\chi^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)\\&\quad=\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^*\chi^{(1)}[H^{(1)}(\xi),\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q)\otimes 1]\chi^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)+\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^*\chi^{(1)}[H^{(1)}(\xi),1\otimes q]\chi^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j).\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

The first term on the r.h.s. above is of order $O(t^{-2})$ by Lemma G.6. The second term contributes to the expression from the statement of the proposition.

Thus, together with (3.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{D}\Phi(t)&=\Gamma(j_0)\mathbf{D}\Phi(t)\Gamma(j_0)\\&\qquad+\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^*\chi^{(1)}\big(1\otimes\partial_t q+\mathrm{i}[H^{(1)}(\xi),1\otimes q]\big)\chi^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)+O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

and the first term on the r.h.s. contributes to $O(t^{-2})$ for j_0 supported outside of the support of q. This concludes the proof. □

Proposition 3.4. *Let* $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^\nu$ *and* $\chi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ *be supported in* $(-\infty,\Sigma_0^{(2)}(\xi))$. *Let* $q\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *be s.t.* $q'\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $0\le q\le 1$, $q=1$ *on a neighbourhood* Δ *of zero. Let* j_0,j_∞ *be as specified in Definition 3.1, s.t.* $j_0^2+j_\infty^2=1$ *and* j_0 *is supported in* Δ. *Then*

$$\chi\mathbf{D}\Gamma(q^t)\chi=\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j^t)^*\chi^{(1)}(\Gamma(q^t)\otimes 1)\mathbf{D}^{(1)}(1\otimes q^t)\chi^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j^t)+O(t^{-2}), \tag{3.18}$$

where we set $\chi:=\chi(H(\xi))$, $\chi^{(\ell)}:=\chi(H^{(\ell)}(\xi))$ *and* $q^t:=q(a/t)$. *Consequently,*

$$\chi\mathbf{D}\Gamma(q^t)\chi=\frac{1}{t}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j^t)^*\chi^{(1)}C_t(1\otimes(q')^t)\chi^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j^t)+O(t^{-2}), \tag{3.19}$$

where $\{C_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ *is a family of bounded operators on* $\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{(1)}$ *which satisfies*

$$C_t(N+1)=O(1)\qquad\text{and}\qquad[C_t,1\otimes p^t]=O(t^{-1}), \tag{3.20}$$

for any $p\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ *with* $p'\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. We set $q := q^t$, $j := j^t$ and compute the Heisenberg derivative:

$$\chi \mathbf{D}\Gamma(q)\chi \quad = \quad \chi(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, \partial_t q) + \mathrm{i}[H(\xi), \Gamma(q)])\chi. \tag{3.21}$$

Making use of Proposition H.2, we obtain

$$\chi \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, \partial_t q)\chi = \check{\Gamma}(j)^* \chi^{\mathrm{ex}} \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(q, \partial_t q)\chi^{\mathrm{ex}} \check{\Gamma}(j) + O(t^{-2}), \tag{3.22}$$

where we set $\chi^{\mathrm{ex}} := \chi(H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi))$ and $\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)$ is defined by formula (A.15). Inserting decomposition (2.26) of $H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)$, we get

$$\check{\Gamma}(j)^* \chi^{\mathrm{ex}} \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(q, \partial_t q)\chi^{\mathrm{ex}} \check{\Gamma}(j) = \check{\Gamma}(j)^* \Big(\bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \chi^{(\ell)} \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(\ell)}(q, \partial_t q)\chi^{(\ell)} \Big) \check{\Gamma}(j). \tag{3.23}$$

By Lemma 2.1, it suffices to consider $\ell = 0$ and $\ell = 1$ terms. For $\ell = 0$, we get

$$\Gamma(j_0)\chi \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, \partial_t q)\chi \Gamma(j_0) = O(t^{-2}) \tag{3.24}$$

by Proposition H.1. The $\ell = 1$ term is given by

$$\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^* \chi^{(1)} \big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, \partial_t q) \otimes q + \Gamma(q) \otimes \partial_t q \big) \chi^{(1)} \check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j). \tag{3.25}$$

We note that, by Corollary G.4,

$$\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^* \chi^{(1)} \big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, \partial_t q) \otimes q \big) \chi^{(1)} \check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j) = O(t^{-2}). \tag{3.26}$$

So we are left with

$$\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^* \chi^{(1)} \big(\Gamma(q) \otimes \partial_t q \big) \chi^{(1)} \check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j), \tag{3.27}$$

which contributes to the r.h.s. of (3.18). Next, we choose $\tilde{\chi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ s.t. $\chi\tilde{\chi} = \chi$ and make use of Lemma G.3 to write

$$\begin{aligned} &\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^* \chi^{(1)} \big(\Gamma(q) \otimes \partial_t q \big) \chi^{(1)} \check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j) \\ &\quad = \check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^* \chi^{(1)} (\Gamma(q) \otimes \tilde{q}) \tilde{\chi}^{(1)} (1 \otimes q') \chi^{(1)} \check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j) + O(t^{-2}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

where $\tilde{q} = -(a/t) f(a/t)$, $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is equal to one on the support of q' and vanishes outside of a slightly larger set. The operator $C_{1,t} := (\Gamma(q) \otimes \tilde{q})\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}$ is the first contribution to C_t appearing in (3.19). It is obvious that $C_{1,t}$ satisfies the first property in (3.20), and the second property in (3.20) follows from Lemma G.3.

Let us now consider the second contribution to the Heisenberg derivative. From Proposition H.2 we obtain

$$\chi[H(\xi), \Gamma(q)]\chi = \check{\Gamma}(j)^* \chi^{\mathrm{ex}} [H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi), \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(q)] \chi^{\mathrm{ex}} \check{\Gamma}(j) + O(t^{-2}). \tag{3.29}$$

By inserting the decomposition (2.26), we get:

$$\check{\Gamma}(j)^* \chi^{\mathrm{ex}} [H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi), \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(q)] \chi^{\mathrm{ex}} \check{\Gamma}(j) = \check{\Gamma}(j)^* \Big(\bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \chi^{(\ell)} [H^{(\ell)}(\xi), \Gamma^{(\ell)}(q)] \chi^{(\ell)} \Big) \check{\Gamma}(j). \tag{3.30}$$

As before, it is enough to consider $\ell = 0$ and $\ell = 1$ terms. As for the $\ell = 0$ term,

$$\Gamma(j_0)\chi[H(\xi), \Gamma(q)]\chi\Gamma(j_0) = O(t^{-2}), \tag{3.31}$$

by Proposition H.1. The $\ell = 1$ term is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^* \chi^{(1)} [H^{(1)}(\xi), \Gamma(q) \otimes q] \chi^{(1)} \check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j) \\
&\quad = \check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^* \chi^{(1)} [H^{(1)}(\xi), \Gamma(q) \otimes 1](1 \otimes q) \chi^{(1)} \check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j) \\
&\qquad + \check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^* \chi^{(1)} (\Gamma(q) \otimes 1) [H^{(1)}(\xi), 1 \otimes q] \chi^{(1)} \check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

The first term on the r.h.s. above is $O(t^{-2})$ by Proposition H.3 and the second term contributes to (3.18). This concludes the proof of (3.18).

Let us now complete the proof of (3.19): First, we note that by Proposition F.2

$$[H^{(1)}(\xi), 1 \otimes q] \chi^{(1)} = O(t^{-1}). \tag{3.33}$$

Making use of this fact and of Lemma F.6, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^* \chi^{(1)} \tilde{\chi}^{(1)} (\Gamma(q) \otimes 1) [H^{(1)}(\xi), 1 \otimes q] \chi^{(1)} \check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j) \\
&\quad = \check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^* \chi^{(1)} (\Gamma(q) \otimes 1) \tilde{\chi}^{(1)} [H^{(1)}(\xi), 1 \otimes q] \chi^{(1)} \check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j) + O(t^{-2}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Next, we note that by Proposition I.1

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\chi}^{(1)} \mathrm{i} [H^{(1)}(\xi), 1 \otimes q] \chi^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{t} \tilde{\chi}^{(1)} C (1 \otimes (q')^t) \chi^{(1)} + O(t^{-2}) \\
&= \frac{1}{t} \tilde{\chi}^{(1)} C \tilde{\chi}^{(1)} (1 \otimes (q')^t) \chi^{(1)} + O(t^{-2}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

where C is a bounded operator on $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(1)}$, which satisfies $[C, 1 \otimes p^t] = O(t^{-1})$ for any $p \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})_\mathbb{R}$ s.t. $p' \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and in the second step in (3.35) we made use of Lemma G.3. The second contribution to C_t is thus given by

$$C_{2,t} := (\Gamma(q) \otimes 1) \tilde{\chi}^{(1)} C \tilde{\chi}^{(1)}. \tag{3.36}$$

Again, it is obvious that $C_{2,t}$ satisfies the first property in (3.20), and the second property in (3.20) follows from $[C, 1 \otimes p^t] = O(t^{-1})$ and Lemma G.3. □

4 Propagation estimates

In this section we use the expressions for Heisenberg derivatives of propagation observables, established in Section 3, to prove suitable minimal velocity propagation estimates. We will use these estimates in Section 5 to verify the existence of the relevant asymptotic observables.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_\mathbb{R}$ be supported in $\mathcal{J}_0$ and $\xi \in N_0$. Fix $0 < \varepsilon < c_0 < c_\mathrm{m}$, where c_m appeared in the Mourre estimate (2.38), and $R > \varepsilon$.*

(a) *Let $\mathcal{I}_0 = [-R, c_0]$. Then there exists $c > 0$ such that for all $\Psi^{(1)} \in \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(1)}$:*

$$\int_1^\infty dt\, \frac{1}{t} \langle \Psi_t^{(1)}, \chi^{(1)} (1 \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{I}_0}(a_{\xi_0}/t)) \chi^{(1)} \Psi_t^{(1)} \rangle \le c \|\Psi^{(1)}\|^2, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\Psi_t^{(1)} := \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{(1)}(\xi)} \Psi^{(1)}$ and $\chi^{(1)} := \chi(H^{(1)}(\xi))$.

(b) *Let j_0, j_∞ be as specified in Definition 3.1 and s.t. $j_0^2 + j_\infty^2 = 1$. Let $\mathcal{I} = [-R, -\varepsilon] \cup [\varepsilon, c_0]$. Then there exists $c > 0$ such that for all $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$:*

$$\int_1^\infty dt\, \frac{1}{t} \langle \Psi_t, \check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j^t)^* \chi^{(1)} (1 \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{I}}(a_{\xi_0}/t)) \chi^{(1)} \check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j^t) \Psi_t \rangle \le c \|\Psi\|^2, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\Psi_t := \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)} \Psi$.

Proof. We set $a := a_{\xi_0}$ and start with a brief consideration which is relevant for both parts of the proposition. Let $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be s.t. $q' \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $q' \geq 0$, $\sqrt{q'} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\operatorname{supp} q' \subset [-R-1, c_0']$ for some $c_0 < c_0' < c_{\mathrm{m}}$. Let us consider the propagation observable

$$\Phi^{(1)}(t) = \chi^{(1)}(1 \otimes q)\chi^{(1)}, \tag{4.3}$$

where we set $q := q(a/t)$. Its Heisenberg derivative gives

$$\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\Phi^{(1)}(t) = \chi^{(1)}\big(-\frac{1}{t}1 \otimes (a/t)q' + \mathrm{i}\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}[H^{(1)}(\xi), 1 \otimes q]\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}\big)\chi^{(1)}, \tag{4.4}$$

where we chose some function $\tilde{\chi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$, supported in $\mathcal{J}_0$, s.t. $\chi\tilde{\chi} = \chi$. Next, making use of Proposition I.1, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}[H^{(1)}(\xi), 1 \otimes q]\tilde{\chi}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{t}(1 \otimes \sqrt{q'})\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}[H^{(1)}(\xi), 1 \otimes a]^\circ\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}(1 \otimes \sqrt{q'}) + O(t^{-2}) \\ &\geq \frac{c_{\mathrm{m}}}{t}(1 \otimes \sqrt{q'})(\tilde{\chi}^{(1)})^2(1 \otimes \sqrt{q'}) + O(t^{-2}) \\ &= \frac{c_{\mathrm{m}}}{t}\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}(1 \otimes q')\tilde{\chi}^{(1)} + O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where in the second step we made use of the Mourre estimate (2.38) and in the last step of Lemma G.3. (The notation $[\ ,\ ]^\circ$ is explained in Appendix C). On the other hand

$$-\frac{1}{t}1 \otimes (a/t)q' \geq -\frac{c_0'}{t}1 \otimes q'. \tag{4.6}$$

Thus we obtain from (4.5) and (4.6) that

$$\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\Phi^{(1)}(t) \quad \geq \quad \frac{c}{t}\chi^{(1)}(1 \otimes q')\chi^{(1)} + O(t^{-2}), \tag{4.7}$$

where $c := c_{\mathrm{m}} - c_0' > 0$.

Now we are ready to prove part (a) of the proposition. By choosing q s.t. $q' = 1$ on $\mathcal{I}_0 = [-R, c_0]$, we obtain from (4.7) that

$$\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\Phi^{(1)}(t) \geq \frac{c}{t}\chi^{(1)}\big(1 \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{I}_0}(a/t)\big)\chi^{(1)} + O(t^{-2}), \tag{4.8}$$

By integrating this expression along the time evolution we obtain (4.1).

Proceeding to part (b) of the proposition we choose q' s.t. $\operatorname{supp} q' \subset [-R-1, -\varepsilon/2] \cup [\varepsilon/2, c_0']$ for $c_0 < c_0' < c_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $q' = 1$ on $[-R, -\varepsilon] \cup [\varepsilon, c_0]$. We also require that

$$q(\lambda) = \int_0^\lambda q'(s)ds \tag{4.9}$$

to ensure that q vanishes in a neighbourhood of zero. We consider the propagation observable

$$\Phi(t) = \chi\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q)\chi. \tag{4.10}$$

Proposition 3.3 gives that

$$\mathbf{D}\Phi(t) = \Gamma(j_0)\mathbf{D}\Phi(t)\Gamma(j_0) + \check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^*\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\Phi^{(1)}(t)\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j) + O(t^{-2}), \tag{4.11}$$

where we set $j := j^t$. As for the second term on the r.h.s. above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^*\chi^{(1)}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\Phi^{(1)}(t)\chi^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j) \\ &\qquad \geq \frac{c}{t}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^*\chi^{(1)}\big(1 \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{I}}(a/t)\big)\chi^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j) + O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where we made use of (4.7). Let us now estimate the first term on the r.h.s. of (4.11). We choose $\tilde{j}$ as specified in Definition 3.1, s.t. $\tilde{j}_0^2 + \tilde{j}_\infty^2 = 1$ and $\operatorname{supp} \tilde{j}_0$ does not intersect with the support of q. Then, making use again of Proposition 3.3 and of formula (4.12), we obtain

$$\Gamma(j_0)\mathbf{D}\Phi(t)\Gamma(j_0) = \Gamma(j_0)\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(\tilde{j})^*\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\Phi^{(1)}(t)\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(\tilde{j})\Gamma(j_0) + O(t^{-2}) \geq O(t^{-2}), \tag{4.13}$$

i.e. this term is bounded from below by an integrable contribution.

Making use of (4.12) and (4.13), we obtain

$$\mathbf{D}\Phi(t) \geq \frac{c}{t}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^*\chi^{(1)}\big(1 \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{I}}(a/t)\big)\chi^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j) + O(t^{-2}), \tag{4.14}$$

where $c > 0$. By integrating both sides of this inequality along the time evolution and making use of the fact that $\Phi(t)$ is bounded, uniformly in time, we conclude the proof. □

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_\mathbb{R}$ be supported in $\mathcal{J}_0$ and $\xi \in N_0$. Then there exist $c > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon_0 < c_\mathrm{m}/2$, where c_m appeared in the Mourre estimate (2.38), s.t. for any $R > 0$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$:*

$$\int_1^\infty \big\|\Gamma\big(\mathbf{1}_{[-R,\varepsilon_0]}(a_{\xi_0}/t)\big)\chi(H(\xi))\Psi_t\big\|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \leq c\|\Psi\|^2, \tag{4.15}$$

where $\Psi_t = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\Psi$.

Proof. We set $a := a_{\xi_0}$ and $A := \mathrm{d}\Gamma(a_{\xi_0})$. Let $q \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be s.t. $0 \leq q \leq 1$. Suppose that q is supported in $[-R-1, 2\varepsilon_0]$ and $q = 1$ on $[-R, \varepsilon_0]$ for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ to be specified later. Moreover, suppose that $q' = q_+ - q_-$, where $q_\pm \geq 0$, $\sqrt{q_\pm} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\operatorname{supp} q_+ \subset [-R-1, -R]$, $\operatorname{supp} q_- \subset [\varepsilon_0, 2\varepsilon_0]$.

We set $q^t := q(a/t)$ and introduce the propagation observable

$$\Phi_\xi(t) = \chi(H(\xi))\Gamma(q^t)\frac{A}{t}\Gamma(q^t)\chi(H(\xi)). \tag{4.16}$$

Note that by Corollary F.11 we have $\Gamma(q^t)\chi(H(\xi))\mathcal{F} \subset D(H(\xi)) \cap D(A)$, such that the computation above – as well as the one to follow – is meaningful. It can easily be shown that Φ_ξ is bounded uniformly in time. Let us now study the Heisenberg derivative of Φ_ξ: We set $q := q^t$, $\chi := \chi(H(\xi))$ and write:

$$\mathbf{D}\Phi_\xi(t) = \chi\Gamma(q)\mathbf{D}\big(\frac{A}{t}\big)\Gamma(q)\chi + \chi\mathbf{D}(\Gamma(q))\frac{A}{t}\Gamma(q)\chi + \chi\Gamma(q)\frac{A}{t}\mathbf{D}(\Gamma(q))\chi. \tag{4.17}$$

As for the first term on the r.h.s. above, we obtain:

$$\chi\Gamma(q)\mathbf{D}\big(\frac{A}{t}\big)\Gamma(q)\chi = -\frac{1}{t}\chi\Gamma(q)\frac{A}{t}\Gamma(q)\chi + \frac{1}{t}\chi\Gamma(q)\mathrm{i}[H(\xi), A]^\circ\Gamma(q)\chi. \tag{4.18}$$

Concerning the first term on the r.h.s. of (4.18), we note the bound

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{t}\chi\Gamma(q)\frac{A}{t}\Gamma(q)\chi &= \frac{1}{t}\chi\Gamma(q)\tilde{\chi}\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q_1, (a/t)q_1)\tilde{\chi}\Gamma(q)\chi + O(t^{-2}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t}\chi\Gamma(q)\tilde{\chi}\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q_1, (a/t)q_2)\tilde{\chi}\Gamma(q)\chi + O(t^{-2}) \\ &\leq c\varepsilon_0\frac{1}{t}\chi\Gamma(q)^2\chi + O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

where c is independent of ε_0 and R. Here $\tilde{\chi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ is s.t. $\tilde{\chi}\chi = \chi$ and $\tilde{\chi}$ is supported in $\mathscr{J}_0$. We also chose functions $q_1, q_2 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $0 \le q_1, q_2 \le 1$ s.t. $qq_1 = q$, $\operatorname{supp} q_1 \subset [-R-2, 3\varepsilon_0]$, $\operatorname{supp} q_2 \subset [-3\varepsilon_0, 3\varepsilon_0]$ and $q_1(s)s \le q_2(s)s$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. In (4.19) we made use of Lemma F.6, the fact that $\Gamma(q_1)\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a) = \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q_1, q_1 a)$, and

$$\|\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q_1, (a/t)q_2)(1+N)^{-1}\| \le \|(a/t)q_2\| \le 3\varepsilon_0. \tag{4.20}$$

In view of this bound it is clear that the constant $c = 3\|(1+N)\tilde{\chi}\|\|\tilde{\chi}\|$, appearing in (4.19), is independent of R, ε_0. As for the second term on the r.h.s. of (4.18), we write

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{t}\chi\Gamma(q)\mathrm{i}[H(\xi), A]^\circ\Gamma(q)\chi &= \frac{1}{t}\tilde{\chi}\Gamma(q)\chi\mathrm{i}[H(\xi), A]^\circ\chi\Gamma(q)\tilde{\chi} + O(t^{-2})\\ &\ge c_{\mathrm{m}}\frac{1}{t}\tilde{\chi}\Gamma(q)\chi^2\Gamma(q)\tilde{\chi} + O(t^{-2})\\ &= c_{\mathrm{m}}\frac{1}{t}\chi\Gamma(q)^2\chi + O(t^{-2}).\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

The first step above follows from Lemma F.6 and from the fact that

$$\|[H(\xi), A]^\circ\Gamma(q)\chi\| < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \|[H(\xi), A]^\circ\chi\| < \infty. \tag{4.22}$$

These bounds are simple consequences of Corollary F.11 and Lemma I.2, after rewriting

$$\begin{aligned}[H(\xi), A]^\circ\Gamma(q)\chi = \big\{&[H(\xi), A]^\circ(N+1)^{-1}\Gamma(q)(H_0(\xi)+1)^{-3}\big\}\\ &\times\big\{(N+1)(H_0(\xi)+1)^{-1}\big\}\big\{(H_0(\xi)+1)^4\chi\big\}\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

and recalling Corollary E.4. In the second step of (4.21) we used (2.37) and in the last step once more Lemma F.6. Summing up, we got

$$\chi\Gamma(q)\mathbf{D}\big(\frac{A}{t}\big)\Gamma(q)\chi \ge (c_{\mathrm{m}} - c\varepsilon_0)\frac{1}{t}\chi\Gamma(q)^2\chi + O(t^{-2}). \tag{4.24}$$

Let us now consider the remaining two terms on the r.h.s. of (4.17). First, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}\chi\mathbf{D}(\Gamma(q))\frac{A}{t}\Gamma(q)\chi &= \chi\mathbf{D}(\Gamma(q))\tilde{\chi}\frac{A}{t}\Gamma(q)\chi + \chi\mathbf{D}(\Gamma(q))[\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, (a/t)q), \tilde{\chi}]\chi\\ &= \chi\tilde{\chi}\mathbf{D}(\Gamma(q))\tilde{\chi}\frac{A}{t}\Gamma(q)\chi + O(t^{-2}).\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

Here in the second step we applied Lemma I.3 and Proposition F.5, which ensures that $\chi\mathbf{D}(\Gamma(q)) = O(t^{-1})$. As for the first term on the r.h.s. above, we obtain from Proposition 3.4:

$$\tilde{\chi}\mathbf{D}(\Gamma(q))\tilde{\chi} = \mathbf{D}(\tilde{\chi}\Gamma(q)\tilde{\chi}) = \frac{1}{t}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^*\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}C_t(1\otimes q')\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j) + O(t^{-2}), \tag{4.26}$$

where we set $j := j^t$ and $q' := (q')^t$. Thus, recalling that $q' = q_+ - q_-$ and $\sqrt{q_\pm} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, we can write

$$\mathbf{D}(\tilde{\chi}\Gamma(q)\tilde{\chi}) = \sum_{\sigma\in\{\pm\}}\sigma\frac{1}{t}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^*\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}(1\otimes\sqrt{q_\sigma})C_t(1\otimes\sqrt{q_\sigma})\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j) + O(t^{-2}), \tag{4.27}$$

where we exploited the second property in (3.20). Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi\tilde{\chi}\mathbf{D}(\Gamma(q))\tilde{\chi}\frac{A}{t}\Gamma(q)\chi\\ &\quad= \sum_{\sigma\in\{\pm\}}\sigma\frac{1}{t}\chi\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^*\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}(1\otimes\sqrt{q_\sigma})C_t\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(1)}(q, (a/t)q)(1\otimes\sqrt{q_\sigma})\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)\chi\\ &\qquad\quad + O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

where we made use of the fact that $\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)A\Gamma(q) = \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(1)}(q,(a/t)q)\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)$ and then of Lemma I.3 to exchange $\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(1)}(q,(a/t)q)$ with $\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}$. Since $C_t\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(1)}(q,(a/t)q) = O(1)$, by the first part of property (3.20), we obtain for any $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\big|\big\langle \Psi_t, \chi\tilde{\chi}\mathbf{D}(\Gamma(q))\tilde{\chi}\frac{A}{t}\Gamma(q)\chi\Psi_t\big\rangle\big| \\ &\qquad \leq \sum_{\sigma\in\{\pm\}} \frac{c}{t}\|(1\otimes\sqrt{q_\sigma})\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)\chi\Psi_t\|^2 + O(t^{-2})\|\Psi\|^2. \qquad (4.29)\end{aligned}$$

This expression is integrable, uniformly in Ψ from the unit ball in $\mathcal{F}$, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Proposition 4.1. (To apply this latter proposition we assume that $2\varepsilon_0 < c_{\mathrm{m}}$). The last term on the r.h.s of (4.17) is treated analogously.

Altogether, we have obtained that

$$\mathbf{D}\Phi_\xi(t) \geq (c_{\mathrm{m}} - c\varepsilon_0)\frac{1}{t}\chi\Gamma(q)^2\chi + B(t) + O(t^{-2}), \qquad (4.30)$$

where c is independent of ε_0 and R, and $B(t)$ is integrable along the time-evolution provided that $2\varepsilon_0 < c_{\mathrm{m}}$. By choosing ε_0 sufficiently small, we conclude the proof. □

5 Existence of some asymptotic observables

As usually in the time-dependent approach to the problem of asymptotic completeness, the central question is the existence of suitable asymptotic observables as strong limits, as time goes to infinity, of their approximating sequences. In this section we answer this question with the help of the propagation estimates established in Section 4. With this information at hand, the proof of asymptotic completeness, completed in Sections 6 and 7, is relatively straightforward.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ be supported in $\mathcal{J}_0$ and $\xi \in N_0$. Let $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be s.t. $0 \leq q \leq 1$, $q' \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\operatorname{supp} q' \subset (-\infty, c_{\mathrm{m}})\backslash[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]$, for some $0 < \varepsilon < c_{\mathrm{m}}$, where c_{m} appeared in (2.37). Then the following strong limit exists*

$$Q^+(H(\xi))\chi := \mathrm{s}\!-\!\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\Gamma(q^t)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\chi, \qquad (5.1)$$

and commutes with bounded Borel functions of $H(\xi)$. (Here we set $\chi := \chi(H(\xi))$). Moreover, if $\operatorname{supp} q \subset (-\infty, \varepsilon_0)$, where ε_0 appeared in Proposition 4.2, then $Q^+(H(\xi))\chi = 0$.

Proof. We set $q := q^t$ and define $\Phi(t) = \chi\Gamma(q)\chi$. Making use of Proposition 3.4, we obtain

$$\mathbf{D}\Phi(t) = \frac{1}{t}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^*\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}C_t(1\otimes q')\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j) + O(t^{-2}), \qquad (5.2)$$

where we set $j := j^t$. Let $\tilde{q} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be supported in $(-\infty, c_{\mathrm{m}})\backslash[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]$ and equal to one on the support of q'. Then, making use of the second property in (3.20), we can write

$$\mathbf{D}\Phi(t) = \frac{1}{t}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)^*\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}(1\otimes\tilde{q})C_t(1\otimes q')\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j) + O(t^{-2}). \qquad (5.3)$$

Since $C_t = O(1)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|\langle\Psi_{1,t}, \mathbf{D}\Phi(t)\Psi_{2,t}\rangle| \leq{}& \frac{c}{t}\|(1\otimes\tilde{q})\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)\Psi_{1,t}\|\,\|(1\otimes q')\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)\Psi_{2,t}\| \\ &+ O(t^{-2})\|\Psi_1\|\|\Psi_2\|, \qquad (5.4)\end{aligned}$$

where $\Psi_i \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\Psi_{i,t} = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\Psi_i$, $i \in \{1,2\}$. By integrating both sides of this inequality over some time interval, applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the integral of the first term on the r.h.s. of (5.4), taking supremum over Ψ_1 s.t. $\|\Psi_1\| \leq 1$ and exploiting Proposition 4.1, we obtain strong convergence in (5.1) by the Cook method. Now we choose $\tilde{\chi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_\mathbb{R}$, supported in $\mathcal{J}_0$ and s.t. $\tilde{\chi}\chi = \chi$. Lemma F.6 gives

$$\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\Gamma(q)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\chi = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\tilde{\chi}\Gamma(q)\tilde{\chi}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\chi + O(t^{-1}). \tag{5.5}$$

The second term on the r.h.s. above converges strongly by the above consideration. By a computation analogous to (5.5) one shows that $Q^+(H(\xi))\chi$ commutes with $H(\xi)$. This concludes the proof of (5.1).

Let us now show the last statement of the theorem, i.e. that for q s.t. $\operatorname{supp} q \subset (-\infty, \varepsilon_0)$ there holds

$$Q^+(H(\xi))\chi = 0. \tag{5.6}$$

Let $q_R \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $0 \leq q_R \leq 1$, be s.t. $q_R(s) = q(s)$ for $s \in (-R, \infty)$ and $q_R = 0$ for $s < -R-1$, for some $R > c_\mathrm{m}$. Then, coming back to the explicit notation $q^t = q(a/t)$ and $q_R^t = q_R(a/t)$, we obtain from Proposition 4.2 and from (5.1) that

$$\mathrm{s-}\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\Gamma(q_R^t)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\chi = 0. \tag{5.7}$$

On the other hand, Lemma K.1 gives that

$$\|\big(\Gamma(q_R^t) - \Gamma(q^t)\big)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\chi\Psi\| = O(R^{-1}) \tag{5.8}$$

uniformly in t for Ψ from some dense domain in $\mathcal{F}$. This concludes the proof of (5.6). □

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_\mathbb{R}$ be supported in $\mathcal{J}_0$ and $\xi \in N_0$. Let $p \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be s.t. $0 \leq p \leq 1$, $p' \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\operatorname{supp} p' \subset (-\infty, c_\mathrm{m})$, where c_m appeared in (2.37). Then the following strong limit exists*

$$Q^+(H^\mathrm{ex}(\xi))_\infty\chi^\mathrm{ex} := \mathrm{s-}\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH^\mathrm{ex}(\xi)}\big(1\otimes\Gamma(p^t)\big)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^\mathrm{ex}(\xi)}\chi^\mathrm{ex}, \tag{5.9}$$

and commutes with bounded Borel functions of $H^\mathrm{ex}(\xi)$. (Here we set $\chi^\mathrm{ex} := \chi(H^\mathrm{ex}(\xi))$).

If, in addition, $p = 1$ on $[c_\mathrm{m}, \infty)$, then

$$Q^+(H^\mathrm{ex}(\xi))_\infty\chi^\mathrm{ex} = \chi^\mathrm{ex}. \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. Concerning the proof of (5.9), we set $p := p^t$, choose $\tilde{\chi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_\mathbb{R}$, supported in $\mathcal{J}_0$ and s.t. $\chi\tilde{\chi} = \chi$. We note the relation

$$[\tilde{\chi}^\mathrm{ex}, 1\otimes\Gamma(p)]\chi^\mathrm{ex} = [\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}, 1\otimes p]\chi^{(1)} = O(t^{-1}), \tag{5.11}$$

which is a consequence of the decomposition (2.26), Lemma 2.1, (which ensures that only $\ell = 0$ and $\ell = 1$ terms survive in this expansion), and of Lemma G.3. Thus it suffices to prove strong convergence of $t \to \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH^\mathrm{ex}(\xi)}\chi^\mathrm{ex}(1\otimes\Gamma(p))\chi^\mathrm{ex}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^\mathrm{ex}(\xi)}$ for any $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_\mathbb{R}$ supported in $\mathcal{J}_0$. We apply decomposition (2.26) and Lemma 2.1 to this expression. The $\ell = 0$ component gives $\chi(H(\xi))^2$ which is time-independent. The $\ell = 1$ component has the form

$$t \to \chi^{(1)}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH^{(1)}(\xi)}(1\otimes p)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{(1)}(\xi)}\chi^{(1)}. \tag{5.12}$$

We consider the propagation observable $\Phi_\infty(t) := \chi^{(1)}(1\otimes p)\chi^{(1)}$. To prove the strong convergence of (5.12) we will show integrability of the Heisenberg derivative

$$\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\Phi_\infty(t) = \chi^{(1)}\big(-\frac{1}{t}1\otimes(a/t)p' + \mathrm{i}[H^{(1)}(\xi), 1\otimes p]\big)\chi^{(1)}. \tag{5.13}$$

By Proposition I.1

$$\chi^{(1)}[H^{(1)}(\xi), 1\otimes p]\chi^{(1)} = \frac{1}{t}\chi^{(1)}C(1\otimes p')\chi^{(1)} + O(t^{-2}), \tag{5.14}$$

where C is a bounded operator on $\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{(1)}$, which satisfies

$$[C, 1\otimes p_1^t] = O(t^{-1}) \tag{5.15}$$

for any $p_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})_\mathbb{R}$ s.t. $p_1' \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\tilde{p} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_\mathbb{R}$ be supported in $(-\infty, c_\mathrm{m})$ and be equal to one on the support of p'. Then, due to (5.15), we obtain

$$\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\Phi_\infty(t) = \frac{1}{t}\chi^{(1)}(1\otimes\tilde{p})\tilde{C}_t(1\otimes p')\chi^{(1)} + O(t^{-2}), \tag{5.16}$$

where $\tilde{C}_t = -1\otimes(a/t)\tilde{p} + C$ is a family of operators which is uniformly bounded in t. Thus we can write

$$|\langle\Psi_{1,t}, \mathbf{D}^{(1)}\Phi_\infty(t)\Psi_{2,t}\rangle| \leq \frac{c}{t}\|(1\otimes\tilde{p})\chi^{(1)}\Psi_{1,t}\|\|(1\otimes p')\chi^{(1)}\Psi_{2,t}\| + O(t^{-2})\|\Psi_1\|\|\Psi_2\|, \tag{5.17}$$

where $\Psi_i \in \mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{(1)}$, $\Psi_{i,t} = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{(1)}(\xi)}\Psi_i$, $i \in \{1,2\}$. With the help of this bound, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Proposition 4.1, we obtain strong convergence of (5.12) by the Cook method. This completes the proof of (5.9). To show that the limit commutes with bounded functions of the Hamiltonian, one proceeds analogously as in relation (5.11) above.

Let us now proceed to the proof of (5.10). We come back to the explicit notation $p^t = p(a/t)$. As we have shown above (cf. formula (5.12))

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)}\big(1\otimes\Gamma(p^t)\big)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)}\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\\ &\qquad = \chi(H(\xi)) \oplus \tilde{\chi}^{(1)}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH^{(1)}(\xi)}(1\otimes p^t)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{(1)}(\xi)}\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}\chi^{(1)} + O(t^{-1}).\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

Thus it suffices to show that for $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{(1)}$ there holds

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\langle\Psi_t, \chi^{(1)}(1\otimes p(a/t))\chi^{(1)}\Psi_t\rangle = \langle\Psi, (\chi^{(1)})^2\Psi\rangle. \tag{5.19}$$

Setting $q := 1 - p$, this is equivalent to

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\langle\Psi_t, \chi^{(1)}(1\otimes q(a/t))\chi^{(1)}\Psi_t\rangle = 0. \tag{5.20}$$

We note that $\operatorname{supp} q \subset (-\infty, c_\mathrm{m})$. Let us choose a function $q_R \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $0 \leq q_R \leq 1$, which coincides with q on $(-R, \infty)$, but is equal to zero on $(-\infty, -R-1]$ for some $R > 1$. We obtain from (4.1) that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\langle\Psi_t, \chi^{(1)}(1\otimes q_R(a/t))\chi^{(1)}\Psi_t\rangle = 0, \tag{5.21}$$

where we exploited the first part of this proposition to obtain convergence.

Now let Ψ be an element of the domain of $1\otimes a$. Then Ψ belongs to the domain of $(1\otimes a)\chi^{(1)}$, since $H^{(1)}(\xi)$ is of class $C^1(1\otimes a)$. Cf. [47, Lemma 2.2, Proposition 2.8].

Furthermore, the operator representing the commutator form $\mathrm{i}[H^{(1)}(\xi), 1\otimes a]$ is given by $\mathrm{i}[H^{(1)}(\xi), 1\otimes a]^{\circ} = -\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(1)}(k))\cdot(1\otimes v) + 1\otimes\nabla\omega\cdot v$, which is $H^{(1)}(\xi)$-bounded. Consequently, the group $\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{(1)}(\xi)}$ preserves $D(1\otimes a)\cap D(H^{(1)}(\xi))$. Now we set $\tilde{q}_R := q - q_R$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\langle \Psi_t, \chi^{(1)}(1\otimes \tilde{q}_R(a/t))\chi^{(1)}\Psi_t\big\rangle \\
&\quad = \big\langle \Psi, \chi^{(1)}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH^{(1)}(\xi)}\Big(1\otimes\frac{\tilde{q}_R(a/t)}{(a/t)}\Big)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{(1)}(\xi)}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH^{(1)}(\xi)}\Big(1\otimes\frac{a}{t}\Big)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{(1)}(\xi)}\chi^{(1)}\Psi\big\rangle \\
&\quad = \big\langle \Psi, \chi^{(1)}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH^{(1)}(\xi)}\Big(1\otimes\frac{\tilde{q}_R(a/t)}{(a/t)}\Big)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{(1)}(\xi)} \\
&\qquad \times \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t dt'\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t'H^{(1)}(\xi)}\mathrm{i}[H^{(1)}(\xi), 1\otimes a]^{\circ}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t'H^{(1)}(\xi)}\chi^{(1)}\Psi\big\rangle \\
&\qquad + \big\langle \Psi, \chi^{(1)}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH^{(1)}(\xi)}\Big(1\otimes\frac{\tilde{q}_R(a/t)}{(a/t)}\Big)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{(1)}(\xi)}\frac{1}{t}(1\otimes a)\chi^{(1)}\Psi\big\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

Hence, making use of the fact that $\|[H^{(1)}(\xi), 1\otimes a]^{\circ}\chi^{(1)}\| < \infty$, (cf. Lemma I.2), we obtain

$$|\langle \Psi_t, (1\otimes\tilde{q}_R(a/t))\Psi_t\rangle| \le \frac{c}{R}\|\Psi\|^2 + \frac{c}{Rt}\|\Psi\|\,\|(1\otimes a)\chi^{(1)}\Psi\|. \tag{5.23}$$

Since this expression can be made arbitrarily small, uniformly in t, by choosing R sufficiently large, we have proven (5.20) for Ψ in the domain of $(1\otimes a)$, which is dense. This concludes the proof. ☐

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ be supported in $\mathcal{J}_0$ and $\xi \in N_0$. Let j_0, j_∞ be as specified in Definition 3.1, s.t. $j_0^2 + j_\infty^2 = 1$, $\operatorname{supp} j_0' \subset (-\infty, c_{\mathrm{m}})$ and hence $\operatorname{supp} j_\infty' \subset (-\infty, c_{\mathrm{m}})$, where c_{m} appeared in (2.37). Let $q = (q_0, q_\infty) := (j_0^2, j_\infty^2)$ (in particular $q_0 + q_\infty = 1$). Then the following strong limits exist:*

$$W^+(q^t)(\xi)\chi^{\mathrm{ex}} := \mathrm{s}\!-\!\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\check{\Gamma}(q^t)^*\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)}\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}, \tag{5.24}$$

$$W^+(q^t)(\xi)^*\chi := \mathrm{s}\!-\!\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)}\check{\Gamma}(q^t)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\chi, \tag{5.25}$$

where we set $\chi := \chi(H(\xi))$ and $\chi^{\mathrm{ex}} := \chi(H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi))$. These operators intertwine (bounded Borel functions of) $H(\xi)$ and $H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)$.

Proof. We set $q := q^t$, $j := j^t$ and consider the asymptotic observable $\Phi(t) = \chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(q)\chi$. Its non-symetric Heisenberg derivative is given by

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}\Phi(t) = \chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\big(\mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}(q, \partial_t q) + \mathrm{i}H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)\check{\Gamma}(q) - \mathrm{i}\check{\Gamma}(q)H(\xi)\big)\chi. \tag{5.26}$$

The first term on the r.h.s. above can be rearranged as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}(q, \partial_t q) &= 2\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j}, \underline{\partial_t j})\check{\Gamma}(j) \\
&= 2\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(j_0, \partial_t j_0)\otimes\Gamma(j_\infty) + \Gamma(j_0)\otimes\mathrm{d}\Gamma(j_\infty, \partial_t j_\infty)\big)\check{\Gamma}(j),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

where $\underline{j} = \mathrm{diag}(j_0, j_\infty)$, $\underline{\partial_t j} := \mathrm{diag}(\partial_t j_0, \partial_t j_\infty)$ are propagation observables on $\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{h}$ and in the last step we made use of Lemma A.3. As for the remaining terms on the r.h.s. of (5.26), we obtain from Lemma J.1 that

$$\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\big(H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)\check{\Gamma}(q) - \check{\Gamma}(q)H(\xi)\big)\chi = 2\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}[H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi), \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j})]\check{\Gamma}(j)\chi + O(t^{-2}). \tag{5.28}$$

Thus, altogether, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}\Phi(t) &= 2\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j},\underline{\partial_t j}) + \mathrm{i}[H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi),\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j})]\big)\check{\Gamma}(j)\chi + O(t^{-2}) && (5.29)\\ &= 2\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j},\underline{\partial_t j}) + \mathrm{i}[H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi),\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j})]\big)\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j)\chi + O(t^{-2}), && (5.30)\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we chose $\tilde{\chi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$, supported in $\mathcal{J}_0$ and s.t. $\tilde{\chi}\chi = \chi$. To exchange $\check{\Gamma}(j)\tilde{\chi}$ with $\tilde{\chi}^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j)$, we made use of Lemma F.10 and of the fact that

$$\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}[H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi),\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j})] = O(t^{-1}), \tag{5.31}$$

which follows from Proposition F.5.

Now we apply decomposition (2.26) of $H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)$. As for the $\ell = 0$ component, we obtain from (5.29)

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}\Phi^{(0)}(t) &= \chi\big(2\mathrm{d}\Gamma(j_0,\partial_t j_0) + 2\mathrm{i}[H(\xi),\Gamma(j_0)]\big)\Gamma(j_0)\chi + O(t^{-2})\\ &= \chi\big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q_0,\partial_t q_0) + \mathrm{i}[H(\xi),\Gamma(q_0)]\big)\chi + O(t^{-2}). && (5.32)\end{aligned}$$

To justify the second step above we make use of the relations

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q_0,\partial_t q_0) = 2\mathrm{d}\Gamma(j_0,\partial_t j_0)\Gamma(j_0), && (5.33)\\ &\chi[H(\xi),\Gamma(q_0)]\chi = 2\chi[H(\xi),\Gamma(j_0)]\Gamma(j_0)\chi + \chi[\Gamma(j_0),[H(\xi),\Gamma(j_0)]]\chi, && (5.34)\end{aligned}$$

and of the fact that the last term on the r.h.s. of (5.34) is $O(t^{-2})$ by Lemma J.2. We note that the first term on the r.h.s. of (5.32) is the Heisenberg derivative of $\Phi_0(t) := \chi\Gamma(q_0)\chi$. We recall that $q_0' = (j_0^2)' = 2j_0 j_0'$ and, by Definition 3.1, j_0 is equal to one in some interval $[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]$, $0 < \varepsilon < c_{\mathrm{m}}$. Thus $\operatorname{supp} q_0' \subset (-\infty, c_{\mathrm{m}})\backslash[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]$ and the Heisenberg derivative of Φ_0 can be shown to be integrable along the time evolution as in the proof of Proposition 5.1.

Let us proceed to the $\ell = 1$ component: Let $\chi^{(1)} := \chi(H^{(1)}(\xi))$. From (5.30) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}\Phi^{(1)}(t) = 2\chi^{(1)}\big(&\mathrm{d}\Gamma(j_0,\partial_t j_0)\otimes j_\infty + \Gamma(j_0)\otimes\partial_t j_\infty\\ &+ \mathrm{i}[H^{(1)}(\xi),\Gamma(j_0)\otimes j_\infty]\big)\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)\chi + O(t^{-2}). && (5.35)\end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi^{(1)}(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(j_0,\partial_t j_0)\otimes j_\infty)\tilde{\chi}^{(1)} = O(t^{-2}), && (5.36)\\ &\chi^{(1)}[H^{(1)}(\xi),\Gamma(j_0)\otimes 1](1\otimes j_\infty)\tilde{\chi}^{(1)} = O(t^{-2}), && (5.37)\end{aligned}$$

where (5.36) follows from Corollary G.4 and (5.37) is a consequence of Proposition H.3. Thus we obtain from (5.35) that

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}\Phi^{(1)}(t) &= 2\chi^{(1)}(\Gamma(j_0)\otimes 1)\mathbf{D}^{(1)}(1\otimes j_\infty)\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)\chi + O(t^{-2})\\ &= 2\chi^{(1)}(\Gamma(j_0)\otimes 1)\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}(1\otimes j_\infty)\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)\chi + O(t^{-2}), && (5.38)\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we made use of the fact that $[\tilde{\chi}^{(1)},\Gamma(j_0)\otimes 1)] = O(t^{-1})$, which follows from Lemma F.6 and of the estimate $\mathbf{D}^{(1)}(1\otimes j_\infty)\tilde{\chi}^{(1)} = O(t^{-1})$, which is a consequence of Proposition F.2. Proceeding as in (5.13)–(5.16) above, we obtain that

$$\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}(1\otimes j_\infty)\tilde{\chi}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{t}\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}(1\otimes\tilde{j}_\infty)\tilde{C}_t(1\otimes j_\infty')\tilde{\chi}^{(1)} + O(t^{-2}), \tag{5.39}$$

where $\tilde{j}_\infty \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_\mathbb{R}$ is supported in $(-\infty, c_\mathrm{m})$ and is equal to one on the support of j'_∞, and $t \to \tilde{C}_t$ is a family of operators, which is uniformly bounded in t. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle \Psi_{1,t}, \widetilde{\mathbf{D}}\Phi^{(1)}(t)\Psi_{2,t}\rangle| \qquad (5.40)\\ &\quad \le \frac{c}{t}\|(1\otimes|\tilde{j}_\infty|)\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}\Psi_{1,t}\|\,\|(1\otimes|j'_\infty|)\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}\check{\Gamma}^{(1)}(j)\chi\Psi_{2,t}\| + O(t^{-2})\|\Psi_1\|\,\|\Psi_2\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Psi_{1,t} = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{(1)}(\xi)}\Psi_1$ and $\Psi_{2,t} = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\Psi_2$ for some arbitrary vectors $\Psi_1 \in \mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{(1)}$, $\Psi_2 \in \mathcal{F}$. Due to the support properties of $\tilde{j}_\infty$ and the fact that $\operatorname{supp} j'_\infty \subset (-\infty, c_\mathrm{m})\backslash[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]$, (since $j_0 = 1$ on $[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]$ and $j_0^2 + j_\infty^2 = 1$), we can apply Proposition 4.1 to show integrability of (5.40).

Thus we obtained that both $t \to \Phi(t)$ and $t \to \Phi(t)^*$ converge strongly. Now the result follows by an application of Lemma F.10, which also gives the intertwining property. □

6 Localized wave operators

In this section we construct localized wave operators, defined on a small neighbourhood $\mathcal{O}$ of any point $(\xi_0, \lambda_0) \in \mathcal{E}^{(1)}\backslash(\mathcal{T}^{(1)}\cup\mathrm{Exc}\cup\Sigma_\mathrm{pp})$. The adjective 'localized', used to describe the wave operators constructed in this section, requires a brief clarification: On the one hand it alludes to their construction in an energy-momentum spectral subspace of the small set $\mathcal{O}$. On the other hand it refers to the Sigal-Soffer type localization onto a spectral subspace, constructed using the one-body propagation observable $\tilde{a}_{\xi_0}$ (cf. expression (6.1) below) and describing classically permitted scattering configurations. The fact that these localized wave operators turn out to coincide with the conventional wave operators is due to the Mourre estimate preventing scattering states from occupying classically forbidden configurations in the large time limit.

Definition 6.1. We set $\mathcal{O}_0 = N_0 \times \mathcal{J}_0$, where N_0 and $\mathcal{J}_0$ appeared in Theorem 2.2 and choose an open bounded neighbourhood $\mathcal{O}$ of (ξ_0, λ_0), whose closure is contained in the interior of $\mathcal{O}_0$.

We recall from Theorem 2.2 that with the set $\mathcal{O}_0$ we can associate the observable $a_{\xi_0} = \frac{1}{2}\{v_{\xi_0}\cdot \mathrm{i}\nabla_k + \mathrm{i}\nabla_k \cdot v_{\xi_0}\}$ which enters into the Mourre estimates. We define the following counterpart of this observable

$$\tilde{a}_{\xi_0} := \frac{1}{2}\{(1\otimes v_{\xi_0})\cdot z + z\cdot(1\otimes v_{\xi_0})\}, \qquad (6.1)$$

where $z := 1\otimes x - y\otimes 1$ on $\mathcal{K}\otimes\mathfrak{h}$ is the relative distance between the electron and the boson and we set $x := \mathrm{i}\nabla_k$. In the remaining part of the section we will set $v := v_{\xi_0}$, $a := a_{\xi_0}$ and $\tilde{a} := \tilde{a}_{\xi_0}$, unless stated otherwise.

Remark 6.2. We will make use of an extension of the expression $\Gamma(q)$ to contractions q on $\mathcal{K}\otimes\mathfrak{h}$, which was discussed in [47, Remark 1.1]. We leave it to the reader to check that this remark applies, whenever we meet second quantization in the extended sense discussed here. Furthermore we will also need to work with such operators q viewed as acting in $\mathcal{K}\otimes\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathfrak{h}$, but skipping over the middle $\mathcal{F}$-component. This is what is meant $q_\infty(\tilde{a}/t)$ in Theorem 6.6.

Finally, we warn the reader that we will be abusing notation, in particular in Proposition 6.5, by writing $1\otimes\Gamma(p_\delta(\tilde{a}/t))$, for the operator $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma(p_\delta(\tilde{a}/t))\otimes 1)\mathcal{E}$, where $\mathcal{E}\colon \mathcal{H}^\mathrm{ex} \to \mathcal{H}^\mathrm{ex}$ is the exchange operator defined on simple tensors by $\mathcal{E}(f\otimes\eta\otimes\eta') = f\otimes\eta'\otimes\eta$.

Before we proceed to the construction of asymptotic objects in $\mathcal{O}$, we need one more definition:

Definition 6.3. Let $0 < c_0 < \varepsilon_0$, where ε_0 appeared in Proposition 4.2. Let $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be s.t. $0 \leq q \leq 1$, $q(s) = 1$ for $s \leq c_0/2$ and $q(s) = 0$ for $s > c_0$. Furthermore, q is a non-increasing function. We write $q_\delta(s) = q(s/\delta)$ and $q_\delta^t(s) = q_\delta(s/t)$ for $0 < \delta \leq 1$.

Proposition 6.4. *Let q be as specified in Definition 6.3. Then the following strong limit exists*

$$Q_\delta^+(H) := \mathrm{s-}\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\Gamma(q_\delta(\tilde{a}/t))\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}E(\mathcal{O} \cup \Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}}), \tag{6.2}$$

and equals $E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}})$. In particular, $Q^+(H) := Q_\delta^+(H)$ is independent of δ.

Proof. Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{\nu+1})_{\mathbb{R}}$ be equal to one on $\mathcal{O}$ and be supported in $\mathcal{O}_0$. Then $E(\mathcal{O}) = \chi(P, H)E(\mathcal{O})$ and we can write

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\delta^t(H)E(\mathcal{O}) &= \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\Gamma(q_\delta(\tilde{a}/t))\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\chi(P, H)E(\mathcal{O}) \\ &= I_{\mathrm{LLP}}^*\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^\nu}^{\oplus} d\xi\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\Gamma(q_\delta(a/t))\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\chi(\xi, H(\xi))\Big)I_{\mathrm{LLP}}E(\mathcal{O}), \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

where we denoted by $Q_\delta^t(H)$ the approximants on the r.h.s. of (6.2). It follows from Theorem 5.1, the dominated convergence theorem and the properties of q specified in Definition 6.3, that this expression converges to zero as $t \to \infty$.

Let us consider now $Q_\delta^t(H)E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}})$. We recall from Section 2.3 that Σ_{iso} is a union of graphs of at most countably many analytic functions $p\colon N \to \mathbb{R}$, where $N \subset \mathbb{R}^\nu$ are open sets. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a graph of one of these functions. Then we obtain

$$Q_\delta^t(H)E(\mathcal{G})\Psi = I_{LLP}^* \int_N^{\oplus} d\xi\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t(H(\xi)-p(\xi))}\Gamma(q_\delta(a/t))\Psi_\xi, \tag{6.4}$$

where $\mathbb{R}^\nu \ni \xi \to \Psi_\xi \in \mathcal{F}$ is a square-integrable Borel function representing Ψ. Now by the dominated convergence theorem $\lim_{t\to\infty} Q_\delta^t(H)E(\mathcal{G})\Psi = E(\mathcal{G})\Psi$. ☐

Proposition 6.5. *Let q and $1 - p$ be as specified in Definition 6.3. Then the following strong limits exist*

$$Q_\delta^+(H^{\mathrm{ex}})_0 := \mathrm{s-}\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}}\big(\Gamma(q_\delta(\tilde{a}/t)) \otimes 1\big)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}}E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O}), \tag{6.5}$$

$$Q_\delta^+(H^{\mathrm{ex}})_\infty := \mathrm{s-}\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}}\big(1 \otimes \Gamma(p_\delta(\tilde{a}/t))\big)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}}E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O}), \tag{6.6}$$

$$Q_\delta^+(H^{\mathrm{ex}}) := \mathrm{s-}\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}}\big(\Gamma(q_\delta(\tilde{a}/t)) \otimes \Gamma(p_\delta(\tilde{a}/t))\big)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}}E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O}), \tag{6.7}$$

and are independent of δ (thus we can omit the subscript δ). Moreover there holds

$$Q^+(H^{\mathrm{ex}})_0 = (E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}}) \otimes 1)E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O}), \tag{6.8}$$

$$Q^+(H^{\mathrm{ex}})_\infty = E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O}), \tag{6.9}$$

$$Q^+(H^{\mathrm{ex}}) = (E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}}) \otimes 1)E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O}). \tag{6.10}$$

Proof. Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{\nu+1})_{\mathbb{R}}$ be equal to one on $\mathcal{O}$ and be supported in $\mathcal{O}_0$. Then $E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O}) = \chi(P^{\mathrm{ex}}, H^{\mathrm{ex}})E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O})$ and we can write

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\delta^+(H^{\mathrm{ex}})_0 &= \mathrm{s-}\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}}\big(\Gamma(q_\delta(\tilde{a}/t)) \otimes 1\big)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}}E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O}) \\ &= \mathrm{s-}\lim_{t\to\infty} \big(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\Gamma(q_\delta(\tilde{a}/t))\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}}) \otimes 1\big)E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O}) \\ &\quad + \mathrm{s-}\lim_{t\to\infty} \big(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\Gamma(q_\delta(\tilde{a}/t))\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}E(\mathcal{O}) \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|\big)E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O}) \\ &= \big(E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}}) \otimes 1\big)E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O}), \end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

where in the first step we made use of Lemma M.1 and in the second step of Proposition 6.4 to obtain the existence of the limit. This proves (6.5) and (6.8).

Making use of Theorem 5.2, we obtain that there exists the limit

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{+}_{\delta}(H^{\mathrm{ex}})_{\infty} &= \mathrm{s-}\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}}\big(1\otimes\Gamma(p_{\delta}(\tilde{a}/t))\big)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}}E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O}) \\ &= \mathrm{s-}\lim_{t\to\infty} I^{\mathrm{ex}*}_{\mathrm{LLP}}\int^{\oplus}_{\mathbb{R}^{\nu}} d\xi\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)}\big(1\otimes\Gamma(p_{\delta}(a/t))\big)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)}\chi(\xi,H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi))I^{\mathrm{ex}}_{\mathrm{LLP}}E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O}) \end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

which equals $E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O})$. This proves (6.6) and (6.9).

Existence of the limit (6.7) and relation (6.10) are obvious consequences of the facts proven above. □

In the following theorem we construct the localized wave operator $W^{+}_{\mathcal{O}}$, associated with the region $\mathcal{O}$ specified in Definition 6.1. We also show that its adjoint is a strong limit of its approximating sequence.

Theorem 6.6. *Let j_0, j_{∞} be as specified in Definition 3.1, s.t. $j_0^2+j_{\infty}^2=1$ and, in addition, let j_0 and $1-j_{\infty}$ satisfy the conditions from Definition 6.3. Let $q=(q_0,q_{\infty}):=(j_0^2,j_{\infty}^2)$ (in particular $q_0+q_{\infty}=1$). Then the following strong limits exist:*

$$W^{+}_{\mathcal{O},\delta} = \mathrm{s-}\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\check{\Gamma}(q_{\delta}(\tilde{a}/t))^{*}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}}E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O}), \tag{6.13}$$

$$W^{+*}_{\mathcal{O},\delta} = \mathrm{s-}\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}}\check{\Gamma}(q_{\delta}(\tilde{a}/t))\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}E(\mathcal{O}), \tag{6.14}$$

and intertwine $\chi(P,H)$ with $\chi(P^{\mathrm{ex}},H^{\mathrm{ex}})$ for any $\chi\in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{\nu+1})_{\mathbb{R}}$. (Consequently, $W^{+*}_{\mathcal{O},\delta}$ is the adjoint of $W^{+}_{\mathcal{O},\delta}$). Moreover, these limits are independent of δ, for sufficiently small δ, thus we can omit the subscript δ.

Proof. Let $\chi\in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{\nu+1})_{\mathbb{R}}$ be equal to one on $\mathcal{O}$ and be supported in $\mathcal{O}_0$. We write

$$W^{+}_{\mathcal{O},\delta} = \mathrm{s-}\lim_{t\to\infty} I^{*}_{\mathrm{LLP}}\int^{\oplus}_{\mathbb{R}^{\nu}} d\xi\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\check{\Gamma}(q_{\delta}(a/t))^{*}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)}\chi(\xi,H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi))I^{\mathrm{ex}}_{\mathrm{LLP}}E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O}), \tag{6.15}$$

$$W^{+*}_{\mathcal{O},\delta} = \mathrm{s-}\lim_{t\to\infty} I^{\mathrm{ex}*}_{\mathrm{LLP}}\int^{\oplus}_{\mathbb{R}^{\nu}} d\xi\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\check{\Gamma}(q_{\delta}(a/t))\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)}\chi(\xi,H(\xi))I_{\mathrm{LLP}}E(\mathcal{O}). \tag{6.16}$$

The existence of these limits and the intertwining property follows from Theorem 5.3 by the dominated convergence theorem.

Let us now show that $W^{+}_{\mathcal{O},\delta}$ is independent of δ for sufficiently small δ. First, we note that

$$W^{+}_{\mathcal{O},\delta} = W^{+}_{\mathcal{O},\delta}(Q^{+}_{\varepsilon}(H)\otimes 1) \tag{6.17}$$

for $\varepsilon/2>\delta$. However, by Proposition 6.4, $Q^{+}_{\varepsilon}(H)=E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}})$ is independent of ε, hence the relation holds also for $\varepsilon/2<\delta$. Let us make ε even smaller, so as to ensure that $\varepsilon/2<\delta/4$. Then we can write

$$W^{+}_{\mathcal{O},\delta}(Q^{+}_{\varepsilon}(H)\otimes 1) = W^{+}_{\mathcal{O},\delta}Q^{+}_{\varepsilon}(H^{\mathrm{ex}}) = W^{+}_{\mathcal{O},\varepsilon}Q^{+}_{\delta}(H^{\mathrm{ex}}). \tag{6.18}$$

But the r.h.s. above is independent of δ by Proposition 6.5. Thus both $W^{+}_{\mathcal{O},\delta}$ and $W^{+*}_{\mathcal{O},\delta}$ are independent of δ. □

Now we proceed to the proof of an isometry property of $W_{\mathcal{O}}^{+*}$. An important role in the proof is played by the map $\check{\Gamma}(1,1)$ whose adjoint is the scattering identification operator from [13, 40].

Theorem 6.7. *The localized wave operators, defined as in Theorem 6.6, satisfy*

$$W_{\mathcal{O}}^{+} W_{\mathcal{O}}^{+*} = E(\mathcal{O}). \tag{6.19}$$

Proof. Let $q_\delta := (q_{0,\delta}(\tilde{a}/t), q_{\infty,\delta}(\tilde{a}/t))$ be as specified in Theorem 6.6 and abbreviate $\underline{q}_\delta := \mathrm{diag}(q_{0,\delta}(\tilde{a}/t), q_{\infty,\delta}(\tilde{a}/t))$, the corresponding family of observables on $\mathcal{K} \otimes (\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h})$. We set $W^{+} := W_{\mathcal{O}}^{+}$ and write

$$\begin{aligned} W^{+} W^{+*} = W_{\delta}^{+} W^{+*} &= \mathrm{s-}\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} \check{\Gamma}(q_\delta)^* \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}} E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O}) W^{+*} \\ &= \mathrm{s-}\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} \check{\Gamma}(q_\delta)^* \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}} W^{+*} \\ &= \mathrm{s-}\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} \check{\Gamma}(1,1)^* \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{q}_\delta) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}} W^{+*}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.20}$$

Making use of the fact that $\mathcal{O}$ is localized below the two-boson threshold, we obtain that $W^{+*} = \tilde{P} W^{+*}$, where $\tilde{P} := 1 \otimes (P_0 + P_1)$ acts on $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{ex}} = \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ex}}$ and $P_n : \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ex}} \to \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$ are natural restriction maps. Let Ψ be a vector in the range of $E(\mathcal{O})$. Then, setting $R := (\mathrm{i} + H)^{-1}$ and $R^{\mathrm{ex}} := (\mathrm{i} + H^{\mathrm{ex}})^{-1}$ we write

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} \check{\Gamma}(1,1)^* \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{q}_\delta) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}} W^{+*} \Psi \\ &\quad = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} \check{\Gamma}(1,1)^* \tilde{P} \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{q}_\delta) (R^{\mathrm{ex}})^2 \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}} W^{+*} R^{-2} \Psi \\ &\quad = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} \check{\Gamma}(1,1)^* \tilde{P} R^{\mathrm{ex}} [H^{\mathrm{ex}}, \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{q}_\delta)] (R^{\mathrm{ex}})^3 \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}} W^{+*} R^{-3} \Psi \\ &\qquad + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} \check{\Gamma}(1,1)^* \tilde{P} R^{\mathrm{ex}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}} \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{q}_\delta) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}} W^{+*} R^{-1} \Psi. \end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

By Proposition F.5 and property A.18, the term involving the commutator above is of order $O(t^{-1})$. As for the second term, we note that by Proposition 6.5, the fact that $Q^{+}(H) = E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}})$ and property (A.18),

$$\mathrm{s-}\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} \check{\Gamma}(1,1)^* \tilde{P} R^{\mathrm{ex}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}} \big(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}} \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{q}_\delta) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}} - Q^{+}(H) \otimes 1 \big) W^{+*} R^{-1} \Psi = 0. \tag{6.22}$$

Since $\big(Q^{+}(H) \otimes 1\big) W^{+*} = W^{+*}$, (cf. formula (6.17) above), we obtain that the r.h.s. of (6.21) equals (up to terms that tend to zero in the limit $t \to \infty$)

$$\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} \check{\Gamma}(1,1)^* \tilde{P} R^{\mathrm{ex}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}} W^{+*} R^{-1} \Psi. \tag{6.23}$$

This is asymptotic in the limit $t \to \infty$ to the expression

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} \check{\Gamma}(1,1)^* \tilde{P} R^{\mathrm{ex}} \check{\Gamma}(q_\delta) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH} R^{-1} \Psi \\ &\quad = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} \check{\Gamma}(1,1)^* \tilde{P} R^{\mathrm{ex}} \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j}_\delta) \chi^{\mathrm{ex}} \check{\Gamma}(j_\delta) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH} R^{-1} \Psi + O(t^{-1}) \\ &\quad = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} \check{\Gamma}(1,1)^* \tilde{P} R^{\mathrm{ex}} [\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j}_\delta), H^{\mathrm{ex}}] R^{\mathrm{ex}} \chi^{\mathrm{ex}} \check{\Gamma}(j_\delta) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH} R^{-1} \Psi \\ &\qquad + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} \check{\Gamma}(j_\delta)^* R^{\mathrm{ex}} \chi^{\mathrm{ex}} \check{\Gamma}(j_\delta) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH} R^{-1} \Psi + O(t^{-1}) = \Psi + O(t^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{6.24}$$

Here in the first step we used the identity $\check{\Gamma}(q_\delta) = \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j}_\delta) \check{\Gamma}(j_\delta)$ and introduced a function $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{\nu+1})_{\mathbb{R}}$, supported in $\mathcal{O}_0$ and equal to one on $\mathcal{O}$ so that $\chi(P,H)\Psi = \Psi$. Making use of Lemma F.10, we got

$$\check{\Gamma}(j_\delta) \chi = \chi^{\mathrm{ex}} \check{\Gamma}(j_\delta) + O(t^{-1}), \tag{6.25}$$

where we set $\chi := \chi(P,H)$ and $\chi^{\mathrm{ex}} := \chi(P^{\mathrm{ex}}, H^{\mathrm{ex}})$. In the second step we commuted $\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j}_{\delta})$ to the left and used the fact that $\tilde{P}\chi(P^{\mathrm{ex}}, H^{\mathrm{ex}}) = \chi(P^{\mathrm{ex}}, H^{\mathrm{ex}})$. In the third step we exploited Proposition F.5 to show that the resulting commutator is $O(t^{-1})$. In the last step we made use again of Lemma F.10. □

Lemma 6.8. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, S)$ be an analytic shell in Σ_{iso} (cf. Section 2.3). Let Δ be a Borel subset of the graph $\mathcal{G}_S$ of this shell. Then the operator*

$$B := (\mathbf{1}_{\Delta}(P,H) \otimes v_{\xi_0}) \cdot (1 \otimes \nabla\omega - \nabla S(P) \otimes 1) \tag{6.26}$$

satisfies

$$\hat{P} B \hat{P} \geq c_{\mathrm{m}} \hat{P}, \tag{6.27}$$

where $\hat{P} := \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_0}(P^{(1)}, H^{(1)})(\mathbf{1}_{\Delta}(P,H) \otimes 1)$. We recall that $\mathcal{O}_0$ appeared in Definition 6.1.

Proof. We set $v := v_{\xi_0}$ and $a := a_{\xi_0}$ as abbreviated already below definition (6.1) above. Let $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ be supported in $\mathcal{J}_0$. We recall from Theorem 2.2 that for $\xi \in N_0$ (where N_0 appeared in Theorem 2.2)

$$\chi(H^{(1)}(\xi))\mathrm{i}[H^{(1)}(\xi), 1 \otimes a]\chi(H^{(1)}(\xi)) \geq c_{\mathrm{m}}\chi(H^{(1)}(\xi))^2. \tag{6.28}$$

Let us set $\tilde{\mathbf{1}}_{\Delta}(\xi) := \int^{\oplus} dk\, \mathbf{1}_{\Delta}(\xi - k, H(\xi - k))$, note that

$$I^{(1)}_{\mathrm{LLP}} \mathbf{1}_{N_0}(P^{(1)})(\mathbf{1}_{\Delta}(P,H) \otimes 1) I^{(1)*}_{\mathrm{LLP}} = \int_{N_0}^{\oplus} d\xi\, \tilde{\mathbf{1}}_{\Delta}(\xi) \tag{6.29}$$

and set $\Delta_{\xi} := \{\, k \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \,|\, (\xi - k, \lambda) \in \Delta, \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \,\}$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\mathbf{1}}_{\Delta}(\xi)\Big(\int_{\Delta_{\xi}}^{\oplus} dk\, \chi(H^{(1)}(\xi;k))\mathrm{i}[S^{(1)}(\xi;k), 1 \otimes a]\chi(H^{(1)}(\xi;k))\Big)\tilde{\mathbf{1}}_{\Delta}(\xi) \\ &\quad \geq c_{\mathrm{m}}\chi(H^{(1)}(\xi))^2 \tilde{\mathbf{1}}_{\Delta}(\xi), \end{aligned} \tag{6.30}$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\mathbf{1}}_{\Delta}(\xi)\Big(\int_{\Delta_{\xi}}^{\oplus} dk\, \chi(H^{(1)}(\xi;k)) v(k) \cdot \nabla_k S^{(1)}(\xi;k) \chi(H^{(1)}(\xi;k))\Big)\tilde{\mathbf{1}}_{\Delta}(\xi) \\ &\quad = \chi(H^{(1)}(\xi))^2 \tilde{\mathbf{1}}_{\Delta}(\xi) \int_{\Delta_{\xi}}^{\oplus} dk\, v(k) \cdot \nabla_k S^{(1)}(\xi;k) \geq c_{\mathrm{m}}\chi^2(H^{(1)}(\xi))\tilde{\mathbf{1}}_{\Delta}(\xi), \end{aligned} \tag{6.31}$$

where we set $S^{(1)}(\xi;k) = S(\xi - k) + \omega(k)$. By approximating with functions χ the characteristic function of $\mathcal{J}_0$, taking the direct integral of both sides over $\xi \in N_0$, and conjugating with $I^{(1)}_{\mathrm{LLP}}$, we obtain

$$(\mathbf{1}_{\Delta}(P,H) \otimes v) \cdot (1 \otimes \nabla\omega - \nabla S(P) \otimes 1)\hat{P} \geq c_{\mathrm{m}}\hat{P}. \tag{6.32}$$

where we made use of (6.29). This concludes the proof. □

Theorem 6.9. *The localized wave operators, defined as in Theorem 6.6, satisfy*

$$W_{\mathcal{O}}^{+*} W_{\mathcal{O}}^{+} = E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O})(E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}}) \otimes 1). \tag{6.33}$$

Proof. Let us set $W^+ := W^+_{\mathcal{O}}$ and let $\Psi \in \operatorname{Ran} E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O})$. By Lemma M.1, Ψ belongs to the closed span of vectors of two types. The first type are vectors of the form

$$\Psi_1 \otimes |0\rangle, \tag{6.34}$$

where $\Psi_1 \in E(\mathcal{O})\mathcal{H}$. Such vectors are elements of the kernel of W^+ due to the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} W^+(\Psi_1 \otimes |0\rangle) &= \lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} \check{\Gamma}(q_\delta(\tilde{a}/t))^* (\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\Psi_1 \otimes |0\rangle) \\ &= \lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} \Gamma(q_{0,\delta}(\tilde{a}/t)) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\Psi_1 = Q^+(H)E(\mathcal{O})\Psi_1 = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{6.35}$$

where we made use of Proposition 6.4. This proves relation (6.33) on vectors of type (6.34). Vectors of the second type that span $\operatorname{Ran} E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O})$, provided by Lemma M.1, have the form

$$\Psi_2 \otimes a^*(h)|0\rangle, \tag{6.36}$$

where $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$ and $\Psi_2 \in E(\Delta)\mathcal{H}$ are s.t. $\Delta \subset \Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}}$ is a bounded Borel set and $\Delta + (k, \omega(k)) \subset \mathcal{O}$ for all $k \in \operatorname{supp} h$. For such vectors we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W^+(\Psi_2 \otimes a^*(h)|0\rangle) &= \lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} \check{\Gamma}(q_\delta(\tilde{a}/t))^* (\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\Psi_2 \otimes a^*(h_t)|0\rangle) \\ &= \lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} a^*(q_{\infty,\delta}(\tilde{a}/t)h_t) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} \Gamma(q_{0,\delta}(\tilde{a}/t)) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\Psi_2 \\ &= \lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} a^*(q_{\infty,\delta}(\tilde{a}/t)h_t) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH} Q^+(H)\Psi_2 \\ &= \lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} a^*(q_{\infty,\delta}(\tilde{a}/t)h_t) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH} \Psi_2, \end{aligned} \tag{6.37}$$

where $h_t = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega t}h$ and in the last step we made use of Proposition 6.4 and the fact that Ψ_2 belongs to the range of $E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}})$. In view of the discussion of the isolated spectrum in Section 2.3, we can assume that Ψ_2 belongs to the range of $E(\Delta)$, where Δ is a subset of the graph $\mathcal{G}_S$ of an analytic shell $(\mathcal{A}, S)$. Here we used that level crossings sit above a set of momenta, a union of spheres, with zero Lebesgue measure. Let $\hat{\Psi}_2 \otimes a^*(\hat{h})|0\rangle$ be another vector of the form (6.36), s.t. $\hat{\Psi}_2$ belongs to the range of $E(\hat{\Delta})$, where $\hat{\Delta}$ is a subset of the graph of some other shell $\hat{S}$ which may, but does not have to coincide with S. Now we obtain from (6.37)

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle W^+(\hat{\Psi}_2 \otimes a^*(\hat{h})|0\rangle), W^+(\Psi_2 \otimes a^*(h)|0\rangle)\rangle \\ &\quad = \lim_{t\to\infty} \langle \hat{\Psi}_2, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} a(q_{\infty,\delta}(\tilde{a}/t)\hat{h}_t) a^*(q_{\infty,\delta}(\tilde{a}/t)h_t) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\Psi_2\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{6.38}$$

By commuting the annihilation operator to the right, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \hat{\Psi}_2, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH} a(q_{\infty,\delta}(\tilde{a}/t)\hat{h}_t) a^*(q_{\infty,\delta}(\tilde{a}/t)h_t) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\Psi_2\rangle \\ &\quad = \langle \hat{\Psi}_{2,t} \otimes \hat{h}_t, q^2_{\infty,\delta}\big((1\otimes(v/2)) \cdot (1\otimes x - y\otimes 1)/t + \mathrm{h.c.}\big)(\Psi_{2,t}\otimes h_t)\rangle \\ &\qquad + \langle a(q_{\infty,\delta}(\tilde{a}/t)h_t)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t\hat{S}(P)}\hat{\Psi}_2, a(q_{\infty,\delta}(\tilde{a}/t)\hat{h}_t)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tS(P)}\Psi_2\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{6.39}$$

where $\Psi_{2,t} = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tS(P)}\Psi_2$, $\hat{\Psi}_{2,t} = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t\hat{S}(P)}\hat{\Psi}_2$. We recall that $v := v_{\xi_0}$ and $x = \mathrm{i}\nabla_k$ is the position operator of the boson.

Let us first show that the last term on the r.h.s. of (6.39) tends to zero: Due to the fact that $\hat{\Psi}_2 \in E(\Delta)\mathcal{H}$, where Δ is bounded, it is easy to see that

$$\|(1 + H_{\mathrm{ph}}) a(q_{\infty,\delta}(\tilde{a}/t)\hat{h}_t)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t\hat{S}(P)}\hat{\Psi}_2\| \le c \tag{6.40}$$

for some c independent of t. (See Lemmas C.4 and E.2). On the other hand, as shown in Proposition 6.4, for δ' sufficiently small,

$$\Psi_2 = \lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\Gamma(q_{0,\delta'}(\tilde{a}/t))\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\Psi_2. \tag{6.41}$$

Proceeding similarly as in the proof of [25, Lemma 14], we get

$$\begin{aligned}&(1+H_{\mathrm{ph}})^{-1}a(q_{\infty,\delta}(\tilde{a}/t)h_t)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\Psi_2\\&\quad= (1+H_{\mathrm{ph}})^{-1}a(q_{\infty,\delta}(\tilde{a}/t)h_t)\Gamma(q_{0,\delta'}(\tilde{a}/t))\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\Psi_2 + o(1)\\&\quad= (1+H_{\mathrm{ph}})^{-1}\Gamma(q_{0,\delta'}(\tilde{a}/t))a((q_{0,\delta'}q_{\infty,\delta})(\tilde{a}/t)h_t)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\Psi_2 + o(1),\end{aligned} \tag{6.42}$$

where $o(1)$ denotes a term which tends in norm to zero as $t\to\infty$. Here we made use of the fact that $(1+H_{\mathrm{ph}})^{-1}a(q_{\infty,\delta}(\tilde{a}/t)h_t)$ is bounded, uniformly in t. Noting that for δ' sufficiently small $q_{0,\delta'}q_{\infty,\delta}=0$, we obtain that the r.h.s. above tends to zero and therefore the last term on the r.h.s. of (6.39) tends to zero.

Let us now consider the limit of the first term on the r.h.s. of (6.39):

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{t\to\infty}\langle\hat{\Psi}_{2,t}\otimes\hat{h}_t, q_{\infty,\delta}^2\big((1\otimes(v/2))\cdot(1\otimes x - y\otimes 1)/t + \mathrm{h.c.}\big)(\Psi_{2,t}\otimes h_t)\rangle\\&\quad= \lim_{t\to\infty}\langle\hat{\Psi}_2\otimes\hat{h}, q_{\infty,\delta}^2\big((1\otimes(v/2))\cdot\\&\qquad\times\{(1\otimes x - y\otimes 1)/t + 1\otimes\nabla\omega - \nabla\hat{S}(P)\otimes 1\} + \mathrm{h.c.}\big)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t(\hat{S}(P)-S(P))}(\Psi_2\otimes h)\rangle\\&\quad= \lim_{t\to\infty}\langle\hat{\Psi}_2\otimes\hat{h}, q_{\infty,\delta}^2\big((1\otimes v)\cdot(1\otimes\nabla\omega - \nabla\hat{S}(P)\otimes 1)\big)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t(\hat{S}(P)-S(P))}(\Psi_2\otimes h)\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{6.43}$$

Here in the second step we made use of the strong resolvent convergence of the sequence of operators in the argument of $q_{\infty,\delta}^2$. Since $\hat{S}$ is only defined on a subset of $\mathbb{R}^\nu$, the symbol $\nabla\hat{S}(P)$ is to be understood as $\nabla\hat{S}_{\mathrm{r}}(P)$, where $\hat{S}_{\mathrm{r}}$ is the restriction of $\hat{S}$ to the spectral support of the vector Ψ_2, which is then extended by zero to $\mathbb{R}^\nu$. Clearly, the last expression on the r.h.s. of (6.43) is equal to zero if $S\neq\hat{S}$, since the argument of $q_{\infty,\delta}^2$ commutes with the spectral projection $E(\mathcal{G}_S)\otimes 1$ and $E(\mathcal{G}_S)E(\mathcal{G}_{\hat{S}})=0$ in this case. Thus we have verified (6.33) for $S\neq\hat{S}$ and we can assume that $S=\hat{S}$. We can also assume that both Ψ_2 and $\hat{\Psi}_2$ belong to the range of $E(\Delta)$ for some bounded Borel subset $\Delta\subset S$. (Again, since level crossings live on a subset of momentum space with Lebesgue measure zero, we can exclude them from this discussion). Then the last term on the r.h.s. of (6.43) equals

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\hat{\Psi}_2\otimes\hat{h}, q_{\infty,\delta}^2\big((1\otimes v)\cdot(1\otimes\nabla\omega - \nabla S(P)\otimes 1)\big)(\Psi_2\otimes h)\rangle\\&\quad= \langle\hat{\Psi}_2\otimes\hat{h}, q_{\infty,\delta}^2\big((\mathbf{1}_\Delta(P,H)\otimes v)\cdot(1\otimes\nabla\omega - \nabla S(P)\otimes 1)\big)(\Psi_2\otimes h)\rangle\\&\quad= \langle\hat{\Psi}_2\otimes\hat{h}, q_{\infty,\delta}^2(\hat{P}B\hat{P})(\Psi_2\otimes h)\rangle,\end{aligned} \tag{6.44}$$

where B and $\hat{P}$ were defined in Lemma 6.8. Next, we note that

$$\mathrm{s-}\lim_{\delta\to 0} q_{\infty,\delta}^2(\hat{P}B\hat{P}) = \mathbf{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\hat{P}B\hat{P}) = 1 - \mathbf{1}_{\{0\}}(\hat{P}B\hat{P}), \tag{6.45}$$

where we exploited the fact that $\hat{P}B\hat{P}\geq 0$. Next, we observe that

$$\langle\hat{\Psi}_2\otimes\hat{h}, \mathbf{1}_{\{0\}}(\hat{P}B\hat{P})(\Psi_2\otimes h)\rangle = 0. \tag{6.46}$$

In fact, if the l.h.s. above was different from zero, then $\Psi_0 := \mathbf{1}_{\{0\}}(\hat{P}B\hat{P})(\Psi_2\otimes h)\neq 0$. Now inequality (6.27) gives that $\hat{P}\Psi_0 = 0$. Since $\hat{P}$ commutes with B, and $\Psi_2\otimes h$ belongs to the range of $\hat{P}$, we obtain that $\Psi_0 = \hat{P}\Psi_0 = 0$. This contradiction justifies (6.46). Thus we obtain that the r.h.s. of (6.44) satisfies

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\langle\hat{\Psi}_2 \otimes \hat{h}, q^2_{\infty,\delta}(\hat{P}B\hat{P})(\Psi_2 \otimes h)\rangle = \langle\hat{\Psi}_2, \Psi_2\rangle\langle\hat{h}, h\rangle. \tag{6.47}$$

Summing up, we have shown that

$$\langle W^+(\hat{\Psi}_2 \otimes a^*(\hat{h})|0\rangle),\ W^+(\Psi_2 \otimes a^*(h)|0\rangle)\rangle = \langle\hat{\Psi}_2, \Psi_2\rangle\langle\hat{h}, h\rangle, \tag{6.48}$$

which concludes the proof. □

7 Wave operators and asymptotic completeness

Let us now proceed to the construction of the conventional wave operators and to the proof of their completeness below the two-boson threshold. It will be convenient to work with wave operators $\widehat{\Omega}^+_{\mathcal{R}}$, introduced in (7.4) below, which are defined on the entire Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{ex}}$. As we show in the proof of Theorem 2.3 given below, their restrictions to $E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}_+$ coincide with the wave operators $\Omega^+_{\mathcal{R}}$ defined in (2.46). We construct them first in the small regions $\mathcal{O}$ of the energy-momentum spectrum, in which we constructed the localized wave operators.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be as specified in Definition 6.1. Then there exists the limit*

$$\widehat{\Omega}^+_{\mathcal{O}} := \mathrm{s}-\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\check{\Gamma}(1,1)^*\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}}(E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}})\otimes 1)E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O}). \tag{7.1}$$

Moreover, $\widehat{\Omega}^+_{\mathcal{O}} = W^+_{\mathcal{O}}$.

Proof. We set $W^+ := W^+_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $R^{\mathrm{ex}} := (\mathrm{i} + H^{\mathrm{ex}})^{-1}$. Theorem 6.9 gives us that $(E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}})\otimes 1)E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O}) = W^{+*}W^+$. Thus we can write

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\check{\Gamma}(1,1)^*\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}}(E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}})\otimes 1)E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O})\\ &\qquad = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\check{\Gamma}(1,1)^*\tilde{P}R^{\mathrm{ex}}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}}W^{+*}W^+(R^{\mathrm{ex}})^{-1}E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O}),\end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

where we use the notation $\tilde{P} = 1\otimes(P_0 + P_1)$ from the proof of Theorem 6.7. By property (A.18), $\check{\Gamma}(1,1)^*\tilde{P}(\mathrm{i} + H^{\mathrm{ex}})^{-1}$ is a bounded operator. Thus, up to an error term which tends strongly to zero, the last expression equals

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\check{\Gamma}(1,1)^*\tilde{P}R^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(q_\delta)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}W^+(R^{\mathrm{ex}})^{-1}E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O})\\ &\quad = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\check{\Gamma}(1,1)^*\tilde{P}R^{\mathrm{ex}}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j}_\delta)\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j_\delta)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}W^+(R^{\mathrm{ex}})^{-1}E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O}) + O(t^{-1})\\ &\quad = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\check{\Gamma}(1,1)^*\tilde{P}R^{\mathrm{ex}}[\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j}_\delta), H^{\mathrm{ex}}]R^{\mathrm{ex}}\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j_\delta)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}W^+(R^{\mathrm{ex}})^{-1}E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O})\\ &\qquad + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\check{\Gamma}(j_\delta)^*R^{\mathrm{ex}}\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j_\delta)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}W^+(R^{\mathrm{ex}})^{-1}E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{O}) + O(t^{-1})\\ &\quad = W^+ + O(t^{-1}).\end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

These steps are justified exactly as in the discussion after formula (6.24) above, in particular $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{\nu+1})_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a function supported in $\mathcal{O}_0$ and equal to one in $\mathcal{O}$ and we set $\chi^{\mathrm{ex}} := \chi(P^{\mathrm{ex}}, H^{\mathrm{ex}})$. Relation (7.3) proves the existence of $\widehat{\Omega}^+_{\mathcal{O}}$ and the fact that $\widehat{\Omega}^+_{\mathcal{O}} = W^+$. □

In the following two theorems we state and prove our main results. We recall that $\mathcal{R} = \{\,(\xi, E) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu+1} \,|\, E < \Sigma^{(2)}(\xi)\,\}$.

Theorem 7.2. *There exists the wave operator* $\widehat{\Omega}^{+}_{\mathcal{R}} : \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{ex}} \to \mathcal{H}$ *given by*

$$\widehat{\Omega}^{+}_{\mathcal{R}} := \mathrm{s-}\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\check{\Gamma}(1,1)^{*}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}}(E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{pp}})\otimes 1)E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R}). \tag{7.4}$$

The wave operator satisfies

$$\widehat{\Omega}^{+*}_{\mathcal{R}}\widehat{\Omega}^{+}_{\mathcal{R}} = (E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{pp}})\otimes 1)E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R}). \tag{7.5}$$

Moreover, for any $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{\nu+1})_{\mathbb{R}}$,

$$\widehat{\Omega}^{+}_{\mathcal{R}}\chi(P^{\mathrm{ex}}, H^{\mathrm{ex}}) = \chi(P,H)\widehat{\Omega}^{+}_{\mathcal{R}}. \tag{7.6}$$

Proof. Let us recall that $\mathcal{R}\cap\Sigma = \mathcal{E}^{(1)}\cup\Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}}$ and the union is disjoint. Since the lower boundary of the joint spectrum of $(P^{(1)}, H^{(1)})$ is $\xi \to \Sigma_0^{(1)}(\xi)$, we note that

$$E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R}) = E(\mathcal{R}) \oplus E^{(1)}(\mathcal{E}^{(1)}), \tag{7.7}$$

where $E^{(1)}(\,\cdot\,)$ is the joint spectral resolution of $(P^{(1)}, H^{(1)})$. As for the first component, we obtain that for any $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\check{\Gamma}(1,1)^{*}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}}(E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{pp}}\cap\mathcal{R})\Psi\otimes|0\rangle) = E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{pp}}\cap\mathcal{R})\Psi, \tag{7.8}$$

thus $\widehat{\Omega}^{+}_{\mathcal{R}}$ trivially exists and is an isometry on this subspace.

Let us now consider the second component of the direct sum (7.7). Let $K \subset \mathcal{E}^{(1)}$ be a compact set. Let us show that $\widehat{\Omega}^{+}_{\mathcal{R}}$ exists on the range of $E^{(1)}(K)$. We set $\mathcal{T} := (\mathcal{T}^{(1)}\cup\mathrm{Exc}\cup\Sigma_{\mathrm{pp}})$ and pick a vector $\Psi \in E^{(1)}(K)(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{(1)})$. Now we choose open sets $G_n \supset \mathcal{T}$ s.t.

$$\langle\Psi, E^{(1)}(G_n\backslash\mathcal{T})\Psi\rangle \leq \frac{1}{n}. \tag{7.9}$$

Such sets exist by the regularity of the spectral measure. We note that $K_n := K\backslash G_n$ are compact sets. By Proposition 7.1, for any $(\xi_0, \lambda_0) \in K_n$ there exists a neighbourhood $\mathcal{O}$, specified in Definition 6.1, s.t.

$$\widehat{\Omega}^{+}_{\mathcal{O}} = \widehat{\Omega}^{+}_{\mathcal{R}}E^{(1)}(\mathcal{O}) \tag{7.10}$$

exists. Such sets $\mathcal{O}$ form a covering of K_n from which we can choose a finite sub-covering $\{\mathcal{O}_j\}_{j=1}^{N_0}$. By taking intersections of the sets in this sub-covering, we can find a family of disjoint Borel sets $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^{N}$, whose union coincides with K_n and s.t. each B_i is contained in some set $\mathcal{O}_{j_i}$, as specified above. Thus we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\check{\Gamma}(1,1)^{*}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}}(E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{pp}})\otimes 1)E^{(1)}(K)\Psi\\
&\quad= \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\check{\Gamma}(1,1)^{*}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}}(E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{pp}})\otimes 1)E^{(1)}(K_n)\Psi + O(1/n)\\
&\quad= \sum_{i=1}^{N}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\check{\Gamma}(1,1)^{*}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}}(E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{pp}})\otimes 1)E^{(1)}(B_i)\Psi + O(1/n).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.11}$$

In the first step above we made use of the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
E^{(1)}(K) &= E^{(1)}(K_n) + E^{(1)}(G_n\cap K)\\
&= E^{(1)}(K_n) + E^{(1)}((G_n\backslash\mathcal{T})\cap K) + E^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}\cap K).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

The second term on the r.h.s above, together with the bound (7.9) and property (A.18), gives rise to the term $O(1/n)$ on the r.h.s. of (7.11), i.e. a term whose norm is bounded by c/n for some c independent of t. (Here we exploit compactness of K). The last term on the r.h.s. of (7.12) is zero due to the relation

$$E^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}\cap\mathcal{E}^{(1)}) = I_{\mathrm{LLP}}^{(1)*}\Big(\int^{\oplus} d\xi\, E_{\xi}^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}(\xi)\cap\mathcal{E}^{(1)}(\xi))\Big) I_{\mathrm{LLP}}^{(1)}, \tag{7.13}$$

the fact that the set $\mathcal{T}(\xi)\cap\mathcal{E}^{(1)}(\xi)$ is countable for any ξ (Theorem 2.2) and therefore $E_{\xi}^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}(\xi)\cap\mathcal{E}^{(1)}(\xi)) = E_{\xi}^{(1)}(\Sigma_{\mathrm{pp}}^{(1)}(\xi)\cap\mathcal{E}^{(1)}(\xi))$ which is equal to zero except for ξ from some set of zero Lebesgue measure (Lemma M.2). Now relation (7.11) and Proposition 7.1 give the existence of $\widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{+} := \widehat{\Omega}_{\mathcal{R}}^{+}E^{(1)}(K)$ by the Cauchy criterion.

Let us now show that $\widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{+}$ is isometric on the range of $E^{(1)}(K)$. We obtain from (7.11) that

$$\widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{+}\Psi = \sum_{i=1}^{N} W_{\mathcal{O}_{j_i}}^{+} E^{(1)}(B_i)\Psi + O(1/n), \tag{7.14}$$

where we made use of Proposition 7.1 to replace the conventional wave operators with the localized wave operators $W_{\mathcal{O}_{j_i}}^{+}$. Recalling that the sets B_i are disjoint and the localized wave operators intertwine (P,H) with $(P^{\mathrm{ex}}, H^{\mathrm{ex}})$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\|\widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{+}\Psi\|^2 = &\sum_{i=1}^{N} \|W_{\mathcal{O}_{j_i}}^{+} E^{(1)}(B_i)\Psi\|^2 \\ &+ 2\mathrm{Re}\langle(\widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{+}\Psi - O(1/n)), O(1/n)\rangle + \langle O(1/n), O(1/n)\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{7.15}$$

The first term on the r.h.s. above satisfies

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \|W_{\mathcal{O}_{j_i}}^{+} E^{(1)}(B_i)\Psi\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N}\langle\Psi, E^{(1)}(B_i)\Psi\rangle = \langle\Psi, E^{(1)}(K_n)\Psi\rangle = \|\Psi\|^2 + O(1/n), \tag{7.16}$$

where in the first step we made use of Theorem 6.9, Lemma M.1 and the fact that $B_i\subset\mathcal{O}_{j_i}$. In the second step we used that the union of B_n coincides with K_n and in the last step we exploited formula (7.12) and the subsequent discussion. The last two terms on the r.h.s. of (7.15) and the last term on the r.h.s. of (7.16) can be made arbitrary small by taking n sufficiently large. Thus we have shown that

$$\|\widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{+}\Psi\| = \|\Psi\|, \tag{7.17}$$

i.e. $\widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{+}$ is isometric on the range of $E^{(1)}(K)$.

Now let $K^n\subset\mathcal{E}^{(1)}$ be an increasing family of compact sets s.t. $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} K^n = \mathcal{E}^{(1)}$. Then

$$\mathcal{D} := \bigcup_{n\geq 0} E^{(1)}(K^n)(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{(1)}) \tag{7.18}$$

is a dense domain in $E(\mathcal{E}^{(1)})(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{(1)})$. (Here we exploit the inner regularity of the spectral measure). It follows from our above considerations that $\widehat{\Omega}_{\mathcal{R}}^{+}$ is well defined on $\mathcal{D}$ and is an isometry on this domain. Thus $\widehat{\Omega}_{\mathcal{R}}^{+}$ extends to an isometry on $E(\mathcal{E}^{(1)})(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{(1)})$.

We conclude that $\widehat{\Omega}^{+}_{\mathcal{R}}$, as defined in (7.4), exists. In view of relation (7.8), to complete the proof of (7.5), it suffices to show that for any $\Psi_0 \in E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{pp}} \cap \mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}$ and $\Psi \in E^{(1)}(K)(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(1)})$ as specified above, there holds

$$\langle \Psi_0, \widehat{\Omega}^{+}_{\mathcal{R}} \Psi \rangle = 0. \tag{7.19}$$

To this end we make use again of relation (7.14) and of the intertwining property of the localized wave operators to write

$$\langle \Psi_0, \widehat{\Omega}^{+}_{\mathcal{R}} \Psi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle E(B_i)\Psi_0, W^{+}_{\mathcal{O}_{j_i}} E^{(1)}(B_i)\Psi \rangle + \langle \Psi_0, O(1/n) \rangle. \tag{7.20}$$

Now we note that $E(B_i)\Psi_0 = 0$, since the sets B_i do not intersect with the point spectrum of (P, H). The last term on the r.h.s. above can be made arbitrarily small by choosing large n. This concludes the proof of (7.5).

Finally, let us show the intertwining property (7.6). In view of the decomposition (7.7), it suffices to check (7.6) first on vectors of the form $\Psi_0 \otimes |0\rangle$, $\Psi_0 \in E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{pp}} \cap \mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}$ and then on vectors $\Psi \in E^{(1)}(K)(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(1)})$ as specified above. In the first case we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\check{\Gamma}(1,1)^{*}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}}\chi(P^{\mathrm{ex}}, H^{\mathrm{ex}})(\Psi_0 \otimes |0\rangle) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\check{\Gamma}(1,1)^{*}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}(\chi(P,H)\Psi_0 \otimes |0\rangle) \\ &\quad = \chi(P,H)\Psi_0 = \chi(P,H)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\check{\Gamma}(1,1)^{*}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH^{\mathrm{ex}}}(\Psi_0 \otimes |0\rangle). \end{aligned} \tag{7.21}$$

As for the vectors of the second type, we make use of relation (7.14):

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Omega}^{+}_{\mathcal{R}}\chi(P^{\mathrm{ex}}, H^{\mathrm{ex}})\Psi &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} W^{+}_{\mathcal{O}_{j_i}}\chi(P^{\mathrm{ex}}, H^{\mathrm{ex}})E^{(1)}(B_i)\Psi + O(1/n) \\ &= \chi(P,H)\sum_{i=1}^{N} W^{+}_{\mathcal{O}_{j_i}} E^{(1)}(B_i)\Psi + O(1/n) \\ &= \chi(P,H)\widehat{\Omega}^{+}_{\mathcal{R}}\Psi + O(1/n), \end{aligned} \tag{7.22}$$

where we made use of the intertwining relation for the localized wave operators shown in Theorem 6.6. Since $O(1/n)$ can be made arbitrarily small, this concludes the proof. □

Theorem 7.3. *The wave operator $\widehat{\Omega}^{+}_{\mathcal{R}}$, defined in (7.4), satisfies*

$$\mathrm{Ran}\,\widehat{\Omega}^{+}_{\mathcal{R}} = E(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}. \tag{7.23}$$

Proof. We recall that $\mathcal{R} \cap \Sigma = \Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}} \cup \mathcal{E}^{(1)}$. We note that for any $\Psi \in E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}})\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{iso}}$ there holds

$$\Psi = \widehat{\Omega}^{+}_{\mathcal{R}}(\Psi \otimes |0\rangle), \tag{7.24}$$

so $\mathrm{Ran}\,E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}}) \subset \mathrm{Ran}\,\widehat{\Omega}^{+}_{\mathcal{R}}$.

Next, let us choose a compact set $K \subset \mathcal{E}^{(1)}$. We denote $\mathcal{T} := (\mathcal{T}^{(1)} \cup \mathrm{Exc} \cup \Sigma_{\mathrm{pp}})$ and choose a vector $\Psi \in E(K)\mathcal{H}$. We select open sets $G_n \supset \mathcal{T}$ s.t.

$$\langle \Psi, E(G_n \backslash \mathcal{T})\Psi \rangle \leq \frac{1}{n}. \tag{7.25}$$

Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 7.2, such sets exist by the regularity of the spectral measure. We define sets $K_n := K \backslash G_n$, which are compact. Now we write

$$E(K) = E(K_n) + E(G_n \cap K) = E(K_n) + E((G_n \backslash \mathcal{T}) \cap K) + E(\mathcal{T} \cap K). \tag{7.26}$$

Due to relation (7.25), the second term on the r.h.s. above satisfies

$$\|E((G_n \backslash \mathcal{T}) \cap K)\Psi\| \leq \frac{1}{n}. \tag{7.27}$$

As for the last term on the r.h.s. of (7.26), we note that

$$E(\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{E}^{(1)}) = I^*_{\mathrm{LLP}} \Big(\int^{\oplus} d\xi \, E_\xi(\mathcal{T}(\xi) \cap \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(\xi)) \Big) I_{\mathrm{LLP}} = E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{pp}} \cap \mathcal{E}^{(1)}), \tag{7.28}$$

where we made use of the fact that, by Theorem 2.2, $\mathcal{T}(\xi) \cap \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(\xi)$ is countable for any ξ. Thus $E(\mathcal{T} \cap K)\Psi$ belongs to the range of $E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{pp}} \cap \mathcal{E}^{(1)})$ and hence belongs to the range of the wave operator. In fact, for any $\Psi \in E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{pp}} \cap \mathcal{E}^{(1)})\mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\widehat{\Omega}^+_{\mathcal{R}}(\Psi \otimes |0\rangle) = \Psi, \tag{7.29}$$

similarly as in formula (7.24) above.

Let us now consider the first term on the r.h.s. of (7.26). For any $(\xi_0, \lambda_0) \in K_n$ there exists a neighbourhood $\mathcal{O}$ as specified in Definition 6.1. Such neighbourhoods form a covering of K_n from which we can choose a finite sub-covering $\{\mathcal{O}_j\}_{j=1}^{N_0}$. By taking intersections of these sets, if necessary, we can find a finite family of disjoint Borel sets $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^N$, such that each $B_i \subset \mathcal{O}_{j_i}$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, N_0\}$ and their union coincides with K_n. Thus, by Theorem 6.7, and Proposition 7.1, we can write

$$E(K_n) = \sum_{i=1}^N E(B_i) = \sum_{i=1}^N W^+_{\mathcal{O}_{j_i}} W^{+*}_{\mathcal{O}_{j_i}} E(B_i) = \sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\Omega}^+_{\mathcal{R}} W^{+*}_{\mathcal{O}_{j_i}} E(B_i), \tag{7.30}$$

where $W^+_{\mathcal{O}_{j_i}}$ are the localized wave operators and we made use of the fact that they intertwine $(P^{\mathrm{ex}}, H^{\mathrm{ex}})$ with (P, H). Thus the range of $E(K_n)$ is contained in the range of $\widehat{\Omega}^+_{\mathcal{R}}$.

Summing up, for any $\Psi \in E(K)\mathcal{H}$ there exists a sequence of vectors $\Psi_n \in \operatorname{Ran} \widehat{\Omega}^+_{\mathcal{R}}$ s.t.

$$\|\Psi - \Psi_n\| \leq \frac{1}{n}. \tag{7.31}$$

Note that by construction $\widehat{\Omega}^+_{\mathcal{R}}$ vanishes on $E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{ex}} \ominus (E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{pp}}) \otimes 1)E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{ex}}$. Hence, by relation (7.5), $\operatorname{Ran} \widehat{\Omega}^+_{\mathcal{R}}$ is closed and we obtain that $\Psi \in \operatorname{Ran} \widehat{\Omega}^+_{\mathcal{R}}$. This completes the proof of the fact that $\operatorname{Ran} \widehat{\Omega}^+_{\mathcal{R}} \supset E(\mathcal{R})$. The opposite inclusion follows from the intertwining relation (7.6). □

We are now in a position to extract our main theorem from Subsection 2.4, as well as its corollary. In proofs we will make use of the identity

$$E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}_+ = E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R})(E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{pp}}) \otimes 1)\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{ex}} = (E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{pp}}) \otimes 1)E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{ex}}, \tag{7.32}$$

which follows from the definition $\mathcal{H}_+ = (E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{pp}}) \otimes 1)\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{ex}}$ of the outgoing Hilbert space.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. By (7.32) and Theorem 7.2 we conclude the existence of $\Omega^+_{\mathcal{R}} = (\widehat{\Omega}^+_{\mathcal{R}})_{|E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}_+}$ and the property

$$\Omega^{+*}_{\mathcal{R}} \Omega^+_{\mathcal{R}} = E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R})_{|\mathcal{H}_+}. \tag{7.33}$$

By construction of $\widehat{\Omega}^+_{\mathcal{R}}$, we observe that $\widehat{\Omega}^+_{\mathcal{R}}\Psi = 0$, for $\Psi \in E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{ex}} \ominus E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}_+$. Hence, Theorem 7.3 gives $\operatorname{Ran} \Omega^+_{\mathcal{R}} = E(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}$. Together with (7.33) this implies unitarity of $\Omega^+_{\mathcal{R}} \colon E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}_+ \to E(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}$. That is, $\Omega^+_{\mathcal{R}} \Omega^{+*}_{\mathcal{R}} = E(\mathcal{R})$, which concludes the proof. □

Proof of Corollary 2.4. To prove part (a), we recall that $a_+^*(h)\Psi := \Omega_{\mathcal{R}}^+(\Psi\otimes a^*(h)|0\rangle)$, where $\Psi \in E(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{bnd}}$ and h are $\mathcal{R}$-compatible. Now we compute, making use of (7.33)

$$\langle a_+^*(h)\Psi, a_+^*(h')\Psi'\rangle = \langle \Omega_{\mathcal{R}}^+(\Psi\otimes a^*(h)|0\rangle), \Omega_{\mathcal{R}}^+(\Psi'\otimes a^*(h')|0\rangle)\rangle = \langle \Psi, \Psi'\rangle\langle h, h'\rangle. \tag{7.34}$$

Similarly, for $\Psi'' \in E(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{bnd}}$,

$$\langle a_+^*(h)\Psi, \Psi''\rangle = \langle \Omega_{\mathcal{R}}^+(\Psi\otimes a^*(h)|0\rangle), \Omega_{\mathcal{R}}^+(\Psi''\otimes |0\rangle)\rangle = 0, \tag{7.35}$$

where we made use of the fact that $\Omega_{\mathcal{R}}^+(\Psi''\otimes|0\rangle) = \Psi''$ (cf. relation (7.8)) and of (7.33).

To prove part (b) of the corollary, we recall that $\mathrm{Ran}\,\Omega_{\mathcal{R}}^+ = E(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}$ and therefore, any vector $\Psi_1 \in E(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}$ can be written as $\Psi_1 = \Omega_{\mathcal{R}}^+\Psi_2$, where $\Psi_2 \in E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}_+$. By (M.1) in Lemma M.1, applied with $O = \mathcal{R}$, we find that $E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{ex}} = E(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H} \oplus E^{(1)}(\mathcal{R})(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{iso}}\otimes\mathfrak{h})$. Since the second summand is already sitting inside $E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}_+$, cf. (7.32), we find that

$$E^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}_+ = E(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{bnd}} \oplus E^{(1)}(\mathcal{R})(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{iso}}\otimes\mathfrak{h}). \tag{7.36}$$

The claim (b) now follows from (M.2), applied with $O = \mathcal{R}$. $\square$

A Fock space combinatorics

A.1 Fock space

Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be the single-particle space and $\Gamma(\mathfrak{h})$ be the symmetric Fock space over $\mathfrak{h}$ given by

$$\Gamma(\mathfrak{h}) := \bigoplus_{n\geq 0}\Gamma^{(n)}(\mathfrak{h}), \tag{A.1}$$

where $\Gamma^{(n)}(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}^{\otimes_s^n}$. $\Gamma^{(0)}(\mathfrak{h})$ is spanned by the vacuum vector denoted by $|0\rangle$. (If the single-particle space $\mathfrak{h}$ is fixed, we use a shorter notation $\mathcal{F} := \Gamma(\mathfrak{h})$ and $\mathcal{F}^{(n)} := \Gamma^{(n)}(\mathfrak{h})$). For any set $D \subset \mathfrak{h}$ we set $\Gamma^{(n)}(D) = D^{\otimes_s^n}$ and define $\Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D)$ as the space of finite linear combinations of vectors from $\Gamma^{(n)}(D)$, $n = 0, 1, 2\ldots$

Let $D \subset \mathfrak{h}$ be a dense domain and $a\colon D \to \mathfrak{h}$ a linear map. Then $\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a)$ is defined on $\Gamma^{(n)}(D)$, $n \geq 1$, by

$$\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{1\otimes\cdots\otimes 1}_{i-1}\otimes a\otimes\underbrace{1\otimes\cdots\otimes 1}_{n-i}. \tag{A.2}$$

and extended to $\Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D)$ by linearity and the relation $\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a)|0\rangle = 0$. In particular, $N := \mathrm{d}\Gamma(1)$ is called the number operator. We recall that if a is closable then so is $\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a)$. Moreover, if a is essentially self-adjoint on D, then $\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a)$ is essentially self-adjoint on $\Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D)$. Finally, if b is a quadratic form on $D_1\times D_2$, where D_1, D_2 are dense domains in $\mathfrak{h}$, then one can define $\mathrm{d}\Gamma(b)$ as a quadratic form on $\Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D_1)\times\Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D_2)$.

Let $\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2$ be two single-particle spaces and let $D_1 \subset \mathfrak{h}_1$ be a dense domain. For any linear map $q : D_1 \to \mathfrak{h}_2$ we define a map $\Gamma(q)$ on $\Gamma^{(n)}(D_1)$, $n \geq 1$, by

$$\Gamma(q) := \underbrace{q\otimes\cdots\otimes q}_{n} \tag{A.3}$$

and extend it to $\Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D_1)$ by linearity and the relation $\Gamma(q)|0\rangle = |0\rangle$. If q is a contraction (i.e. $\|q\| \leq 1$) then $\Gamma(q)$ extends to a contraction $\Gamma(\mathfrak{h}_1) \to \Gamma(\mathfrak{h}_2)$. We recall that for a contraction q acting on $\mathcal{K}\otimes\mathfrak{h}$ one can define $\Gamma(q)$ as a contraction on $\mathcal{K}\otimes\Gamma(\mathfrak{h})$. See [47, Remark 1.1].

Let $q, a_1, \dots, a_m$ be operators $D_1 \to \mathfrak{h}_2$ defined on some common dense domain $D_1 \subset \mathfrak{h}_1$. Then we define $\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, a_1, \dots, a_m)$ on $\Gamma^{(n)}(D_1)$, $n \geq m$, by

$$\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, a_1, \dots, a_m) := \sum_{\substack{i_1,\dots,i_m \\ \forall_{k\neq l} i_k \neq i_l}} (\underbrace{q \otimes \cdots \otimes q}_{i_1-1} \otimes a_1 \otimes q \otimes \cdots\cdots \otimes q \otimes a_m \otimes \underbrace{q \otimes \cdots \otimes q}_{n-i_m}), \tag{A.4}$$

and extend it to $\Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D_1)$ by linearity and by setting $\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, a_1, \dots, a_m) = 0$ on $D_1^{\otimes_s^n}$, where $0 \leq n < m$.

We note the following simple relation between the objects introduced above: Let q, p be bounded operators on $\mathfrak{h}$ which commute and let a be a self-adjoint operator on some domain $D \subset \mathfrak{h}$. Then

$$[\Gamma(q), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(p, a)] = \mathrm{d}\Gamma(qp, [q, a]) \tag{A.5}$$

in the sense of quadratic forms on $\Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D) \times \Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D)$.

A.2 Extended Fock space

Extended Fock space is defined by $\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathfrak{h}) := \Gamma(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \Gamma(\mathfrak{h})$ (or, in a shorter notation, $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ex}} := \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}$). Let $U \colon \Gamma(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}) \to \Gamma(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \Gamma(\mathfrak{h})$ be the canonical identification, defined by the relations

$$U a^*(h) = (a^*(h) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a^*(h)) U \quad \text{and} \quad U|0\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle. \tag{A.6}$$

We will use U to transport objects defined in the previous subsection to the extended Fock space: Let q_0, q_∞ and a_0, a_∞ be operators on $\mathfrak{h}$ defined on a dense domain $D \subset \mathfrak{h}$. Let $\underline{q} := \mathrm{diag}(q_0, q_\infty)$ and $\underline{a} := \mathrm{diag}(a_0, a_\infty)$ be operators on $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ defined on the domain $D \oplus D$. Then we introduce the following operators on the extended Fock space:

$$\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{q}) := U\Gamma(\underline{q})U^* = \Gamma(q_0) \otimes \Gamma(q_\infty), \tag{A.7}$$

$$\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{a}) := U\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{a})U^* = \mathrm{d}\Gamma(a_0) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathrm{d}\Gamma(a_\infty), \tag{A.8}$$

$$\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{q}, \underline{a}) := U\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{a})U^* = \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q_0, a_0) \otimes \Gamma(q_\infty) + \Gamma(q_0) \otimes \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q_\infty, a_\infty), \tag{A.9}$$

which are defined on $\Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D) \otimes \Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D)$ and in the last equality we used Lemma A.3, stated below. We note that if q_0 and q_∞ are contractions, then $\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{q})$ is also a contraction. In this situation we set

$$\Gamma^{(n)}(\underline{q}) := \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{q})_{|\Gamma(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \Gamma^{(n)}(\mathfrak{h})}, \tag{A.10}$$

$$\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(n)}(\underline{a}) := \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{a})_{|\Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D) \otimes \Gamma^{(n)}(D)}, \tag{A.11}$$

$$\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(n)}(\underline{q}, \underline{a}) := \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{q}, \underline{a})_{|\Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D) \otimes \Gamma^{(n)}(D)}. \tag{A.12}$$

In the special case where $q_0 = q_\infty =: q$, $a_0 = a_\infty =: a$ we will drop the underlining and write

$$\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(q) = \Gamma(q) \otimes \Gamma(q), \tag{A.13}$$

$$\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(a) = \mathrm{d}\Gamma(a) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathrm{d}\Gamma(a), \tag{A.14}$$

$$\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(q, a) = \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, a) \otimes \Gamma(q) + \Gamma(q) \otimes \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, a), \tag{A.15}$$

which is the standard notation. Since extended objects are unitarily equivalent to operators on $\Gamma(\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{h})$, the properties of closedness and essential self-adjointness are naturally inherited from the single-particle level, as discussed after formula (A.2) above.

Now let c_0, c_∞ be bounded operators on $\mathfrak{h}$. We define $c : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$, which acts on $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ by $ch = (c_0 h, c_\infty h)$, and is s.t. $\|c^* c\| = \|c_0^* c_0 + c_\infty^* c_\infty\| \leq 1$. Then

$$\check{\Gamma}(c) \quad := \quad U\Gamma(c) \tag{A.16}$$

is a mapping $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ex}}$ of norm one [13]. We also define $\check{\Gamma}^{(n)}(c) := P_n \check{\Gamma}(c)$, where $P_n \colon \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ex}} \to \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$ is the natural restriction map. Next, given a linear map $a \colon D \to \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$, where $D \subset \mathfrak{h}$, we set

$$\mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}(c, a) := U \mathrm{d}\Gamma(c, a), \tag{A.17}$$

which is a mapping $\Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D) \to \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ex}}$. We also define $\mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}^{(n)}(c, a) = P_n \mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}(c, a)$.

Let us denote by $(1, 1)$ the map $\mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ which acts by $(1, 1)h = (h, h)$, where $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. We note that $\|(1, 1)^*(1, 1)\| = \sqrt{2}$ and define $\check{\Gamma}(1, 1)$ as an unbounded operator on $\Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{h})$. As stated in [13], the following operators

$$\check{\Gamma}(1, 1)^* \big((N + 1)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\{n\}}(N)\big) \tag{A.18}$$

are bounded for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A.3 Useful lemmas

In this subsection we collect some simple relations between operators on Fock space, which are used repetitively in the paper. Most of these relations are well known (see e.g. [13, Section 2] for (A.19) and (A.20)).

Lemma A.1. *Let q, p be bounded operators and $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. Then the following equalities hold in the sense of quadratic forms on $\Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{h}) \times \Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{h})$:*

$$\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, p) a^*(h) = a^*(ph)\Gamma(q) + a^*(qh)\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, p), \tag{A.19}$$

$$a(h)\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, p) = \Gamma(q)a(p^* h) + \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, p)a(q^* h). \tag{A.20}$$

Proof. Note the identity $\Gamma(q + sp)a^*(h) = a^*((q + sp)h)\Gamma(q + sp)$ valid for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$. By computing the matrix elements of this expression between vectors from $\Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{h})$ and differentiating them w.r.t. s at $s = 0$ we conclude the proof. ☐

Lemma A.2. *Let $\omega, a_1, \ldots a_n$ be operators defined on a common domain $D \subset \mathfrak{h}$, whose adjoints are defined on a common domain $D^* \subset \mathfrak{h}$. Let j be a bounded operator on $\mathfrak{h}$. Then, in the sense of quadratic forms on $\Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D^*) \times \Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D)$*

$$\begin{aligned} &[\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\omega), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(j, a_1, \ldots, a_n)] \\ &\quad = \mathrm{d}\Gamma(j, [\omega, j], a_1, \ldots, a_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{d}\Gamma(j, a_1, \ldots, [\omega, a_i], \ldots, a_n). \end{aligned} \tag{A.21}$$

Now suppose that $j \colon \mathfrak{h}_1 \to \mathfrak{h}_2$ is s.t. $\|j\| \leq 1$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_n \colon \mathfrak{h}_1 \to \mathfrak{h}_2$ are bounded operators. Then

$$\|\mathrm{d}\Gamma(j, a_1, \ldots, a_n)(1 + N)^{-n}\| \leq C\|a_1\| \ldots \|a_n\|. \tag{A.22}$$

Proof. Relation (A.21) can easily be seen by differentiating the function

$$(s, s_1, \ldots, s_n) \to \langle \Psi_1, [\Gamma(1 + s\omega), \Gamma(j + s_1 a_1 + \cdots + s_n a_n)]\Psi_2 \rangle, \tag{A.23}$$

where $\Psi_1 \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D^*)$ and $\Psi_2 \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D)$, w.r.t. each of the parameters separately and then setting $(s, s_1, \ldots s_n) = 0$. The bound (A.22) follows immediately from definition (A.4). ☐

Lemma A.3. *Let q_0, q_∞ be bounded operators on $\mathfrak{h}$, and let p_0, p_∞ be defined on a domain $D \subset \mathfrak{h}$. We define the following operators on $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$:*

$$\underline{q} := \begin{pmatrix} q_0 & 0 \\ 0 & q_\infty \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \underline{p} := \begin{pmatrix} p_0 & 0 \\ 0 & p_\infty \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.24}$$

There holds the following identity on vectors from $\Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D) \otimes \Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D)$:

$$U \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{p}) U^* = \big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q_0, p_0) \otimes \Gamma(q_\infty) + \Gamma(q_0) \otimes \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q_\infty, p_\infty)\big). \tag{A.25}$$

Proof. Note that $U\Gamma(\underline{q} + s\underline{p}) = (\Gamma(q_0 + sp_0) \otimes \Gamma(q_\infty + sp_\infty))U$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. By computing the matrix elements of this expression between vectors from the specified domains and differentiating them w.r.t. s at $s = 0$ we conclude the proof. ☐

Lemma A.4. *Let $\omega, c_{i,0}, c_{i,\infty}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, be operators defined on a common domain $D \subset \mathfrak{h}$, whose adjoints are defined on a common domain $D^* \subset \mathfrak{h}$. We define $\underline{\omega} := \mathrm{diag}(\omega, \omega)$ as an operator on $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ with a domain $D \oplus D$. Now let j_0, j_∞ be bounded operators on $\mathfrak{h}$. We define $c_i := (c_{i,0}, c_{i,\infty})$ as maps $D \to \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ and $j := (j_0, j_\infty)$ as a map $\mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. Then the following relation holds in the sense of quadratic forms on $\Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D^* \oplus D^*) \times \Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D)$:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{\omega})\mathrm{d}\Gamma(j, c_1, \ldots, c_n) - \mathrm{d}\Gamma(j, c_1, \ldots, c_n)\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\omega) \\ &\qquad = \mathrm{d}\Gamma(j, [\underline{\omega}, j], c_1, \ldots, c_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{d}\Gamma(j, c_1, \ldots, [\underline{\omega}, c_i], \ldots, c_n), \end{aligned} \tag{A.26}$$

where $[\underline{\omega}, j] := \underline{\omega} j - j\omega = ([\omega, j_0], [\omega, j_\infty])$.

Proof. The relation follows by differentiating the function

$$(s, s_1, \ldots, s_n) \to \langle \Psi_1, (\Gamma(1 + s\underline{\omega})\Gamma(j + \sum_{i=1}^n s_i c_i) - \Gamma(j + \sum_{i=1}^n s_i c_i)\Gamma(1 + s\omega))\Psi_2 \rangle, \tag{A.27}$$

where $\Psi_1 \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D^* \oplus D^*)$ and $\Psi_2 \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D)$, w.r.t. s and s_i separately and setting $(s, s_1, \ldots, s_n) = 0$. ☐

Lemma A.5. *Let a, b be operators defined on some common domain $D \subset \mathfrak{h}$, whose adjoints are defined on some common domain $D^* \subset \mathfrak{h}$. We define $\underline{a} := \mathrm{diag}(a, a)$ and $\underline{b} := \mathrm{diag}(b, b)$ as operators on $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ with domains $D \oplus D$. Let $q, j_0, j_\infty \in B(\mathfrak{h})$ and suppose that $[q, j_0] = 0$ and $[q, j_\infty] = 0$. We define $j := (j_0, j_\infty)$ to be a map $\mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ and we specify $\underline{q} := \mathrm{diag}(q, q)$ to be an operator on $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. Then, in the sense of quadratic forms on $\Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D^* \oplus D^*) \times \Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(D)$*

$$\Gamma(j)\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, a) - \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{a})\Gamma(j) = \mathrm{d}\Gamma(jq, [j, \underline{a}]), \tag{A.28}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(j)\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, a, b) - \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{a}, \underline{b})\Gamma(j) &= \mathrm{d}\Gamma(jq, [j, \underline{a}], [j, \underline{b}]) + \mathrm{d}\Gamma(jq, [j, \underline{a}], \underline{b}j) \\ &\quad + \mathrm{d}\Gamma(jq, \underline{a}j, [j, \underline{b}]), \end{aligned} \tag{A.29}$$

where $[j, \underline{a}] = ja - \underline{a}j$.

Proof. The relations follow by differentiating the matrix elements of the functions

$$s \to \Gamma(j)\Gamma(q + sa) - \Gamma(\underline{q} + s\underline{a})\Gamma(j), \tag{A.30}$$

$$(s, s_1) \to \Gamma(j)\Gamma(q + sa + s_1 b) - \Gamma(q + s\underline{a} + s_1\underline{b})\Gamma(j), \tag{A.31}$$

in each argument separately, and setting $s = 0$, respectively $(s, s_1) = 0$. ☐

B Commutator expansions

Commutator expansions for functions of several commuting observables, which we need in the present work, were established in [50]. To state this result, we need first several definitions: For $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the class of functions $S^\rho(\mathbb{R}^\nu) \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$, s.t.

$$|\partial^\alpha f(x)| \leq C_\alpha \langle x \rangle^{\rho - |\alpha|}, \tag{B.1}$$

for any multiindex α. In the definition below we use the notation $\delta_j := (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}^\nu$, with 1 on the j-th entry.

Definition B.1. Let $A = (A_1, \dots, A_\nu)$ be a vector of commuting self-adjoint operators with domains $D(A_j) \subset \mathcal{H}$, and B a bounded operator on $\mathcal{H}$. We say that $B \in C^1(A)$, or B is of class $C^1(A)$, if the commutator forms $[A_j, B]$, a priori defined as quadratic forms on $D(A_j)$, extend by continuity to bounded operators $[A_j, B]^\circ =: \mathrm{ad}_{A_j}(B) = \mathrm{ad}_A^{\delta_j}(B)$. For $n_0 > 1$ we define the class $C^{n_0}(A)$ and iterated commutators $\mathrm{ad}_A^\alpha(B)$ recursively: We say that $B \in C^{n_0}(A)$ if $B \in C^{n_0 - 1}(A)$ and for any $|\alpha| < n_0$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, \nu\}$, the commutator forms $[A_j, \mathrm{ad}_A^\alpha(B)]$ extend by continuity to bounded operators $\mathrm{ad}_A^{\alpha + \delta_j}(B)$.

Remark B.2. In the case $\nu = 1$ the above definition reduces to a more standard one: $B \in B(\mathcal{H})$ belongs to $C^{n_0}(A)$ if for any $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$ the map

$$\mathbb{R} \ni s \to \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}sA} B \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}sA} \Psi \tag{B.2}$$

is n_0 times continuously differentiable in the norm topology. We also recall that this definition can be naturally extended to (possibly unbounded) self-adjoint operators: In this case we say that $B \in C^{n_0}(A)$ if $(B - z)^{-1} \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is in $C^{n_0}(A)$ for some – hence all – $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \sigma(H)$ [47]. Finally, we remind the reader that if $B \in C^1(A)$ we have $BD(A) \subset D(A)$.

Now we are ready to state the main result of [50].

Lemma B.3. *Let $B \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and $A = (A_1, \dots, A_\nu)$ be a family of self-adjoint, pairwise commuting operators. Assume that $B \in C^{n_0}(A)$ for some $n_0 \geq n + 1 \geq 1$, $0 \leq t_1 \leq n + 1$ and $0 \leq t_2 \leq 1$ and that $f \in S^\rho(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$ for some $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $t_1 + t_2 + \rho < n + 1$. Then*

$$[f(A), B] = \sum_{\alpha : 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq n} (-1)^{|\alpha| + 1} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha f(A) \mathrm{ad}_A^\alpha(B) + R_{n+1}(f, A, B), \tag{B.3}$$

as an identity on $D(\langle A \rangle^\rho)$, where $R_{n+1}(f, A, B) \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and there exists a constant $c_n(f)$, independent of A, B, s.t.

$$\| \langle A \rangle^{t_1} R_{n+1}(f, A, B) \langle A \rangle^{t_2} \| \leq c_n(f) \sum_{\alpha : |\alpha| = n+1} \| \mathrm{ad}_A^\alpha(B) \|. \tag{B.4}$$

Remark B.4. One can of course read the commutator expansion in Lemma B.3 as a form identity on $D(\langle A \rangle^\rho)$. We wish to argue in this remark, that one can also read it is an operator identity on $D(\langle A \rangle^\rho)$. Suppose $B \in C^{n_0}(A)$, with $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $B \in C^{n_0}(A)$. We wish to prove by induction after n_0 that

$$\mathrm{ad}_A^\alpha(B) D(\langle A \rangle^{n_0}) \subset D(\langle A \rangle^{n_0 - |\alpha|}), \tag{B.5}$$

for multiindices α with $|\alpha| \leq n_0$. From (B.5), using $\rho < n_0$, it follows by interpolation that $\mathrm{ad}_A^\alpha(B) D(\langle A \rangle^\rho) \subset D(\langle A \rangle^{\rho - |\alpha|})$. Hence the expansion in Lemma B.3 is meaningful as an operator identity.

Let $B \in C^1(A)$. Recall that $BD(A_j) \subset D(A_j)$, for all j. Hence, $BD(\langle A \rangle) \subset D(\langle A \rangle)$. This proves the claim for $n_0 = 1$.

Assume that (B.5) is true with n_0 replaced by an integer $n < n_0$. To show that (B.5) holds true also with n_0 we proceed as follows. We use descending induction after $|\alpha|$, with the case $|\alpha| = n_0$ being trivial. For α with $|\alpha| < n_0$ we know that $\mathrm{ad}_A^\alpha(B) \in C^1(A)$, and hence $\mathrm{ad}_A^\alpha(B)D(\langle A \rangle) \subset D(\langle A \rangle)$ (by the $n_0 = 1$ step) and $A_j \mathrm{ad}_A^\alpha(B) = \mathrm{ad}_A^{\alpha+\delta_j}(B) + \mathrm{ad}_A^\alpha(B)A_j$. The claim now follows by the two induction hypotheses.

In our investigations we will use two special cases of Lemma B.3, which we now state explicitly:

Lemma B.5. *Let $B \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and $A = (A_1, \dots, A_\nu)$ be a family of self-adjoint, pairwise commuting operators. Assume that $B \in C^3(A)$ and that $f \in S^2(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$. Then*

$$[f(A), B] = \sum_{\alpha:|\alpha|=1} \partial^\alpha f(A)\mathrm{ad}_A^\alpha(B) + R(f, A, B), \tag{B.6}$$

as an identity on $D(\langle A \rangle^2)$, where $R(f, A, B) \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and there exists a constant $c(f)$, independent of A and B, s.t.

$$\|R(f, A, B)\| \le c(f) \sum_{\alpha:2\le|\alpha|\le3} \|\mathrm{ad}_A^\alpha(B)\|. \tag{B.7}$$

Lemma B.6. *Let $B \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and A be a self-adjoint operator. Assume that $B \in C^{n_0}(A)$ for some $n_0 \ge n + 1 \ge 1$, and that $f \in S^0(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$. Then*

$$[f(A), B] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{j-1} \frac{1}{j!} f^{(j)}(A)\mathrm{ad}_A^j(B) + R_{n+1}(f, A, B), \tag{B.8}$$

as an identity on $\mathcal{H}$, where $R_{n+1}(f, A, B) \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and there exists a constant $c_n(f)$, independent of A, B, s.t.

$$\|R_{n+1}(f, A, B)\| \le c_n(f)\|\mathrm{ad}_A^{n+1}(B)\|. \tag{B.9}$$

C Commutator bounds in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$

Let A and H be self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, defined on domains $D(A)$ and $D(H)$, and s.t. H is of class $C^1(A)$ (cf. Definition B.1 above). We recall that the natural domain $D(A) \cap D(H)$ of the commutator form $\mathrm{i}[H, A]$ is dense in $D(H)$ in the topology given by the norm $\|\Psi\|_H := \|H\Psi\| + \|\Psi\|$. We will write $\mathrm{i}[H, A]^\circ$ for the extension by continuity of the commutator form from $D(A) \cap D(H)$ to $D(H)$ (and also for the associated operator from $D(H)$ to $D(H)^*$). If, furthermore, $\mathrm{i}[H, A]^\circ$ extends by continuity to an element of $B(\mathcal{H})$, as is sometimes the case below, then $[H, A]^\circ$ will denote this extension.

First, we recall the following abstract result from [48]:

Proposition C.1. *Let H and A be self-adjoint operators that satisfy*

(a) *$D(A) \cap D(H)$ is a core for H.*

(b) *$\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tA}D(H) \subset D(H)$ and for each $\Psi \in D(H)$ we have $\sup_{|t|<1} \|H\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tA}\Psi\| < \infty$.*

(c) *There is a set $S \subset D(A) \cap D(H)$ which is a core for H and is invariant under $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tA}$. The form $\mathrm{i}[H,A]$ on S is bounded below and closable, and the associated self-adjoint operator $\mathrm{i}[H,A]_S^\circ$ satisfies $D(\mathrm{i}[H,A]_S^\circ) \supset D(H)$.*

Then, for all $\Phi, \Psi \in D(A) \cap D(H)$

$$\langle \Phi, \mathrm{i}[H,A]\Psi \rangle = \langle \Phi, \mathrm{i}[H,A]_S^\circ \Psi \rangle. \tag{C.1}$$

Making use of the above proposition, we prove the following technical lemma:

Lemma C.2. *Let $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu;\mathbb{R}^\nu)$. Let $a := \frac{1}{2}(v \cdot \mathrm{i}\nabla_k + \mathrm{i}\nabla_k \cdot v)$, which defines a self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$. Using the same notation for real-valued functions and their associated self-adjoint multiplication operators we have: g is of class $C^1(a)$ and the operator $\mathrm{i}[a,g]^\circ$ extends by continuity from $D(g)$ to a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$ given by*

$$\mathrm{i}[a,g]^\circ = -v \cdot \nabla g. \tag{C.2}$$

Moreover, $(z-a)^{-1}$ leaves $D(g)$ invariant for any $z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}$. More precisely, for any $u \in D(g)$ there holds

$$\|g(z-a)^{-1}u\|_2 \le \frac{c}{|\mathrm{Im}\, z|}(\|u\|_2 + \|gu\|_2), \tag{C.3}$$

for come $c \ge 0$ independent of u and z.

Proof. We set in Proposition C.1 $A = a$ and $H = g$ and verify the assumptions: As for (a), we note that $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$, which is a core for g, is a subset of $D(a) \cap D(g)$.

To prove (b), we follow [48, 47]: We recall that $w_t := \mathrm{e}^{ita}$ is closely related to the flow ψ_t of the equation $\dot{\psi}_t = v(\psi_t)$ with the initial condition $\psi_0(k) = k$. Let J_t be the determinant of the Jacobi matrix $D_k\psi_t$. There holds

$$(w_t u)(k) = \sqrt{J_t(k)}\, u(\psi_t(k)), \tag{C.4}$$

where $u \in D(g)$ and J_t is uniformly bounded in k as a consequence of the Liouville formula:

$$J_t = \mathrm{e}^{\int_0^t ds \mathrm{Tr} Dv(\psi_s(k))}. \tag{C.5}$$

Making use of the boundedness of v we obtain the property of finite propagation speed of ψ_t

$$\sup_{k\in\mathbb{R}^\nu} \|\psi_t(k) - k\| \le \sup_{k\in\mathbb{R}^\nu} \int_0^t ds \|v(\psi_s(k))\| \le t\|v\|_\infty. \tag{C.6}$$

Equation (C.4) gives

$$(|g(\psi_t(k))| + 1)(|g|w_t u)(k) = |g(k)|\sqrt{J_t(k)}\big(u(\psi_t(k)) + (|g|u)(\psi_t(k))\big), \tag{C.7}$$

and consequently,

$$(|g|w_t u)(k) = \frac{|g(k)|}{(|g(\psi_t(k))| + 1)}\big((w_t u)(k) + (w_t|g|u)(k)\big). \tag{C.8}$$

We note that the factor $|g(k)|(|g(\psi_t(k))| + 1)^{-1}$ is bounded. This follows from the relation

$$g(\psi_t(k)) = g(k) + \int_0^t ds\, v(\psi_s(k)) \cdot \nabla g(\psi_s(k)) \tag{C.9}$$

and the fact that v is compactly supported. Thus we obtain from formula (C.8) that $w_t u$ is in the domain of g and

$$\|(g w_t u)\|_2 \leq c(1+|t|)(\|u\|_2 + \|gu\|_2) \tag{C.10}$$

for some $c \geq 0$ independent of u and t. This concludes the proof of property (b).

As for (c), we set $S = C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$ and conclude from the finite propagation speed property (C.6) that w_t leaves S invariant. On S we easily obtain that

$$\mathrm{i}[a, g] = -v \cdot \nabla g \tag{C.11}$$

and the r.h.s. is bounded due to the compact support of v. Thus $\mathrm{i}[a, g]_S^\circ = -v \cdot \nabla g$ is defined on the entire Hilbert space, which concludes our verification of (c).

Now we obtain from Proposition C.1 that equality (C.11) holds in the sense of forms on $D(a) \cap D(g)$, and that $\mathrm{i}[a, g]$ can be extended to a bounded, self-adjoint operator $\mathrm{i}[a, g]^\circ$ which coincides with $-v \cdot \nabla g$.

Now let us show that $g \in C^1(a)$. We set $g_0(k) = (z - g(k))^{-1}$ and note that (C.11) applies to the real and imaginary parts of this function. Thus, by (C.11) g_0 leaves $D(a)$ invariant and $\mathrm{i}[a, g_0] = g_0 \mathrm{i}[a, g] g_0$, defined first as an operator on $D(a)$, extends to a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$. Thus Lemma 2.2 of [47] gives that $g \in C^1(a)$.

Next, we show estimate (C.3). Let us assume that $\mathrm{Im} z > 0$. Then

$$(z - a)^{-1} = -\mathrm{i} \int_0^\infty dt\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}at} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}zt} \tag{C.12}$$

and property (C.3) follows from (C.10). For $\mathrm{Im} z < 0$ the argument is analogous. ☐

Lemma C.3. *Let $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu)_\mathbb{R}$, and let $f \in S^0(\mathbb{R})_\mathbb{R}$. Then $f(a/t) \in C^n(g)$, where $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_n)$ is a family of commuting self-adjoint operators (functions of k). More precisely: Let $\tilde{g}_i(k) := \chi(k) g(k)$, where $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_\mathbb{R}$ is equal to one on the support of v and vanishes outside of a slightly larger set. We define the following bounded operators for $n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\tilde{I}_0 := f(a/t), \tag{C.13}$$

$$\tilde{I}_n := \mathrm{i}^n [\tilde{g}_n, [\ldots, [\tilde{g}_1, f(a/t)] \ldots]], \quad n \geq 1. \tag{C.14}$$

Then, in the sense of quadratic forms on $D(g_n)$,

$$\mathrm{i}[g_n, \tilde{I}_{n-1}] = \tilde{I}_n. \tag{C.15}$$

Consequently, $\tilde{I}_{n-1}$ leaves $D(g_n)$ invariant and $\tilde{I}_n$ is the unique bounded operator which coincides with $\mathrm{i}[g_n, \tilde{I}_{n-1}]$ on $D(g_n)$ (i.e. $\mathrm{i}[g_n, \tilde{I}_{n-1}]^\circ = \tilde{I}_n$).

Proof. Proceeding similarly as in [19], we write $h(x) = f(x)(x+i)^{-1}$ and choose an almost-analytic extension $\tilde{h} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ of h, which satisfies

$$|\partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{h}(z)| \leq C_N \langle z \rangle^{-2-N} |y|^N, \tag{C.16}$$

where $z = x + \mathrm{i}y$. We set $\hat{a} := a/t$ and write

$$f(\hat{a}) = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\pi} \int_\mathbb{C} \partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{h}(z)(\mathrm{i} + \hat{a})(z - \hat{a})^{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z} \tag{C.17}$$

as a strong integral on $D(a)$. Let us show that $f(\hat{a}) \in C^1(g_1)$: Making use of Lemma C.2, and formula (C.17), we can write for $u_1, u_2 \in D(g_1) \cap D(a)$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle u_1, [g_1, f(\hat{a})]u_2\rangle = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{t}\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial_{\bar{z}}\tilde{h}(z)\langle u_1, v\cdot\nabla g_1\,(z-\hat{a})^{-1}u_2\rangle dz\wedge d\bar{z} \\ -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{t}\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial_{\bar{z}}\tilde{h}(z)\langle u_1, (\mathrm{i}+\hat{a})(z-\hat{a})^{-1}v\cdot\nabla g_1(z-\hat{a})^{-1}u_2\rangle dz\wedge d\bar{z}.\end{aligned} \tag{C.18}$$

Due to property (C.16) and the relations $\|(z-\hat{a})^{-1}\| = |\mathrm{Im}\, z|^{-1}$, $\|\hat{a}(z-\hat{a})^{-1}\| \leq 1+|z|/|\mathrm{Im}\, z|$ we conclude that the integrals are convergent. Moreover, we note that we can replace g_1 in this formula by the compactly supported function $\tilde{g}_1 = g_1\chi$. Thus we obtain

$$\langle u_1, [g_1, f(\hat{a})]u_2\rangle = \langle u_1, [\tilde{g}_1, f(\hat{a})]u_2\rangle. \tag{C.19}$$

Since $g_1 \in C^1(a)$ by Lemma C.2, $D(g_1)\cap D(a)$ is dense in $D(g_1)$. Hence the form $\mathrm{i}[g_1, f(\hat{a})]$ is bounded on $D(g_1)$ and therefore $f(\hat{a}) \in C^1(g_1)$. (Cf. Lemma 2.2 of [47]). As a consequence, $f(\hat{a})$ preserves $D(g_1)$ and we can write

$$\mathrm{i}[g_1, f(\hat{a})]^\circ = \mathrm{i}[\tilde{g}_1, f(\hat{a})]. \tag{C.20}$$

Thus we have proved the lemma for $n = 1$.

Let us now consider the case of $n > 1$. In the sense of quadratic forms on $D(g_n)$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{i}[g_n, \tilde{I}_{n-1}] &= \mathrm{i}^n[\tilde{g}_{n-1}, [\dots, [\tilde{g}_1, \mathrm{i}[g_n, f(a/t)]]\dots]] \\ &= \mathrm{i}^n[\tilde{g}_{n-1}, [\dots, [\tilde{g}_1, \mathrm{i}[\tilde{g}_n, f(a/t)]]\dots]] = \tilde{I}_{n-1},\end{aligned} \tag{C.21}$$

where in the second step we made use of (C.19), which holds on $D(g_n)$ as we justified above. Now the proof can be completed as in the case $n = 1$. □

Let us now proceed to the decay properties of commutators constructed in the above lemma:

Lemma C.4. *Let $g_1, \dots, g_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu)_{\mathbb{R}}$, $f, j_1, \dots, j_m \in S^0(\mathbb{R})$ and let us set $j_i^t := j_i(a/t)$. Then $f(a/t) \in C^n(g)$, where $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ is a family of self-adjoint commuting operators (functions of k) and the following relations hold:*

$$\mathrm{i}[g_1, f(a/t)]^\circ = \frac{1}{t}v\cdot\nabla g_1 f'(a/t) + O(t^{-2}), \tag{C.22}$$

$$\mathrm{i}^m[j_1^t, [\dots, [j_m^t, g_1]^\circ\dots]] = O(t^{-m}), \tag{C.23}$$

$$\mathrm{i}^{n+m}[j_1^t, [\dots, [j_m^t, [g_1, [\dots, [g_n, f(a/t)]^\circ\dots]^\circ]^\circ]\dots]] = O(t^{-n-m}), \tag{C.24}$$

Moreover, if $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is s.t. $\mathrm{supp}\, f \cap \mathrm{supp}\, h = \emptyset$, then, for any $\tilde{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ (independent of n)

$$\mathrm{i}^n[g_1, [\dots, [g_n, f(a/t)]^\circ\dots]^\circ]^\circ h(a/t) = O(t^{-\tilde{n}}). \tag{C.25}$$

Proof. We recall from Lemma C.2 that the form $\mathrm{i}[a, g_1]$, defined first on $D(a) \cap D(g_1)$, has a unique extension to a bounded operator $\mathrm{i}[a, g_1]^\circ$ which satisfies

$$\mathrm{i}[a, g_1]^\circ = -v\cdot\nabla g_1. \tag{C.26}$$

Thus we can define $\mathrm{i}^n\mathrm{ad}_a^n(g_1)$ iteratively: Suppose that $\mathrm{i}^{n-1}\mathrm{ad}_a^{n-1}(g_1)$ is a bounded operator which coincides with $(-v\cdot\nabla)^{n-1}g_1$. Then we define $\mathrm{i}[a, \mathrm{i}^{n-1}\mathrm{ad}_a^{n-1}(g_1)]$ as a quadratic form on $D(a)$ and set $\mathrm{i}^n\mathrm{ad}_a^n(g_1) := \mathrm{i}[a, \mathrm{i}^{n-1}\mathrm{ad}_a^{n-1}(g_1)]^\circ$. It is clear from relation (C.26) that

$$\mathrm{i}^n\mathrm{ad}_a^n(g_1) = (-v\cdot\nabla)^n g_1. \tag{C.27}$$

Now we recall from Lemma C.3 that $\mathrm{i}[j_1^t, g_1]^\circ = \mathrm{i}[j_1^t, \tilde{g}_1]$, where $\tilde{g}_1$ is a compactly supported function of the momentum operator. Since $\tilde{g}_1$ belongs to $C^n(a)$ for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$, by relation (C.27), we conclude from (B.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}[j_1^t, \tilde{g}_1] &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{n'-1}(-1)^{\ell-1}\frac{1}{\ell!}(j_1^{(\ell)})^t\mathrm{ad}_{a/t}^{\ell}(\tilde{g}_1) + R_{n'}(j_1, a/t, g_1)\\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{n'-1}(-1)^{\ell-1}\frac{1}{\ell!}(j_1^{(\ell)})^t\frac{1}{t^l}(\mathrm{i}v\cdot\nabla)^{\ell}\tilde{g}_1 + O(t^{-n'}),\end{aligned} \tag{C.28}$$

where we used (C.27) and (B.9). This proves (C.22) and (C.23) for $m = 1$. To prove (C.23) for arbitrary m, we proceed by induction. Suppose that (C.23) holds for $m < n'$. Then formula (C.28) gives

$$\begin{aligned}&[j_1^t, [\dots, [j_{n'}^t, \tilde{g}_1]\dots]\\ &\quad= \sum_{\ell=1}^{n'-1}(-1)^{\ell-1}\frac{1}{\ell!}(j_1^{(\ell)})^t\frac{1}{t^{\ell}}[j_2^t, [\dots, [j_{n'}^t, (\mathrm{i}v\cdot\nabla)^{\ell}\tilde{g}_1]\dots] + O(t^{-n'}),\end{aligned} \tag{C.29}$$

which is $O(t^{-n'})$ by the induction hypothesis.

Now we proceed to the proof of (C.24). Similarly as in the proof of Lemma C.3, we set $\hat{a} = a/t$ and write

$$f(\hat{a}) = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{C}}\partial_{\bar{z}}\tilde{h}(z)(\mathrm{i}+\hat{a})(z-\hat{a})^{-1}dz\wedge d\bar{z}, \tag{C.30}$$

as a strong integral on $D(a)$, where $\partial_{\bar{z}}\tilde{h}$ satisfies (C.16). We recall from Lemma C.3 that

$$\mathrm{i}^n[g_1, [\dots, [g_n, f(a/t)]^\circ = \mathrm{i}^n[\tilde{g}_1, [\dots, [\tilde{g}_n, f(a/t)], \tag{C.31}$$

where $\tilde{g}_i$ are compactly supported functions of k. With the help of (C.30) we can compute the commutator on the r.h.s. of (C.31) as a quadratic form on $D(a)$ (here we make use of the fact that $\tilde{g}_i \in C^1(a)$ and thus they preserve $D(a)$). The result is a finite linear combination of terms of two types

$$\tilde{I}_{0,n} := \frac{1}{t^n}\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{C}}\partial_{\bar{z}}\tilde{h}(z)(\mathrm{i}+\hat{a})(z-\hat{a})^{-1}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\big\{v\cdot\nabla\tilde{g}_{\sigma(i)}(z-\hat{a})^{-1}\big\}dz\wedge d\bar{z}, \tag{C.32}$$

$$\tilde{I}_{j,n} := \frac{1}{t^n}\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{C}}\partial_{\bar{z}}\tilde{h}(z)v\cdot\nabla\tilde{g}_j(z-\hat{a})^{-1}\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\big\{v\cdot\nabla\tilde{g}_{\delta(i)}(z-\hat{a})^{-1}\big\}dz\wedge d\bar{z}, \tag{C.33}$$

where σ is some permutation of $(1,\dots,n)$ and δ is some permutation of $(1,\dots,\check{j},\dots,n)$. Making use of properties (C.16), and of the relations $\|(z-\hat{a})^{-1}\| = |\mathrm{Im}\,z|^{-1}$, $\|\hat{a}(z-\hat{a})^{-1}\| \le 1+|z|/|\mathrm{Im}\,z|$ we conclude that

$$|\langle u_1, \tilde{I}_{i,n}u_2\rangle| \le \frac{c}{t^n}\|u_1\|\|u_2\| \tag{C.34}$$

for $u_1, u_2 \in D(a)$ and $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. This gives (C.24) for $m = 0$ and also verifies that the r.h.s. of (C.31) coincides with a liner combination of bounded operators $\tilde{I}_{i,n}$ on the entire Hilbert space. Let us now proceed to the case $m > 0$. We note that

$$[j_1^t, [\dots, [j_m^t, \tilde{I}_{i,n}] \dots]] \tag{C.35}$$

is again a linear combinations of terms of the form (C.32) and (C.33), except that some of the insertions $v \cdot \nabla \tilde{g}_j$ are replaced with

$$[j_{i_1}^t, [\dots, [j_{i_{m'}}^t, v \cdot \nabla \tilde{g}_j] \dots]] \tag{C.36}$$

for some $i_1, \dots, i_{m'} \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Since (C.36) is of order $O(t^{-m'})$ by (C.23), the proof of (C.24) can now be completed as in the case $m = 0$.

To prove (C.25), we proceed by induction: For $n = 1$ it follows from (the adjoint of) formula (C.28). Now we define a sequence $\underline{\tilde{g}} := (\tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2, \dots)$ and write for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$[\tilde{g}_1, [\dots, [\tilde{g}_n, f(a/t)] \dots]] = \mathrm{ad}_{\underline{\tilde{g}}}^{\alpha_n}(f(a/t)), \tag{C.37}$$

where α_n is a multiindex s.t. $\alpha_n(j) = 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $\alpha_n(j) = 0$ for $j > n$. Now suppose that (C.25) holds for for $n < n'$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ad}_{\underline{\tilde{g}}}^{\alpha_{n'}}(f(a/t))h(a/t) &= [\tilde{g}_{n'}, \mathrm{ad}_{\underline{\tilde{g}}}^{\alpha_{n'-1}}(f(a/t))]h(a/t) \\ &= \mathrm{ad}_{\underline{\tilde{g}}}^{\alpha_{n'-1}}(f(a/t))[h(a/t), \tilde{g}_{n'}] + O(t^{-\tilde{n}}), \end{aligned} \tag{C.38}$$

where we made use of the induction hypothesis. The first term on the r.h.s. above is $O(t^{-\tilde{n}})$ by the induction hypothesis and formula (C.28). □

D Admissible and regular propagation observables

Definition D.1. Let $\mathbb{R} \ni t \to b(t) \in B(\mathfrak{h})$ be a propagation observable, which is bounded, uniformly in t. Let $j_l \in S^0(\mathbb{R})$ and $g_i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu)_{\mathbb{R}}$, $i, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and let us set $j_l^t := j_l(a/t)$. Suppose that $b(t), b(t)^* \in C^n(g)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ is a family of commuting self-adjoint operators understood as functions of k. (Cf. Definition B.1).

(a) We say that b is admissible, if for any m, n

$$[j_1^t, [\dots, [j_m^t, [g_1, [\dots, [g_n, b(t)]^\circ \dots]^\circ]^\circ \dots] \dots]] = O(t^{-n-m}). \tag{D.1}$$

(b) We say that b is regular, if there exists some neighbourhood of zero Δ, s.t. for any $h_\Delta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, supported in Δ, and any $n, \tilde{n} \in \mathbb{N}$

$$[g_1, [\dots, [g_n, b(t)]^\circ \dots]^\circ]^\circ h_\Delta(a/t) = O(t^{-\tilde{n}}), \tag{D.2}$$

and the same relation holds for b replaced with b^*. We will call Δ the regularity region of b.

Lemma D.2. *Let G be the function appearing in the interaction term of the Hamiltonian (2.9) and let b be a regular propagation observable. Then, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $n \leq 6$, there exists a C_n s.t.*

$$\|b(t)\omega^n G\|_2 \leq C_n/t^2. \tag{D.3}$$

Proof. By (MC1) and (MC3), we have $\|\omega^n G\|_2 < \infty$ for $n \leq 6$. We recall that $a = \frac{1}{2}\{v\cdot \mathrm{i}\nabla_k + \mathrm{i}\nabla_k \cdot v\}$, v is compactly supported and vanishes in a neighbourhood of zero, and by (ST2); $\partial_k^\alpha G$ is locally square-integrable away from zero for $|\alpha| \leq 2$. Hence, $\|a^2\omega^n G\|_2 < \infty$. Now, exploiting regularity of b, we write

$$\|b(t)\omega^n G\|_2 \leq \|b(t)h_\Delta(a/t)\| \, \|\omega^n G\|_2 + \|h_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\Delta}(a/t)(a/t)^{-2}\| \frac{1}{t^2}\|a^2\omega^n G\|_2 \leq C/t^2, \tag{D.4}$$

where Δ appeared in Definition D.1 and h_Δ, $h_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\Delta}$ form a smooth partition of unity s.t. h_Δ is supported in Δ and equal to one on a neighbourhood of zero. □

Lemma D.3. *Let $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})_\mathbb{R}$ be s.t. $q = 0$ on some neighbourhood Δ of zero and $q' \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Then the propagation observables*

$$\mathbb{R} \ni t \to q^t, \quad \mathbb{R} \ni t \to t\partial_t q^t \tag{D.5}$$

are admissible and regular with the regularity region Δ. (Here $q^t = q(a/t)$).

Proof. Follows immediately from Lemma C.4 and the assumed support properties of q. □

E Auxiliary Hamiltonian and energy bounds

In this section we prove higher-order bounds for $H(\xi)$ w.r.t. the free Hamiltonian $H_0(\xi)$ (and their counterparts for the auxiliary Hamiltonians introduced in Definition E.3 below). We cannot rely on standard higher-order bounds for $H(\xi)$ w.r.t. the free boson Hamiltonian H_{ph} (see e.g. [24, Lemma 31] and [25, Lemma 8]), since they do not suffice in the case of the polaron model.

Lemma E.1. *Let $F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\mu)_\mathbb{R}$, $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu;\mathbb{R}^\mu)$, $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $G \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$. Then, in the sense of operators on $\mathcal{C} = \Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu))$,*

$$a^*(G)F\big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(f(k))\big) = \int dp\, G(p)F\big(-f(p) + \mathrm{d}\Gamma(f(k))\big)a^*(p), \tag{E.1}$$

$$a(G)F\big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(f(k))\big) = \int dp\, \overline{G}(p)F\big(f(p) + \mathrm{d}\Gamma(f(k))\big)a(p). \tag{E.2}$$

Proof. Let $\Psi = \{\Psi^{(n)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{C}$, and observe that only finitely many $\Psi^{(n)}$'s are nonzero. The expression $F(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(f(k)))$ is well-defined as a symmetric operator on $\mathcal{C}$, where it is also essentially self-adjoint. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\{a^*(G)F\big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(f(k))\big)\Psi\big\}^{(n)}(k_1,\dots,k_n)\\
&\quad= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^n G(k_i)F\big(f(k_1)+\cdots+f(\check{k}_i)+\cdots+f(k_n)\big)\Psi^{(n-1)}(k_1,\dots,\check{k}_i,\dots,k_n)\\
&\quad= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^n \int dp\,\delta(p-k_i)G(p)F\big(f(k_1)+\cdots+f(k_i)+\cdots+f(k_n)-f(p)\big)\\
&\qquad\qquad\times \Psi^{(n-1)}(k_1,\dots,\check{k}_i,\dots,k_n)\\
&\quad= \int dp\,\big\{G(p)F\big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(f(k))-f(p)\big)a^*(p)\Psi\big\}^{(n)}(k_1,\dots,k_n).
\end{aligned} \tag{E.3}$$

This proves (E.1). As for (E.2), we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\big\{a(G)F\big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(f(k))\big)\Psi\big\}^{(n)}(k_1,\dots,k_n)\\
&\quad=\sqrt{n+1}\int dp\,\overline{G}(p)F\big(f(p)+f(k_1)+\cdots+f(k_n)\big)\Psi^{(n+1)}(p,k_1,\dots,k_n)\\
&\quad=\int dp\,\big\{\overline{G}(p)F\big(f(p)+\mathrm{d}\Gamma(f(k))\big)a(p)\Psi\big\}^{(n)}(k_1,\dots,k_n).
\end{aligned}
\tag{E.4}
$$

This concludes the proof. $\square$

We have the following higher-order lemma

Lemma E.2. *Let $n_0\in\mathbb{N}$ and suppose $\langle k\rangle^{(n_0-1)\max\{1,s_\Omega\}}G\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$. Then $D(|H(\xi)|^n)=D(H_0(\xi)^n)$ for all $n\le n_0$. Furthermore,*

$$
\sup_{\lambda\ge 0,\xi\in\mathbb{R}^\nu}\big(\|(H_0(\xi)+\lambda)^n(H(\xi)-\mathrm{i}+\lambda)^{-n}\|+\|(H(\xi)+\lambda)^n(H_0(\xi)-\mathrm{i}+\lambda)^{-n}\|\big)<\infty. \tag{E.5}
$$

Proof. It is an easy consequence of the spectral theorem, that it suffices to prove the claimed uniform bound for $\lambda=0$ and uniformly in ξ. (This follows by an application of the binomial formula to $(H_0(\xi)+\lambda)^n$ and $(H(\xi)+\lambda)^n$). In fact, in order to take fractional roots we observe that we can replace i by a point below the bottom of the spectrum of the relevant operator. For this purpose we recall the notation $\Sigma_0=\inf\sigma(H)$. We begin by arguing that for $n\le n_0$ we have

$$
(H(\xi)-\Sigma_0+1)^{-n}\mathcal{F}\subset D(H_0(\xi)^n) \tag{E.6}
$$

and

$$
\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^\nu}\|H_0(\xi)^n(H(\xi)-\Sigma_0+1)^{-n}\|<\infty, \tag{E.7}
$$

for all $n\le n_0$. The proof will go by induction in half integer powers n. Clearly, since $D(H(\xi))=D(H_0(\xi))$, (E.6) holds true for $n=1$ (and hence by interpolation for $n=1/2$). Furthermore, the computation

$$
H_0(\xi)(H(\xi)-\Sigma_0+1)^{-1}=I-(1-\Sigma_0+\phi(G))(H(\xi)-\Sigma_0+1)^{-1}, \tag{E.8}
$$

together with $N^{1/2}$-boundedness of $\phi(G)$ and the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|N^{\frac12}(H(\xi)-\Sigma_0+1)^{-1}\|^2&\le\frac{1}{m}\|(H(\xi)-\Sigma_0+1)^{-1}(H_0(\xi)+1)(H(\xi)-\Sigma_0+1)^{-1}\|\\
&\le C_1+C_2\|N^{\frac12}(H(\xi)-\Sigma_0+1)^{-1}\|
\end{aligned}
\tag{E.9}
$$

implies (E.7) for $n=1$. Hence by interpolation also for $n=1/2$.

We now assume $n\ge 3/2$ (and $n\le n_0$) is an element of $\mathbb{N}/2$, and by induction we can assume that (E.6) and (E.7) hold with n replaced with $n-1/2$. To perform the induction step it suffices to show that

$$
\phi(G)(H(\xi)-\Sigma_0+1)^{-n}\Psi\in D(H_0(\xi)^{n-1}), \tag{E.10}
$$

for $\Psi\in\mathcal{H}$, and

$$
\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^\nu}\|H_0(\xi)^{n-1}\phi(G)(H(\xi)-\Sigma_0+1)^{-n}\|<\infty. \tag{E.11}
$$

The statement (E.10) is implied by showing that we have

$$
\phi(G)(H(\xi)-\Sigma_0+1)^{-n}\Psi\in D(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\omega)^{n-1})\cap D(\Omega(\xi-\mathrm{d}\Gamma(k))^{n-1}) \tag{E.12}
$$

and statement (E.11) follows from

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^\nu}\|\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\omega)^{n-1}\phi(G)(H(\xi)-\Sigma_0+1)^{-n}\|<\infty,\\&\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^\nu}\|\Omega(\xi-\mathrm{d}\Gamma(k))^{n-1}\phi(G)(H(\xi)-\Sigma_0+1)^{-n}\|<\infty,\end{aligned}\tag{E.13}$$

which by induction is known to hold for n replaced with a half-integer $n'\leq n-1/2$, cf. what was done for $n=1$.

Let us now prove (E.13). Let $F_1(r)=r^{n-1}$, $f_1(k)=\omega(k)$, $F_2(k)=\Omega(\xi-k)^{n-1}$, and $f_2(k)=k$, where $r\in\mathbb{R}$, $k\in\mathbb{R}^\nu$. Write $\phi(G)=a^*(G)+a(G)$. Below we only deal with the $a(G)$ contribution, which is the most complicated. The contribution from $a^*(G)$ is similar but simpler. Compute for $\Phi,\Psi_1\in\mathcal{C}$

$$\langle F_j(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(f_j(k)))\Phi,a(G)\Psi_1\rangle=\langle a^*(G)F_j(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(f_j(k)))(N+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Phi,N^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_1\rangle.\tag{E.14}$$

Anticipating the use of (E.1) we introduce

$$\Phi_1=\int dp\,G(p)\frac{F_j(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(f_j(k))-f_j(p))}{1+F_j(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(f_j(k)))}a^*(p)(N+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Phi.\tag{E.15}$$

The norm of the n-particle ($n\geq 1$) contribution is

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi_1^{(n)}\|^2=\int dk_1\cdots dk_n\Big|&\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^n G(k_i)\frac{F_j(f_j(k_1)+\cdots+f_j(k_n)-f_j(k_i))}{1+F_j(f_j(k_1)+\cdots+f_j(k_n))}\\&\times n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Phi^{(n-1)}(k_1,\dots,\check{k}_i,\dots,k_n)\Big|^2.\end{aligned}\tag{E.16}$$

Observe the bound $F_j(x-f_j(k_i))\leq C(1+F_j(x))\langle k_i\rangle^{(n-1)s}$, valid for $j=1,2$ uniformly in ξ, where $s=\max\{1,s_\Omega\}$. Here we used (MC3)–(MC5). This implies

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi_1^{(n)}\|^2&\leq\frac{C^2}{n^2}\int dk_1\cdots dk_n\Big(\sum_{i=1}^n\langle k_i\rangle^{(n-1)s}|G(k_i)\Phi^{(n-1)}(k_1,\dots,\check{k}_i,\dots,k_n)|\Big)^2\\&\leq C'\|\langle k\rangle^{(n-1)s}G\|^2\|\Phi^{(n-1)}\|^2.\end{aligned}\tag{E.17}$$

Hence, for some ξ-independent constant C'' we have

$$\|\Phi_1\|\leq C''\|\langle k\rangle^{(n-1)s}G\|\|\Phi\|.\tag{E.18}$$

Combining (E.1), (E.14), and the above estimate we get

$$\begin{aligned}|\langle F_j(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(f_j(k)))\Phi,a(G)\Psi_1\rangle|&\leq C''\|\langle k\rangle^{(n-1)s}G\|\|\Phi\|\|(1+F_j(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(f_j(k))))N^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_1\|\\&\leq m^{-\frac{1}{2}}C''\|\langle k\rangle^{(n-1)s}G\|\|\Phi\|\|(1+H_0(\xi)^{n-\frac{1}{2}})\Psi_1\|.\end{aligned}$$

From the assumption on G we conclude $\|\langle k\rangle^{(n-1)s}G\|<\infty$. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is a core for any power of $H_0(\xi)$, the estimate extends from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\Psi_1\in D(H_0(\xi)^{n-1/2})$, and hence in particular to $\Psi_1=(H(\xi)-\Sigma_0+1)^{-n}\Psi$. This shows that $a(G)(H(\xi)+\Sigma_0+\lambda)^{-n}\Psi\in D(F_j(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(f_j(k))))$, for $j=1,2$, and hence completes the proof of (E.12). The uniform estimates (E.13) follow by the same estimate just derived, since (E.13) holds true with n replaced by $n-1/2$.

In order to establish the reverse claim, that $(H_0(\xi)+1)^{-n_0}\mathcal{F}\subset D(|H(\xi)|^{n_0})$ and that $\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^\nu}\|(H(\xi)-\Sigma_0)^{n_0}(H_0(\xi)+1)^{-n_0}\|<\infty$, we argue again by induction after half-powers. The computations

$$\begin{aligned}(H(\xi)-\Sigma_0)^n(H_0(\xi)+1)^{-n} &= \bigl\{(H(\xi)-\Sigma_0)^{n-1}(H_0(\xi)+1)^{-(n-1)}\bigr\}\\ &\quad\times\bigl\{(H_0(\xi)+1)^{n-1}(H(\xi)-\Sigma_0)(H_0(\xi)+1)^{-n}\bigr\}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(H_0(\xi)+1)^{n-1}H(\xi)(H_0(\xi)+1)^{-n} = H_0(\xi)(H_0(\xi)+1)^{-1}+(H_0(\xi)+1)^{n-1}\phi(G)(H_0(\xi)+1)^{-n},$$

together with the observation that we never used above that the n resolvents were interacting, conclude the proof of the lemma. □

Definition E.3. We define the free and interacting auxiliary Hamiltonians on $\mathcal{H}_1=\Gamma(\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{h})$ by

$$H_{1,0}(\xi):=\Omega(\xi-\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k}))+\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{\omega})\quad\text{and}\quad H_1(\xi):=H_{1,0}(\xi)+\phi(G,0)\tag{E.19}$$

on their domain of essential self-adjointness $\mathcal{C}_1:=\Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu)\oplus C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu))$. The operators $\underline{k}_i=\mathrm{diag}(k_i,k_i), i\in\{1,\dots,\nu\}$ and $\underline{\omega}=\mathrm{diag}(\omega,\omega)$ are essentially self-adjoint on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu)\oplus C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu)\subset\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{h}$ and $(G,0)\in\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{h}$. We note that $H_{1,0}(\xi)=UH_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)U^*$, $H_1(\xi)=UH^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)U^*$ and $\mathcal{C}_1=U^*\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{ex}}$.

Corollary E.4. *Let $n_0\in\mathbb{N}$ and suppose $\langle k\rangle^{(n_0-1)\max\{1,s_\Omega\}}G\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$. Then for any $n\le n_0$, $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^\nu$ we have $D(|H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)|^n)=D(H_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)^n)$, $D(|H^{(\ell)}(\xi)|^n)=D(H_0^{(\ell)}(\xi)^n)$ and $D(|H_1(\xi)|^n)=D(H_{1,0}(\xi)^n)$.*

Proof. Using the direct integral decomposition

$$H_0^{(\ell)}(\xi)^n(H^{(\ell)}(\xi)+\Sigma_0+1)^{-n}=\int^\oplus dk_1\cdots dk_\ell H_0^{(\ell)}(\xi;\underline{k})^n(H^{(\ell)}(\xi;\underline{k})+\Sigma_0+1)^{-n},$$

and similarly with H_0 and H interchanged, we conclude the corollary from Lemma E.2. □

Remark E.5. The auxiliary Hamiltonian $H_1(\xi)$ is useful when computations and estimates involve multiple Fock space operations, since only one Fock space is involved. However, when one makes manifest use of conservation of asymptotic particle number, the $H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)$ representation is advantageous.

Having established estimates and identities for $H_1(\xi)$ we shall by conjugating with the unitary U obtain analogous results for the extended Hamiltonian $H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)$. Then, by applying the projection P_ℓ on the subspace $\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{(\ell)}\subset\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ex}}$ we obtain analogous results for the Hamiltonians $H^{(\ell)}(\xi)$.

Corollary E.6. *Let $n_0\in\mathbb{N}$ and suppose $\langle k\rangle^{(n_0-1)\max\{1,s_\Omega\}}G\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$. Then for any $n\le n_0$, $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$ there exists $C>0$ such that for all $z\in\mathbb{C}$, with* Im$z\neq 0$*, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\bigl\|H_0(\xi)^n(H(\xi)-z)^{-1}(H_0(\xi)+1)^{-(n-1)}\bigr\|\le C|\mathrm{Im}z|^{-1},\\ &\bigl\|H_0^{(\ell)}(\xi)^n(H^{(\ell)}(\xi)-z)^{-1}(H_0^{(\ell)}(\xi)+1)^{-(n-1)}\bigr\|\le C|\mathrm{Im}z|^{-1},\\ &\bigl\|H_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)^n(H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)-z)^{-1}(H_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)+1)^{-(n-1)}\bigr\|\le C|\mathrm{Im}z|^{-1},\\ &\bigl\|H_{1,0}(\xi)^n(H_1(\xi)-z)^{-1}(H_{1,0}(\xi)+1)^{-(n-1)}\bigr\|\le C|\mathrm{Im}z|^{-1}.\end{aligned}\tag{E.20}$$

Proof. The corollary follows from Corollary E.4, Remark E.5 and the computation:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{1,0}(\xi)^n (H_1(\xi) - z)^{-1} (H_{1,0}(\xi) + 1)^{-(n-1)} &= \big\{ H_{1,0}(\xi)^n (H_1(\xi) - \Sigma_0 + 1)^{-n} \big\} \qquad \text{(E.21)} \\ \times \big\{ (H_1(\xi) - \Sigma_0 + 1)(H_1(\xi) - z)^{-1} \big\} &\big\{ (H_1(\xi) - \Sigma_0 + 1)^{n-1} (H_{1,0}(\xi) + 1)^{-(n-1)} \big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively one can repeat the computation above for each pair of free and interacting Hamiltonian, invoking Corollary E.4 for each of them separately. □

F Commutators with the Hamiltonian

F.1 Commutators involving $\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)$

In this subsection we will make use of the auxiliary Hamiltonian $H_1(\xi)$ introduced in Definition E.3).

Lemma F.1. *Let $q_0, q_\infty \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be s.t. $q_0', q_\infty' \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $0 \le q_0, q_\infty \le 1$. Let $\underline{q}^t = \mathrm{diag}(q_0^t, q_\infty^t)$ be the corresponding propagation observable on $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. Let $\mathbb{R} \ni t \to b_j(t) \in B(\mathfrak{h})$, $j \in \{0, \infty\}$, be two families of admissible operators and let $\underline{b} = \mathrm{diag}(b_0, b_\infty)$ be the corresponding propagation observable on $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. Let $f \in S^{s_\Omega}(\mathbb{R})$. Then, setting $R_{1,0} := (1 + H_{1,0}(\xi))^{-1}$, we obtain*

$$[f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{b})] R_{1,0}^4 = \nabla f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})) \cdot \big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, [\underline{k}, \underline{b}]^\circ) + \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, [\underline{k}, \underline{q}]^\circ, \underline{b}) \big) R_{1,0}^4 + O(t^{-2}) \quad \text{(F.1)}$$

and each term on the r.h.s. above is bounded and $O(t^{-1})$.

Proof. Observe first that by Lemma C.3 and Definition D.1, $\underline{b}, \underline{q} \in C^1(\underline{k}_j)$, for each j. Hence $[\underline{k}_j, \underline{b}]$ and $[\underline{k}_j, q]$ extend from $D(\underline{k})$ (dense in each $D(\underline{k}_j)$) to bounded operators $[\underline{k}_j, \underline{b}]^\circ$ and $[\underline{k}_j, q]^\circ$. We write $[\underline{k}, \cdot\,]^\circ$ for the vector $([\underline{k}_1, \cdot\,]^\circ, \dots, [\underline{k}_\nu, \cdot\,]^\circ)$. By Lemma C.4 and Definition D.1 all these bounded operators are $O(t^{-1})$.

Making use of Lemma B.5, with $B = \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{b})(1 + N_1)^{-4}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &[f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{b})](1 + N_1)^{-4} \\ &\quad = \nabla f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})) \cdot \big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, [\underline{k}, \underline{b}]^\circ) + \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, [\underline{k}, \underline{q}]^\circ, \underline{b}) \big)(1 + N_1)^{-4} \\ &\qquad + R(f, \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k}), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{b})(1 + N_1)^{-4}), \end{aligned} \qquad \text{(F.2)}$$

as a form equality on $D(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})^2)$, where N_1 is the number operator on $\Gamma(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h})$. Here we exploited the fact that $f \in S^2(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$ and that $\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{b})(1 + N_1)^{-4}$ is bounded and belongs to $C^3(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k}))$ by the assumed properties of $\underline{q}, \underline{b}$ and by Lemma A.2. Moreover, we obtain from Lemma B.5 and Lemma A.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} &\| R(f, \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k}), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{b})(1 + N_1)^{-4}) \| \\ &\quad \le \sum_{\alpha : 2 \le |\alpha| \le 3} \| \mathrm{ad}^\alpha_{\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})} (\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{b}))(1 + N_1)^{-4} \| = O(t^{-2}). \end{aligned} \qquad \text{(F.3)}$$

Since $(1 + N_1)^\ell (1 + H_{1,0}(\xi))^{-\ell}$ is bounded for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we have shown that

$$\begin{aligned} &[f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{b})] R_{1,0}^4 \\ &\quad = \nabla f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})) \cdot \big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, [\underline{k}, \underline{b}]^\circ) + \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, [\underline{k}, \underline{q}]^\circ, \underline{b}) \big) R_{1,0}^4 + O(t^{-2}). \end{aligned} \qquad \text{(F.4)}$$

Let us show that the term involving $\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, [\underline{k}, \underline{q}]^\circ, \underline{b})$ above is $O(t^{-1})$. If $s_\Omega \le 1$, then it follows from Lemma A.2 directly, since ∇f in this case is bounded. If $s_\Omega \ge 1$, we can insert $I =$

$(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})\cdot\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})+1)^{-1}(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})\cdot\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})+1)$, noting that $\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})\cdot\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})=\sum_{j=1}^{\nu}\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\mathrm{diag}(k_j,k_j))^2$, and compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nabla f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k}))\cdot\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q},[\underline{k},\underline{q}]^\circ,\underline{b})R_{1,0}^4\\
&\quad=\nabla f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k}))\cdot(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})\cdot\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})+1)^{-1}[\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q},[\underline{k},\underline{q}]^\circ,\underline{b}),\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})\cdot\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})]R_{1,0}^4\\
&\qquad+\nabla f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k}))\cdot(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})\cdot\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})+1)^{-1}\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q},[\underline{k},\underline{q}]^\circ,\underline{b})(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})\cdot\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})+1)R_{1,0}^4.
\end{aligned}\tag{F.5}$$

This expression is $O(t^{-1})$ by Lemma A.2 and the bound $|\partial_i f(\eta)|\le c\langle\eta\rangle$. Note that to deal with the first term on the right-hand side one should first expand the commutator and write

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q},[\underline{k},\underline{q}]^\circ,\underline{b}),\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})\cdot\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})]&=\sum_{j=1}^{\nu}\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\mathrm{diag}(k_j,k_j))[\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q},[\underline{k},\underline{q}]^\circ,\underline{b}),\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\mathrm{diag}(k_j,k_j))]\\
&\quad+\sum_{j=1}^{\nu}[\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q},[\underline{k},\underline{q}]^\circ,\underline{b}),\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\mathrm{diag}(k_j,k_j))]\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\mathrm{diag}(k_j,k_j)).
\end{aligned}$$

An analogous argument applies to the term involving $\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q},[\underline{k},\underline{b}]^\circ)$ on the r.h.s of (F.2). ☐

Proposition F.2. *Let q_0,q_∞ be as specified in Definition 3.1 and let $\underline{q}^t=\mathrm{diag}(q_0^t,q_\infty^t)$ be the corresponding propagation observable on $\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{h}$. Let $\mathbb{R}\ni t\to b_j(t)\in B(\mathfrak{h})$, $j\in\{0,\infty\}$, be two families of admissible operators s.t. b_0 is regular. Let $\underline{b}=\mathrm{diag}(b_0,b_\infty)$ be the corresponding propagation observable on $\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{h}$. Then, setting $\underline{q}=\underline{q}^t$, $R_{1,0}:=(1+H_{1,0}(\xi))^{-1}$ and $R_0:=(1+H_0(\xi))^{-1}$, we obtain*

$$\begin{aligned}
[H_1(\xi),\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q},\underline{b})]R_{1,0}^4&=-\nabla\Omega(\xi-\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k}))\cdot\big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q},[\underline{k},\underline{b}]^\circ)+\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q},[\underline{k},\underline{q}]^\circ,\underline{b})\big)R_{1,0}^4\\
&\quad+\big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q},[\underline{\omega},\underline{b}]^\circ)+\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q},[\underline{\omega},\underline{q}]^\circ,\underline{b})\big)R_{1,0}^4+O(t^{-2})
\end{aligned}\tag{F.6}$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
[H(\xi),\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q_0,b_0)]R_0^4&=-\nabla\Omega(\xi-\mathrm{d}\Gamma(k))\cdot\big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q_0,[k,b_0]^\circ)+\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q_0,[k,q_0]^\circ,b)\big)R_0^4\\
&\quad+\big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q_0,[\omega,b_0]^\circ)+\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q_0,[\omega,q_0]^\circ,b_0)\big)R_0^4+O(t^{-2}).
\end{aligned}\tag{F.7}$$

Each term on the r.h.s. of relations (F.6) and (F.7) is bounded and $O(t^{-1})$.

Proof. Observe first that by Lemma C.3 and Definition D.1, $\underline{q}\in C^1(\underline{k_j})\cap C^1(\underline{\omega})$, for each j, and $\underline{b}\in C^1(\underline{\omega})$. See also the first paragraph in the proof of Lemma F.1 for notation and the observation that the bounded operators $[\underline{k},\underline{q}]^\circ$, $[\underline{\omega},\underline{q}]^\circ$ and $[\underline{\omega},\underline{b}]^\circ$ are all $O(t^{-1})$.

Let us first prove (F.6). Making use of Lemma F.1, and of the fact that $\Omega\in S^{s_\Omega}(\mathbb{R})$, we obtain the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\Omega(\xi-\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})),\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q},\underline{b})]R_{1,0}^4\\
&\quad=-\nabla\Omega(\xi-\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k}))\cdot\big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q},[\underline{k},\underline{b}]^\circ)+\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q},[\underline{k},q]^\circ,\underline{b})\big)R_{1,0}^4+O(t^{-2})
\end{aligned}\tag{F.8}$$

in the sense of forms on $D(H_{1,0}(\xi))$. All terms on the r.h.s. above are $O(t^{-1})$.

As for the second term from the free auxiliary fiber Hamiltonian (E.19), it suffices to note that Lemma A.2 gives

$$[\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{\omega}),\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q},\underline{b})]R_{1,0}^4=\big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q},[\underline{\omega},\underline{q}]^\circ,\underline{b})+\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q},[\underline{\omega},\underline{b}]^\circ)\big)R_{1,0}^4=O(t^{-1})\tag{F.9}$$

in the sense of forms on $D(H_{1,0}(\xi))$. The interaction term in the Hamiltonian gives

$$\begin{aligned}
[\phi(G,0),\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q},\underline{b})]R_{1,0}^4&=\big(a^*((1-q_0)G,0)\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q},\underline{b})-a^*(b_0G,0)\Gamma(\underline{q})\\
&\quad+\Gamma(\underline{q})a(b_0^*G,0)+\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q},\underline{b})a((q_0-1)G,0)\big)R_{1,0}^4=O(t^{-2}),
\end{aligned}\tag{F.10}$$

where we made use of Lemma A.1, and exploited regularity of b_0 and $1-q_0$. This concludes the proof of (F.6).

Now let us prove relation (F.7). By conjugating (F.6) with the unitary U, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&[H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{q}, \underline{b})](R_0^{\mathrm{ex}})^4\\&\quad= -\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{k})) \cdot \big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{q}, [\underline{k}, \underline{b}]^\circ) + \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{q}, [\underline{k}, \underline{q}]^\circ, \underline{b})\big)(R_0^{\mathrm{ex}})^4\\&\qquad+ \big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{q}, [\underline{\omega}, \underline{b}]^\circ) + \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{q}, [\underline{\omega}, \underline{q}]^\circ, \underline{b})\big)(R_0^{\mathrm{ex}})^4 + O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{F.11}$$

where $R_0^{\mathrm{ex}} = (1+H_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi))^{-1}$. By applying the projection P_0 on the subspace $\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{(0)} \subset \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ex}}$ to both sides of this equality, we obtain (F.7). □

Lemma F.3. *Let $\underline{q}^t$ and $\underline{b}$ be as specified in Proposition F.2. Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then*

$$[\chi(H_1(\xi)), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}^t, \underline{b})] = O(t^{-1}). \tag{F.12}$$

Proof. As above we abbreviate $R_{1,0} = (1 + H_{1,0}(\xi))^{-1}$. Proposition F.2 gives

$$[H_1(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{b})]R_{1,0}^4 = O(t^{-1}). \tag{F.13}$$

Now we will use the Helffer-Sjöstrand calculus. (See e.g. [12, Proposition C.2.1].) We choose an almost analytic extension $\tilde{\chi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ of χ s.t.

$$|\partial_{\bar{z}}\tilde{\chi}(z)| \le C_n|\mathrm{Im}z|^n, \tag{F.14}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and write

$$\begin{aligned}&[\chi(H_1(\xi)), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{b})]R_{1,0}^3\\&\quad= \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\pi}\int dz \wedge d\bar{z}\, \partial_{\bar{z}}\tilde{\chi}(z)(z - H_1(\xi))^{-1}[H_1(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{b})](z - H_1(\xi))^{-1}R_{1,0}^3.\end{aligned} \tag{F.15}$$

Making use of relations (F.14), (F.13), and of the fact that $\|(1+H_{1,0}(\xi))^4(z-H_1(\xi))^{-1}(1+H_{1,0}(\xi))^{-3}\| \le c/|\mathrm{Im}z|$, proven in Corollary E.6, we show that

$$[\chi(H_1(\xi)), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{b})]R_{1,0}^3 = O(t^{-1}). \tag{F.16}$$

Next, we choose a function $\tilde{\chi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ s.t. $\chi\tilde{\chi} = \chi$ and write

$$\begin{aligned}[\chi(H_1(\xi)), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{b})] &= [\chi(H_1(\xi)), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{b})]\tilde{\chi}(H_1(\xi))\\&\quad+ \chi(H_1(\xi))[\tilde{\chi}(H_1(\xi)), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{b})].\end{aligned} \tag{F.17}$$

Making use of (F.16), we conclude the proof. □

F.2 Commutators involving $\Gamma(\,\cdot\,)$

Lemma F.4. *Let $j_0, j_\infty \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $j_0', j_\infty' \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $0 \le j_0, j_\infty \le 1$. Let $\underline{j}^t := \mathrm{diag}(j_0^t, j_\infty^t)$ be defined as a propagation observable on $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. Let $f \in S^{s\Omega}(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$. Then, setting $R_{1,0}(\xi) = (1 + H_{1,0}(\xi))^{-1}$, we obtain*

$$[f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k}) - \xi), \Gamma(\underline{j}^t)]R_{1,0}(\xi)^3 = \nabla f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k}) - \xi) \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{j}^t, [\underline{k}, \underline{j}^t]^\circ)R_{1,0}(\xi)^3 + O(t^{-2}), \tag{F.18}$$

and the first term on the r.h.s. above is bounded and $O(t^{-1})$, with both O-symbols being uniform in $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$.

Proof. Observe first that by Lemma C.3, we have $\underline{j}^t \in C^1(\underline{k})$. The operator $[\underline{k}, \underline{j}^t]^\circ$ is bounded and $O(t^{-1})$ by Lemma C.4.

We set $\underline{j} := \underline{j}^t$ and write, making use of Lemma B.5

$$\begin{aligned}[f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k}) - \xi), \Gamma(\underline{j})](1+N_1)^{-3} &= \nabla f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k}) - \xi) \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{j}, [\underline{k}, \underline{j}]^\circ)(1+N_1)^{-3} \\ &\quad + R(f, \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k}) - \xi, \Gamma(\underline{j})(1+N_1)^{-3}),\end{aligned} \tag{F.19}$$

as a form equality on $D(H_{1,0}(\xi))$. Here N_1 is the number operator on $\Gamma(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h})$ and we used the fact that $f \in S^2(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$ and that $\Gamma(\underline{j})(1+N_1)^{-3}$ belongs to $C^3(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k}) - \xi)$. To justify this latter property, we note that for $|\alpha| \le 3$

$$\mathrm{ad}^\alpha_{\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})-\xi}(\Gamma(\underline{j}))(1+N_1)^{-3} = O(t^{-|\alpha|}), \tag{F.20}$$

where we made use of Lemma A.2. We obtain from Lemma B.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|R(f, \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k}) - \xi, \Gamma(\underline{j})(1+N_1)^{-3})\| \\ &\quad \le \sum_{\alpha: 2 \le |\alpha| \le 3} \|\mathrm{ad}^\alpha_{\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})-\xi}(\Gamma(\underline{j}))(1+N_1)^{-3}\| = O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{F.21}$$

uniformly in ξ. In fact, the right-hand side does not actually depend on ξ. Since $(1 + N_1)^\ell (1 + H_{1,0}(\xi))^{-\ell}$ is bounded uniformly in ξ for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we have shown that

$$[f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k}) - \xi), \Gamma(\underline{j})] R_{1,0}(\xi)^3 = \nabla f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})) \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{j}, [\underline{k}, \underline{j}]^\circ) R^3_{1,0}(\xi) + O(t^{-2}), \tag{F.22}$$

uniformly in ξ and in the sense of forms on $D(H_{1,0}(\xi))$. To check that the first term on the r.h.s. above is bounded and of order $O(t^{-1})$ uniformly in ξ, we can as in the proof of Lemma F.1 assume that $s_\Omega \ge 1$ and argue in the exact same fashion, replacing however $\mathrm{d}\Gamma(k) \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma(k) + 1$ by $(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(k) - \xi) \cdot (\mathrm{d}\Gamma(k) - \xi) + 1$. We skip the details, which are slightly simpler here since there is only one term. □

Proposition F.5. *Let j_0, j_∞ be as specified in Definition 3.1. Let $\underline{j}^t := \mathrm{diag}(j_0^t, j_\infty^t)$ be defined as an operator on $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. Then, setting $R_{1,0}(\xi) := (1 + H_{1,0}(\xi))^{-1}$, $R_0(\xi) := (1 + H_0(\xi))^{-1}$, we get*

$$\begin{aligned}&[H_1(\xi), \Gamma(\underline{j}^t)] R^3_{1,0}(\xi) \\ &\quad = \big(-\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})) \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{j}^t, [\underline{k}, \underline{j}^t]^\circ) + \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{j}^t, [\underline{\omega}, \underline{j}^t]^\circ)\big) R_{1,0}(\xi)^3 + O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{F.23}$$

uniformly in $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}&[H(\xi), \Gamma(j_0^t)] R^3_0(\xi) \\ &\quad = \big(-\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma(k)) \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma(j_0^t, [k, j_0^t]^\circ) + \mathrm{d}\Gamma(j_0^t, [\omega, j_0^t]^\circ)\big) R^3_0(\xi) + O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{F.24}$$

uniformly in $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$ The explicit terms on the r.h.s. of relations (F.23), and (F.24) are bounded and $O(t^{-1})$, uniformly in ξ.

Proof. Observe first that by Lemma C.3, we have $\underline{j}^t \in C^1(\underline{k}) \cap C^1(\underline{\omega})$. The operators $[\underline{k}, \underline{j}^t]^\circ$ and $[\underline{\omega}, \underline{j}^t]^\circ$ are bounded and $O(t^{-1})$ by Lemma C.4.

We set $\underline{j} := \underline{j}^t$. Lemma F.4 gives that

$$[\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})), \Gamma(\underline{j})] R_{1,0}(\xi)^3 = -\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})) \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{j}, [\underline{k}, \underline{j}]^\circ) R_{1,0}(\xi)^3 + O(t^{-2}), \tag{F.25}$$

and all terms on the r.h.s. above are $O(t^{-1})$ uniformly in ξ.

As for the second term from the free auxiliary Hamiltonian (E.19), it suffices to note that uniformly in ξ we have

$$[\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{\omega}), \Gamma(\underline{j})]R_{1,0}(\xi)^3 = \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{j}, [\underline{\omega}, \underline{j}]^\circ)R_{1,0}(\xi)^3 = O(t^{-1}). \tag{F.26}$$

The interaction term from the interacting auxiliary Hamiltonian (E.19) contributes to $O(t^{-2})$. To show this, we recall the relations

$$\Gamma(\underline{j})a^*(G,0) = a^*(\underline{j}(G,0))\Gamma(\underline{j}) \quad \text{and} \quad a(G,0)\Gamma(\underline{j}) = \Gamma(\underline{j})a(\underline{j}(G,0)), \tag{F.27}$$

which hold on $\Gamma_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h})$ and imply that

$$\begin{aligned}&[\phi(G,0), \Gamma(\underline{j})]R_{1,0}(\xi)^3 \\ &\qquad = \big(\Gamma(\underline{j})a((j_0-1)G,0) - a^*((j_0-1)G,0)\Gamma(\underline{j})\big)R_{1,0}(\xi)^3 = O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{F.28}$$

uniformly in ξ. In the last step we made use of the fact that $j_0 - 1$ is regular and of Lemma D.2. This concludes the proof of (F.23).

Now let us prove relation (F.24). By conjugating (F.23) with the unitary U, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&[H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi), \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j})]R_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)^3 \\ &\quad = \big(-\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{k})) \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j}, [\underline{k}, \underline{j}]^\circ) + \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j}, [\underline{\omega}, \underline{j}]^\circ)\big)R_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)^3 + O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{F.29}$$

where $R_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi) = (1 + H_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi))^{-1}$. By applying the projection P_0 on the subspace $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(0)} \subset \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ex}}$ to both sides of this equality, we obtain (F.24). ☐

Lemma F.6. *Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ and j_0, j_∞ be as specified in Definition 3.1. Let $\underline{j}^t := \mathrm{diag}(j_0^t, j_\infty^t)$ be defined as an operator on $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. Then there holds the estimate*

$$[\chi(H_1(\xi) + \lambda), \Gamma(\underline{j}^t)] = O(t^{-1}), \tag{F.30}$$

uniformly in $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$ and $\lambda \geq 0$.

Proof. This lemma follows from Proposition F.5 by the method of almost analytic extensions. (Cf. the proof of Lemma F.3). ☐

F.3 Commutators involving $\check{\Gamma}(\,\cdot\,)$

Lemma F.7. *Let $j_0, j_\infty \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $j_0', j_\infty' \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $0 \leq j_0, j_\infty \leq 1$, and $j_0^2 + j_\infty^2 \leq 1$. Let $j^t := (j_0^t, j_\infty^t)$ be defined as an operator from $\mathfrak{h}$ to $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. Let $f \in S^{s_\Omega}(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$. Then, setting $R_0(\xi) = (1 + H_0(\xi))^{-1}$ and $R_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi) = (1 + H_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi))^{-1}$, we obtain*

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k) - \xi)\check{\Gamma}(j^t) - \check{\Gamma}(j^t)f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(k) - \xi)\big)R_0(\xi)^3 \\ &\qquad = \nabla f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k) - \xi) \cdot \mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{ex}}(j^t, [\underline{k}, j^t]^\circ)R_0(\xi)^3 + O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{F.31}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&R_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)^3\big(f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k) - \xi)\check{\Gamma}(j^t) - \check{\Gamma}(j^t)f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(k) - \xi)\big) \\ &\qquad = R_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)^3\nabla f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k) - \xi) \cdot \mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{ex}}(j^t, [\underline{k}, j^t]^\circ) + O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{F.32}$$

uniformly in $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$. Furthermore, all explicit terms on the r.h.s. of (F.31) and (F.31) above are bounded and $O(t^{-1})$, uniformly in $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$.

Remark F.8. Here $[\underline{k}, j^t]^\circ$ is a ν-vector with entries $[\underline{k}_i, j^t]^\circ$, which is itself a 2-vector $([k_i, j_0^t]^\circ, [k_i, j_\infty^t]^\circ)$ with bounded operators as components, obtained through extension by continuity of the form $[\underline{k}_i, j^t] = \underline{k}_i j^t - j^t k_i$ densely defined on $D(\underline{k}) \times D(k)$. Recall that by Lemma C.3, we have $j_0^t, j_\infty^t \in C^1(k_i)$, for each i. The vector operator $[\underline{k}, j^t]^\circ$ is bounded and $O(t^{-1})$ by Lemma C.4.

Proof. As in [47], we define

$$\check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{ex}}(q) = \check{\Gamma}(q)P_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{ex}}(q,p) = \mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}(q,p)P_0, \tag{F.33}$$

where $P_0\colon \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ex}} \to \mathcal{F}$ is the natural restriction to the subspace $\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{(0)} = \mathcal{F}$ in $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ex}}$. (The notation $\check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{ex}}$ and $\mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{ex}}$ is used only in this proof). After identifying $\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{(0)}$ with $\mathcal{F}$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)-\xi)\check{\Gamma}(j^t) - \check{\Gamma}(j^t)f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(k)-\xi)\big)R_0(\xi)^3\\ &\qquad = [f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)-\xi), \check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{ex}}(j^t)]R_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)^3.\end{aligned} \tag{F.34}$$

Next, we set $j := j^t$ and write, making use of Lemma B.5,

$$\begin{aligned}&[f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)-\xi), \check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{ex}}(j)](1+N^{\mathrm{ex}})^{-3}\\ &\quad = \nabla f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)-\xi)\cdot \mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{ex}}(j,[\underline{k},j]^\circ)(1+N^{\mathrm{ex}})^{-3}\\ &\qquad + R(f, \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)-\xi, \check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{ex}}(j)(1+N^{\mathrm{ex}})^{-3}),\end{aligned} \tag{F.35}$$

as a form identity on $D(H_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi))$. Here N^{ex} is the number operator on $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ex}}$. We used Lemma A.5, the assumption that $f \in S^{s_\Omega}(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$ and that $\check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{ex}}(j)(1+N^{\mathrm{ex}})^{-3}$ belongs to $C^3(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)-\xi)$. To justify this latter property, we note that by Lemma A.4

$$\mathrm{ad}^\alpha_{\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)-\xi}(\check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{ex}}(j))(1+N^{\mathrm{ex}})^{-3} = O(t^{-|\alpha|}), \tag{F.36}$$

uniformly in ξ, for $|\alpha|\le 3$ (the expression is in fact ξ-independent). Thus we obtain from Lemma B.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|R(f,\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)-\xi, \check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{ex}}(j)(1+N^{\mathrm{ex}})^{-3})\|\\ &\quad\le \sum_{\alpha:2\le|\alpha|\le 3} \|\mathrm{ad}^\alpha_{\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)-\xi}(\check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{ex}}(j))(1+N^{\mathrm{ex}})^{-3}\| = O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{F.37}$$

uniformly in ξ. Since $(1+N^{\mathrm{ex}})^\ell(1+H_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi))^{-\ell}$ is bounded uniformly in ξ for any $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$, we have shown that uniformly in ξ we have

$$[f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)-\xi), \check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{ex}}(j)]R_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)^3 = \nabla f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)-\xi)\cdot \mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{ex}}(j,[\underline{k},j]^\circ)R_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)^3 + O(t^{-2}), \tag{F.38}$$

$$R_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)^3[f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)-\xi), \check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{ex}}(j)] = R_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)^3\nabla f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)-\xi)\mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{ex}}(j,[\underline{k},j]^\circ) + O(t^{-2}). \tag{F.39}$$

To check that all the terms on the r.h.s. of the above relations are $O(t^{-1})$ uniformly in ξ, we can assume that $s_\Omega \ge 1$ and write

$$\begin{aligned}&(R_0^{\mathrm{ex}})^3\nabla f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k))\cdot \mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{ex}}(j,[\underline{k},j]^\circ)\\ &\quad = (1+N^{\mathrm{ex}})(R_0^{\mathrm{ex}})^3\nabla f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k))(1+N^{\mathrm{ex}})^{-1}\cdot \mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{ex}}(j,[\underline{k},j]).\end{aligned} \tag{F.40}$$

For (F.38) we argue as at the end of the proofs of Lemmata F.1 and F.4, inserting $I = (1+(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})-\xi)^2)^{-1}(1+(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})-\xi)^2)$ and commuting the second factor onto the resolvent on the right to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\nabla f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)-\xi)\cdot \mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{ex}}(j,[\underline{k},j])R_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)^3\\ &\quad = -\nabla f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)-\xi)(1+(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})-\xi)^2)^{-1}\cdot[\mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{ex}}(j,[\underline{k},j]^\circ), (\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})-\xi)^2]R_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)^3\\ &\qquad + \nabla f(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)-\xi)(1+(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})-\xi)^2)^{-1}\cdot \mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{ex}}(j,[\underline{k},j]^\circ)(1+(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})-\xi)^2)R_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)^3.\end{aligned} \tag{F.41}$$

Here $(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(k)-\xi)^2 = (\mathrm{d}\Gamma(k)-\xi)\cdot(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(k)-\xi)$. Recalling (F.33) and that $|\partial_i f(\eta)| \le c\langle\eta\rangle$, and making use of Lemma A.4, we conclude the proof. □

Proposition F.9. *Let j_0, j_∞ be as specified in Definition 3.1 and s.t. $j_0^2 + j_\infty^2 \le 1$. Put $j^t = (j_0^t, j_\infty^t) \colon \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. Then, setting $R_0(\xi) = (1 + H_0(\xi))^{-1}$ and $R_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi) = (1 + H_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi))^{-1}$, we obtain uniformly in $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$ the asymptotic expansions*

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)\check{\Gamma}(j^t) - \check{\Gamma}(j^t)H(\xi)\big)R_0^3(\xi)\\ &\qquad = \big(-\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)) \cdot \mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}(j^t, [\underline{k}, j^t]^\circ) + \mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}(j^t, [\underline{\omega}, j^t]^\circ)\big)R_0^3(\xi) + O(t^{-2})\end{aligned} \tag{F.42}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&R_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)^3\big(H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)\check{\Gamma}(j^t) - \check{\Gamma}(j^t)H(\xi)\big)\\ &\qquad = R_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)^3\big(-\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)) \cdot \mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}(j^t, [\underline{k}, j^t]^\circ) + \mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}(j^t, [\underline{\omega}, j^t]^\circ)\big) + O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{F.43}$$

and all explicit terms on the r.h.s. of relations (F.42) and (F.43) are bounded and $O(t^{-1})$ uniformly in $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$.

Proof. We prove only relation (F.42), as the proof of (F.43) is analogous. Observe again that by Lemma C.3, we have $\underline{j}^t \in C^1(\underline{k}) \cap C^1(\underline{\omega})$. The operators $[\underline{k}, j^t]^\circ$ and $[\underline{\omega}, j^t]^\circ$ are bounded and $O(t^{-1})$ by Lemma C.4. See also Remark F.8 for a more thorough explanation of the notation.

Lemma F.7 gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k))\check{\Gamma}(j^t) - \check{\Gamma}(j^t)\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma(k))\big)R_0(\xi)^3\\ &\qquad = -\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k))\mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}(j^t, [\underline{k}_i, j^t]^\circ)R_0(\xi)^3 + O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{F.44}$$

and all terms on the r.h.s. above are $O(t^{-1})$ uniformly in ξ. As for the second term in the extended Hamiltonian (2.25), we obtain

$$(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\omega)\check{\Gamma}(j^t) - \check{\Gamma}(j^t)\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\omega))R_0(\xi)^3 = \mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}(j^t, [\underline{\omega}, j^t]^\circ)R_0(\xi)^3, \tag{F.45}$$

where we made use of Lemma A.5. It is clear that this expression is $O(t^{-1})$ uniformly in ξ.

Finally, we consider the interaction term from Hamiltonian (2.25). There holds

$$\begin{aligned}&\big((\phi(G) \otimes 1)\check{\Gamma}(j^t) - \check{\Gamma}(j^t)\phi(G)\big)R_0(\xi)^3\\ &\qquad = \big((a^*((1 - j_0^t)G) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a^*(j_\infty^t G))\check{\Gamma}(j^t) + \check{\Gamma}(j^t)a((j_0^t - 1)G)\big)R_0(\xi)^3.\end{aligned} \tag{F.46}$$

Since $j_0^t - 1$ and j_∞^t are regular propagation observables, this expression is $O(t^{-2})$ (uniformly in ξ) by Lemma D.2. This concludes the proof. □

Lemma F.10. *Let j_0, j_∞ be as specified in Definition 3.1 and s.t. $j_0^2 + j_\infty^2 \le 1$. Put $j^t = (j_0^t, j_\infty^t) \colon \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. There holds the relation*

$$\big(\chi(H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi) + \lambda)\check{\Gamma}(j^t) - \check{\Gamma}(j^t)\chi(H(\xi) + \lambda)\big) = O(t^{-1}), \tag{F.47}$$

uniformly in $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$ and $\lambda \ge 0$.

Proof. This lemma follows from Proposition F.9 by the method of almost analytic extensions. (Cf. the proof of Lemma F.3). □

The following corollary about domain invariance follows directly from Propositions F.5 and F.9.

Corollary F.11. *Let j_0, j_∞ be as specified in Definition 3.1 and s.t. $j_0^2 + j_\infty^2 \leq 1$. Suppose $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, with $0 \leq q \leq 1$, is bounded with bounded derivatives. Then*

$$\Gamma(q^t)\colon D(H(\xi)^3) \to D(H(\xi)), \tag{F.48}$$

$$\check{\Gamma}(j^t)\colon D(H(\xi)^3) \to D(H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)), \tag{F.49}$$

$$\check{\Gamma}(j^t)^*\colon D(H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)^3) \to D(H(\xi)). \tag{F.50}$$

We do not believe the third power in the corollary above is optimal. It is however sufficient for our purpose. A similar result was derived in [45] for localizations in configuration space.

G Auxiliary results for the proof of Proposition 3.3

Proposition G.1. *Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_\mathbb{R}$. Let $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be s.t. $q' \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $0 \leq q \leq 1$, and let b be an admissible and regular propagation observable. Let j_0 be as specified in Definition 3.1 and s.t.* $\operatorname{supp} j_0 \subset \Delta$, *where Δ appeared in Definition D.1. Then*

$$\chi \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q^t, b)\chi\Gamma(j_0^t) = O(t^{-1}), \tag{G.1}$$

$$\chi[H(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(b)]\chi\Gamma(j_0^t) = O(t^{-2}), \tag{G.2}$$

where we set $\chi := \chi(H(\xi))$.

Proof. To prove (G.1) we write

$$\chi \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q^t, b)\chi\Gamma(j_0^t) = \chi \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q^t, b)[\chi, \Gamma(j_0^t)] + O(t^{-2}), \tag{G.3}$$

where we exploited regularity of b. The first term on the r.h.s. is of order $O(t^{-1})$ by Lemma F.6.

To verify (G.2), we make use of Proposition F.2, which gives

$$\begin{aligned}\chi[H(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(b)]\chi\Gamma(j_0^t) = &-\chi\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma(k)) \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma([k, b]^\circ)[\chi, \Gamma(j_0^t)]\\ &+ \chi \mathrm{d}\Gamma([\omega, b]^\circ)[\chi, \Gamma(j_0^t)] + O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{G.4}$$

where we exploited regularity of b. The first two terms on the r.h.s. above are $O(t^{-2})$ by admissibility of b, cf. Definition D.1, and Lemma F.6. ☐

Proposition G.2. *Let $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be s.t. $q' \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $0 \leq q \leq 1$. Let b be an admissible propagation observable and j_0, j_∞ be as specified in Definition 3.1, with $j_0^2 + j_\infty^2 = 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi(H(\xi) + \lambda)\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q^t, b)\chi(H(\xi) + \lambda)\\ &\quad = \check{\Gamma}(j^t)^*\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}(H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi) + \lambda)\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(q^t, b)\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}(H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi) + \lambda)\check{\Gamma}(j^t) + O(t^{-1}),\end{aligned} \tag{G.5}$$

uniformly in $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$ and $\lambda \geq 0$.

Proof. We set $j := j^t$, $q := q^t$, $\chi := \chi(H(\xi) + \lambda)$ and $\chi^{\mathrm{ex}} := \chi(H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi) + \lambda)$. The reader is asked to keep in mind that χ and χ^{ex} depend on both ξ and λ. Write

$$\chi \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, b)\chi = \check{\Gamma}(j)^*\big(\check{\Gamma}(j)\chi - \chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j)\big)\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, b)\chi + \check{\Gamma}(j)^*\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j)\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, b)\chi. \tag{G.6}$$

The first term on the r.h.s. above is of order $O(t^{-1})$ uniformly in ξ and $\lambda \geq 0$ by Lemma F.10. The last term on the r.h.s. of (G.6) can be rearranged as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\check{\Gamma}(j)^*\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j)\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q,b)\chi &= \check{\Gamma}(j)^*\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(q,b)\check{\Gamma}(j)\chi + \check{\Gamma}(j)^*\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}(jq,[j,\underline{b}])\chi \\ &= \check{\Gamma}(j)^*\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(q,b)\check{\Gamma}(j)\chi + O(t^{-1}), \qquad \text{(G.7)}\end{aligned}$$

uniformly in ξ and $\lambda \geq 0$. Here we made use of Lemma A.5 and admissibility of b, cf. Definition D.1. (Note that $[j,\underline{b}] = jb - \underline{b}j$ and $\underline{b} = \mathrm{diag}(b,b)$ is a bounded propagation observable on $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$). To exchange $\check{\Gamma}(j)\chi$ with $\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j)$ in (G.7) we use again Lemma F.10. This concludes the proof. □

Lemma G.3. *Let $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ be s.t. $q' \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then*

$$[1 \otimes q^t, \chi(H^{(1)}(\xi))] = O(t^{-1}). \qquad \text{(G.8)}$$

Proof. We follow the strategy explained in Remark E.5. By setting in Lemma F.3 $\underline{q}^t = \mathrm{diag}(1,1)$, $b = (0,q^t)$, conjugating formula (F.12) with the unitary U and applying the projection P_1 on the subspace $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ex}}$ we obtain (G.8). □

Corollary G.4. *Let $q,p \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be s.t. $q',p' \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $0 \leq q \leq 1$. Let b be an admissible and regular propagation observable. Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ be supported in $(-\infty, \Sigma_0^{(2)}(\xi))$. Then*

$$\chi(H^{(1)}(\xi))(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q^t,b) \otimes p^t)\chi(H^{(1)}(\xi)) = O(t^{-1}). \qquad \text{(G.9)}$$

Proof. We set $q := q^t$, $p = p^t$ and choose $\chi_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, supported in $(-\infty, \Sigma_0^{(2)}(\xi))$ and s.t. $\chi = \chi_0\chi$. Then, abbreviating $\chi^{(1)} := \chi(H^{(1)}(\xi))$ and making use of the fact that $\chi^{(1)}(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q,b) \otimes 1) = O(1)$, we obtain from Lemma G.3 that

$$\chi^{(1)}(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q,b) \otimes p)\chi^{(1)} = \chi^{(1)}(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q,b) \otimes 1)\chi^{(1)}(1 \otimes p)\chi_0^{(1)} + O(t^{-1}). \qquad \text{(G.10)}$$

Thus it suffices to prove (G.9) with $p = 1$. We rewrite this expression as a direct integral

$$\int^{\oplus} dk\, \chi(H(\xi-k)+\omega(k))\, \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q,b)\, \chi(H(\xi-k)+\omega(k)). \qquad \text{(G.11)}$$

In order to establish that the above expression is $O(t^{-1})$, it suffices to argue that

$$\chi(H(\xi-k)+\omega(k))\, \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q,b)\, \chi(H(\xi-k)+\omega(k)) = O(t^{-1}), \qquad \text{(G.12)}$$

uniformly in $k \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$.

For $k \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$, define the function $\chi_k(s) := \chi(s+\omega(k))$. It is easily seen that $\chi_k \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is supported in $(-\infty, \Sigma_0^{(1)}(\xi-k))$. Indeed, If $s+\omega(k) \in \mathrm{supp}\,\chi$, then $s+\omega(k) < \Sigma_0^{(2)}(\xi) \leq \Sigma_0^{(1)}(\xi-k)+\omega(k)$.

Now let j_0, j_∞ be as specified in Definition 3.1, s.t. $j_0^2 + j_\infty^2 = 1$ and j_0 is supported in the set Δ specified in Definition D.1. We set $j := j^t$ below. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi_k(H(\xi-k))\, \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q,b)\, \chi_k(H(\xi-k)) \\ &\quad = \check{\Gamma}(j)^*\chi_k(H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi-k))\, \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(q,b)\, \chi_k(H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi-k))\check{\Gamma}(j) + O(t^{-1}) \\ &\quad = \Gamma(j_0)\chi_k(H(\xi-k))\, \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q,b)\, \chi_k(H(\xi-k))\Gamma(j_0) + O(t^{-1}). \qquad \text{(G.13)}\end{aligned}$$

Here in the first step we made use of Proposition G.2, which in particular ensures that the asymptotic expansion above is uniform in $k \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$. In the second step we applied the decomposition (2.26) of $H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi - k)$ and observed that, due to the support property of χ_k, only the $l = 0$ term is non-zero. Next, making use of Lemma A.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(j_0)\chi_k(H(\xi-k))\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q,b)\chi_k(H(\xi-k)) &= [\Gamma(j_0),\chi_k(H(\xi-k))]\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q,b)\chi_k(H(\xi-k)) \\ &\quad + \chi_k(H(\xi-k))\mathrm{d}\Gamma(j_0 q, j_0 b)\chi_k(H(\xi-k)).\end{aligned} \tag{G.14}$$

This expression is of order $O(t^{-1})$, uniformly in k, by Lemma F.6 and regularity of b. This concludes the verification of (G.12), and hence we have established the corollary. □

Proposition G.5. *Let b be an admissible and regular propagation observable. Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ and j_0, j_∞ be as specified in Definition 3.1 and s.t. $j_0^2 + j_\infty^2 = 1$. Then*

$$\chi[H(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(b)]\chi = \check{\Gamma}(j^t)^* \chi^{\mathrm{ex}}[H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(b)]\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j^t) + O(t^{-2}), \tag{G.15}$$

where we set $\chi := \chi(H(\xi))$ and $\chi^{\mathrm{ex}} := \chi(H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi))$.

Proof. We set $j := j^t$ and write

$$\chi[H(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(b)]\chi = \check{\Gamma}(j)^* \chi^{\mathrm{ex}} \check{\Gamma}(j)[H(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(b)]\chi + O(t^{-2}), \tag{G.16}$$

where we made use of the fact that, by Proposition F.2, $[H(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(b)]\chi = O(t^{-1})$. Next, we will show that

$$\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\big(\check{\Gamma}(j)[H(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(b)] - [H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(b)]\check{\Gamma}(j)\big)\chi = O(t^{-2}). \tag{G.17}$$

In view of Proposition F.2, it is enough to check that

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j)\partial_i\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma(k))\mathrm{d}\Gamma([k_i, b]^\circ)\chi \\ &\qquad = \chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\partial_i\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k))\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}([\underline{k}_i, \underline{b}]^\circ)\check{\Gamma}(j)\chi + O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{G.18}$$

and

$$\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j)\mathrm{d}\Gamma([\omega, b]^\circ)\chi = \chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}([\omega, b]^\circ)\check{\Gamma}(j) + O(t^{-2}). \tag{G.19}$$

We prove only (G.18), since the proof of (G.19) is analogous (and simpler). First, we note that,

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j)\partial_i\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma(k))\mathrm{d}\Gamma([k_i, b]^\circ)\chi \\ &\qquad = \chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\partial_i\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k))\check{\Gamma}(j)\mathrm{d}\Gamma([k_i, b]^\circ)\chi + O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{G.20}$$

where we exploited Lemma F.7. Next, making use of Lemma A.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\check{\Gamma}(j)\mathrm{d}\Gamma([k_i, b]^\circ)\chi &= \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}([k_i, b]^\circ)\check{\Gamma}(j)\chi + \check{\Gamma}(j, [j, [\underline{k}_i, \underline{b}]^\circ])\chi \\ &= \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}([k_i, b]^\circ)\check{\Gamma}(j)\chi + O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{G.21}$$

where we used admissibility of b, cf. Definition D.1. Thus we have justified (G.18).

To conclude the proof, it suffices to show that

$$\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}[H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(b)]\big(\check{\Gamma}(j)\chi - \chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j)\big) = O(t^{-2}). \tag{G.22}$$

This follows from Proposition F.2 and Lemma F.10. □

Lemma G.6. *Let* $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ *be supported in* $(-\infty, \Sigma_0^{(2)}(\xi))$*. Let* b_0 *be an admissible and regular propagation observable. Then*

$$\chi(H^{(1)}(\xi))[H^{(1)}(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(b_0) \otimes 1]\chi(H^{(1)}(\xi)) = O(t^{-2}). \tag{G.23}$$

Proof. By conjugating formula (F.6) with the unitary U, setting $\underline{q}^t = \mathrm{diag}(1,1)$ and $\underline{b} = \mathrm{diag}(b_0, 0)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&[H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{b})] \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad (\mathrm{G.24})\\ &\quad = \Big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}([\underline{\omega}, \underline{b}]^\circ) - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \partial_i\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k))\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}([\underline{k}_i, \underline{b}]^\circ)\Big) + O(t^{-2})(H_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)+1)^4,\end{aligned}$$

as a form identity on $D(H_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)^4)$. Now we apply the projection P_1 on the subspace $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ex}}$ to both sides of this equality and insert both sides between the operators $\chi^{(1)} := \chi(H^{(1)}(\xi))$. We get

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi^{(1)}[H^{(1)}(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(b_0) \otimes 1]\chi^{(1)} \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad (\mathrm{G.25})\\ &\quad = \chi^{(1)}\Big(-\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(1)}(k)) \cdot (\mathrm{d}\Gamma([k, b_0]^\circ) \otimes 1) + (\mathrm{d}\Gamma([\omega, b_0]^\circ) \otimes 1)\Big)\chi^{(1)} + O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned}$$

where we used the higher order domain result in Corollary E.4 for $H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)$. In view of Lemma F.3, it suffices to show that

$$\chi^{(1)}(\mathrm{d}\Gamma([g, b_0]^\circ) \otimes 1)\chi^{(1)} = O(t^{-2}) \tag{G.26}$$

for any $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu)$, whose derivatives (of non-zero order) are bounded. This follows from Corollary G.4 and the fact that $t \to t[g, b_0(t)]^\circ$ is admissible and regular. This latter fact is clear from Definition D.1. □

H Auxiliary results for the proof of Proposition 3.4

Proposition H.1. *Let* $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$*. Let* $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *be s.t.* $q' \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$*,* $0 \leq q \leq 1$ *and* $q = 1$ *on a neighbourhood* Δ *of zero. Let* j_0 *be as specified in Definition 3.1 and s.t.* $\mathrm{supp}\, j_0 \subset \Delta$*. Then*

$$\chi \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q^t, \partial_t q^t)\chi\Gamma(j_0^t) = O(t^{-2}) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi[H(\xi), \Gamma(q^t)]\chi\Gamma(j_0^t) = O(t^{-2}), \tag{H.1}$$

where $\chi := \chi(H(\xi))$*.*

Proof. We set $q := q^t$, $j_0 := j_0^t$ and write

$$\chi \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, \partial_t q)\chi\Gamma(j_0) = \chi \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, \partial_t q)[\chi, \Gamma(j_0)] = O(t^{-2}), \tag{H.2}$$

due to the support property of j_0, Lemma F.6 and the fact that $\chi \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, \partial_t q) = O(t^{-1})$.

Proceeding to the proof of the second part of (H.1), we write

$$\chi[H(\xi), \Gamma(q)]\chi\Gamma(j_0) = \chi[H(\xi), \Gamma(q)][\chi, \Gamma(j_0)] + \chi[H(\xi), \Gamma(q)]\Gamma(j_0)\chi. \tag{H.3}$$

Here we used Corollary F.11 to justify the formal computation. The first term on the r.h.s. above is $O(t^{-2})$ by Lemma F.6 and Proposition F.5. As for the second term, we apply Proposition F.5 again:

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi[H(\xi), \Gamma(q)]\Gamma(j_0)\chi \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad (\mathrm{H.4})\\ &\quad = \chi\big(-\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma(k)) \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, [k, q]^\circ)\Gamma(j_0) + \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, [\omega, q]^\circ)\Gamma(j_0)\big)\chi + O(t^{-2}).\end{aligned}$$

We note that $\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q,[\omega,q]^\circ)\Gamma(j_0)\chi = O(t^{-2})$ and $\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q,[k,q]^\circ)\Gamma(j_0)\chi = O(t^{-2})$, since $1-q$ is regular with the regularity region Δ. See (D.2) and Lemma D.3. This concludes the proof. □

Proposition H.2. *Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_\mathbb{R}$. Let $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be s.t. $q' \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $0 \le q \le 1$ and $q = 1$ on a neighbourhood of zero. Let j_0, j_∞ be as specified in Definition 3.1 and s.t. $j_0^2 + j_\infty^2 = 1$. Then*

$$\chi \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q^t, \partial_t q^t)\chi = \check{\Gamma}(j^t)^* \chi^{\mathrm{ex}} \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(q^t, \partial_t q^t)\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j^t) + O(t^{-2}), \tag{H.5}$$

$$\chi[H(\xi), \Gamma(q^t)]\chi = \check{\Gamma}(j^t)^* \chi^{\mathrm{ex}}[H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi), \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(q^t)]\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j^t) + O(t^{-2}), \tag{H.6}$$

where $\chi := \chi(H(\xi))$ and $\chi^{\mathrm{ex}} := \chi(H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi))$.

Proof. As for (H.5), it follows from Proposition G.2, Lemma D.3 and (D.2) applied with $b(t) = t\partial_t q^t$. Proceeding to the proof of formula (H.6), we set $j := j^t$, $q := q^t$, and note that

$$\check{\Gamma}(j)\chi[H(\xi), \Gamma(q)]\chi = \chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j)[H(\xi), \Gamma(q)]\chi + O(t^{-2}) \tag{H.7}$$

by Lemma F.10 and Proposition F.5. Note that Corollary F.11 ensures the validity of the computation above, as well as those to follow. Next, we will show that

$$\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\big(\check{\Gamma}(j)[H(\xi), \Gamma(q)] - [H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi), \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(q)]\check{\Gamma}(j)\big)\chi = O(t^{-2}). \tag{H.8}$$

By Proposition F.5, it suffices to check that

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j)\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma(k)) \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, [k,q]^\circ)\chi \\ &\qquad = \chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)) \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(q,[k,q]^\circ)\check{\Gamma}(j)\chi + O(t^{-2})\end{aligned} \tag{H.9}$$

and

$$\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j)\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q,[\omega,q]^\circ)\chi = \chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(q,[\omega,q]^\circ)\check{\Gamma}(j)\chi + O(t^{-2}). \tag{H.10}$$

We show only (H.9), as the proof of (H.10) is analogous. Making use of Lemma F.7, and of the fact that $\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q,[k,q]^\circ)\chi = O(t^{-1})$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j)\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma(k)) \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, [k,q]^\circ)\chi \\ &\qquad = \chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)) \cdot \check{\Gamma}(j)\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q,[k,q]^\circ)\chi + O(t^{-2}).\end{aligned} \tag{H.11}$$

Next, by exploiting the fact that $\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k))$ is bounded, and that Lemma A.5 gives

$$\check{\Gamma}(j)\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q,[k,q]^\circ)\chi = \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(q,[k,q]^\circ)\check{\Gamma}(j)\chi + O(t^{-2}), \tag{H.12}$$

we conclude the proof of (H.9).

We still have to show that

$$\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}[H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi), \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(q)](\check{\Gamma}(j)\chi - \chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j)) = O(t^{-2}). \tag{H.13}$$

This follows from Lemma F.10 and Proposition F.5. □

Proposition H.3. *Let $q, \tilde{q} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be s.t. $q', \tilde{q}' \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $0 \le q \le 1$, $q = 1$ on a neighbourhood of zero. Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_\mathbb{R}$ be supported in $(-\infty, \Sigma_0^{(2)}(\xi))$. Then*

$$\chi^{(1)}[H^{(1)}(\xi), \Gamma(q^t) \otimes 1](1 \otimes \tilde{q}^t)\chi^{(1)} = O(t^{-2}), \tag{H.14}$$

where $\chi^{(1)} = \chi(H^{(1)}(\xi))$.

Proof. Let us set in Proposition F.5 $j_0 = q$, $j_\infty = 1$ and conjugate equation (F.23) with the unitary U. We obtain, as a form identity on $D(H_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)^3)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&[H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi), \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j})] &&(\mathrm{H.15})\\ &\quad = -\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{k})) \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j}, [\underline{k}, \underline{j}]^\circ) + \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j}, [\underline{\omega}, \underline{j}]^\circ) + O(t^{-2})(H_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)+1)^3,\end{aligned}$$

where we set $j := j^t$. Let us now apply the projection P_1 on $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(1)}$ to both sides of this equality. We get, as a form identity on $D(H_0^{(1)}(\xi)^3)$,

$$\begin{aligned}[H^{(1)}(\xi), \Gamma(q) \otimes 1] &= -\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(1)}(k)) \cdot (\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, [k,q]^\circ) \otimes 1)\\ &\quad + (\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, [\omega, q]^\circ) \otimes 1) + O(t^{-2})(H_0^{(1)}(\xi)+1)^3, \qquad (\mathrm{H.16})\end{aligned}$$

where we abbreviated $q := q^t$ and made use of relation (A.9). Thus we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\chi^{(1)}[H^{(1)}(\xi), \Gamma(q) \otimes 1](1 \otimes \tilde{q})\chi^{(1)} &= -\chi^{(1)}\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(1)}(k)) \cdot (\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, [k,q]^\circ) \otimes \tilde{q})\chi^{(1)}\\ &\quad + \chi^{(1)}(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, [\omega, q]^\circ) \otimes \tilde{q})\chi^{(1)} + O(t^{-2}), \qquad (\mathrm{H.17})\end{aligned}$$

where we set $\tilde{q} := \tilde{q}^t$. Here we used Corollary E.4, with $n = 3$. Let us consider the first term on the r.h.s. above. We choose a function $\tilde{\chi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$, supported in $(-\infty, \Sigma_0^{(2)}(\xi))$ and s.t. $\tilde{\chi}\chi = \chi$. Then we get

$$(1 \otimes \tilde{q})\chi^{(1)} = (\tilde{\chi}^{(1)})^2 (1 \otimes \tilde{q})\chi^{(1)} + O(t^{-1}) \qquad (\mathrm{H.18})$$

by Lemma G.3. Next, we note that

$$(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, [k,q]^\circ) \otimes 1)(\tilde{\chi}^{(1)})^2 = \tilde{\chi}^{(1)}(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, [k,q]^\circ) \otimes 1)\tilde{\chi}^{(1)} + O(t^{-2}). \qquad (\mathrm{H.19})$$

Here we made use of Lemma F.3, (after conjugating expression (F.12) with U and applying P_1 as above) and of the fact that $t \to t[k,q]^\circ$ is an admissible and regular propagation observable. This is a consequence of the fact that $1-q$ is admissible and regular by Lemma D.3.

Thus making use of the fact that $\chi^{(1)}\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(1)}(k))$ is bounded and

$$\chi^{(1)}\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(1)}(k)) \cdot (\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, [k,q]^\circ) \otimes 1) = O(t^{-1}), \qquad (\mathrm{H.20})$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi^{(1)}\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(1)}(k)) \cdot (\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, [k,q]^\circ) \otimes \tilde{q})\chi^{(1)}\\ &\quad = \chi^{(1)}\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(1)}(k)) \cdot \tilde{\chi}^{(1)}(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, [k,q]^\circ) \otimes 1)\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}(1 \otimes \tilde{q})\chi^{(1)} + O(t^{-2}). \qquad (\mathrm{H.21})\end{aligned}$$

Exploiting again the fact that $t \to t[k,q]^\circ$ is admissible and regular, we obtain from Corollary G.4 that the first term on the r.h.s. above is $O(t^{-2})$. The term involving $\mathrm{d}\Gamma(q, [\omega, q]^\circ)$ on the r.h.s. of (H.17) is treated analogously. □

I Auxiliary results for the proof of Propositions 4.1 and 4.2

Proposition I.1. *Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ be s.t. $q' \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Then*

$$\chi^{(1)}\mathrm{i}[H^{(1)}(\xi), 1 \otimes q^t]\chi^{(1)} = \frac{1}{t}\chi^{(1)}C(1 \otimes (q')^t)\chi^{(1)} + O(t^{-2}), \qquad (\mathrm{I.1})$$

where $\chi^{(1)} := \chi(H^{(1)}(\xi))$ and C is a bounded operator on $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(1)}$, which satisfies $[C, 1 \otimes p^t] = O(t^{-1})$ for any $p \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ s.t. $p' \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

If, in addition, q' is positive and $\sqrt{q'} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi^{(1)}\mathrm{i}[H^{(1)}(\xi), 1\otimes q^t]\chi^{(1)}\\ &\quad= \frac{1}{t}(1\otimes\sqrt{(q')^t})\chi^{(1)}\mathrm{i}[H^{(1)}(\xi), 1\otimes a]^\circ\chi^{(1)}(1\otimes\sqrt{(q')^t}) + O(t^{-2}).\end{aligned} \tag{I.2}$$

Proof. We write $q := q^t$ and set in Proposition F.2 $b_1 = 0$ and $b_2 = q^t$. Clearly, b_2 is admissible. By conjugating formula (F.6) with the unitary U, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&[H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{b})]\\ &\quad= -\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k))\cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}([\underline{k}, \underline{b}]^\circ) + \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}([\underline{\omega}, \underline{b}]^\circ) + O(t^{-2})(H_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)+1)^4,\end{aligned} \tag{I.3}$$

as a form identity on $D(H_0^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)^4)$.

Now we apply to both sides of this equality the projection P_1 on the subspace $\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ex}}$ and multiply by the operators $\chi^{(1)}$. We get

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi^{(1)}\mathrm{i}[H^{(1)}(\xi), 1\otimes q]\chi^{(1)}\\ &\quad= \chi^{(1)}\big(-\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(1)}(k))\cdot(1\otimes \mathrm{i}[k,q]^\circ) + 1\otimes \mathrm{i}[\omega, q]^\circ\big)\chi^{(1)} + O(t^{-2}).\end{aligned} \tag{I.4}$$

Here we used Corollary E.4, with $n = 4$. Now we obtain from Lemma C.4, that

$$\mathrm{i}[k,q]^\circ = \frac{1}{t}\mathrm{i}[k,a]^\circ q' + O(t^{-2}) \quad\text{and}\quad \mathrm{i}[\omega,q]^\circ = \frac{1}{t}\mathrm{i}[\omega,a]^\circ q' + O(t^{-2}), \tag{I.5}$$

where $\mathrm{i}[k,a]^\circ = v$ and $\mathrm{i}[\omega,a]^\circ = \nabla\omega\cdot v$. Thus we get from relation (I.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi^{(1)}\mathrm{i}[H^{(1)}(\xi), 1\otimes q]\chi^{(1)}\\ &\quad= \frac{1}{t}\chi^{(1)}\big(-\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(1)}(k))\cdot(1\otimes v) + 1\otimes\nabla\omega\cdot v\big)(1\otimes q')\chi^{(1)} + O(t^{-2}).\end{aligned} \tag{I.6}$$

We choose $\tilde\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ s.t. $\chi\tilde\chi = \chi$ and set

$$C := \tilde\chi^{(1)}\big(-\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(1)}(k))\cdot(1\otimes v) + 1\otimes\nabla\omega\cdot v\big). \tag{I.7}$$

It is clear that C is bounded. The property $[C, 1\otimes p^t] = O(t^{-1})$ follows from Lemmas C.4, F.1 and G.3. This concludes the proof of the first part of the proposition.

Proceeding to the proof of (I.2), we note that by Lemma C.4

$$[v, \sqrt{q'}] = O(t^{-1}) \qquad\text{and}\qquad [\nabla\omega\cdot v, \sqrt{q'}] = O(t^{-1}). \tag{I.8}$$

There also holds by Lemma F.1 (after conjugating it with U and applying the projection P_1)

$$\chi^{(1)}[\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(1)}(k)), 1\otimes\sqrt{q'}] = O(t^{-1}). \tag{I.9}$$

On the other hand, Lemma G.3 gives $[1\otimes\sqrt{q'}, \chi^{(1)}] = O(t^{-1})$. Observing that

$$\mathrm{i}[H^{(1)}(\xi), 1\otimes a]^\circ = -\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{(1)}(k))\cdot(1\otimes v) + 1\otimes\nabla\omega\cdot v, \tag{I.10}$$

we conclude (I.2) by symmetrizing (I.6), with the aid of (I.8) and (I.9). □

Lemma I.2. *Let $a_i := \frac{1}{2}(v_i(k)\cdot \mathrm{i}\nabla_k + \mathrm{i}\nabla_k\cdot v_i(k))$ for some $v_i \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu\backslash\{0\};\mathbb{R}^\nu)$, for $i\in\{1,2\}$. Let $\underline{a} = \mathrm{diag}(a_1, a_2)$ be an operator on (a domain in) $\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{h}$. Then $H_1(\xi)$ is of class $C^1(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{a}))$ and*

$$[H_1(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{a})]^\circ \in B(D(NH_{1,0}(\xi));\mathcal{H}) \subset B(D(H_{1,0}(\xi)^2);\mathcal{H}) \tag{I.11}$$

In particular $\chi(H_1(\xi)) \in C^1(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{a}))$, for any $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proof. From [47, Prop. 2.8], and a conjugation by the unitary U, we learn that $H_1(\xi)$ is of class $C^1(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{a}))$. We furthermore find that

$$\mathrm{i}[H_1(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{a})]^\circ = \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{v} \cdot \underline{\nabla\omega}) - \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{v}) \cdot \nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})) - \phi(\mathrm{i}a_1 G, 0), \tag{I.12}$$

where $\underline{v} := \mathrm{diag}(v_1, v_2)$ is a ν-tuple of operators on $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ and the expression on the r.h.s. above is manifestly $NH_{1,0}(\xi)$-bounded. The remaining part of the lemma follows analogously as in the proof of Lemma F.3. ☐

Lemma I.3. *Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_\mathbb{R}$, $\tilde{q} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be s.t. $q' \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $0 \le q \le 1$ and $q = 1$ in some neighbourhood of zero. Let $t \to b(t) = (a/t)\tilde{q}(a/t)$. Let $\underline{q}^t = (q^t, q^t)$ and $\underline{b}(t) = (b(t), b(t))$ be propagation observables on $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. Then*

$$[\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}^t, \underline{b}), \chi(H_1(\xi))] = O(t^{-1}). \tag{I.13}$$

Proof. We set $\underline{q} := \underline{q}^t$ and $R_{1,0} = (1 + H_{0,1}(\xi))^{-1}$. We note that b is admissible by Lemma C.4. Let us first estimate the commutator of $\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}^t, \underline{b})$ with $H_1(\xi)$. As for the first term from the free auxiliary Hamiltonian, cf. (E.19), Lemma F.1 gives

$$[\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{k})), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{b})] R_{1,0}^4 = O(t^{-1}). \tag{I.14}$$

Concerning the second term from the Hamiltonian, we obtain from Lemma A.2

$$[\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{\omega}), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{b})] R_{1,0}^4 = \big(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, [\underline{\omega}, \underline{q}]^\circ, \underline{b}) + \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, [\underline{\omega}, \underline{b}]^\circ)\big) R_{1,0}^4 = O(t^{-1}). \tag{I.15}$$

The interaction term from the Hamiltonian gives

$$\begin{aligned}[\phi(G, 0), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{b})] R_{1,0}^4 = \big(&a^*((1-q)G, 0)\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{b}) - a^*(bG, 0)\Gamma(\underline{q}) \\ &+ \Gamma(\underline{q}) a(b^* G, 0) + \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{b}) a((q-1)G, 0)\big) R_{1,0}^4 = O(t^{-1}),\end{aligned} \tag{I.16}$$

where we made use of Lemma A.1. In the last step we exploited the fact that $\|(1-q)G\|_2 \le C/t^2$, since $1 - q$ is regular, and the bound $\|bG\|_2 = \frac{1}{t}\|qaG\|_2 \le c/t$, which follows from the fact that G is in the domain of a. Thus we have shown that

$$[H_1(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\underline{q}, \underline{b})] R_{1,0}^4 = O(t^{-1}). \tag{I.17}$$

Now one concludes the proof using the method of almost analytic extensions as in the proof of Lemma F.3. ☐

J Auxiliary results for the proof of Theorem 5.3

In the present appendix we ask the reader to keep Corollary F.11 in mind. It ensures that the statements of results and manipulations in proofs are meaningful.

Lemma J.1. *Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_\mathbb{R}$, j_0, j_∞ be as specified in Definition 3.1 and s.t. $j_0^2 + j_\infty^2 = 1$, and let $q = (q_0, q_\infty) := (j_0^2, j_\infty^2)$. Then*

$$\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\big(H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)\check{\Gamma}(q^t) - \check{\Gamma}(q^t)H(\xi)\big)\chi = 2\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}[H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi), \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j}^t)]\check{\Gamma}(j^t)\chi + O(t^{-2}), \tag{J.1}$$

where $\underline{j}^t := \mathrm{diag}(j_0^t, j_\infty^t)$ is a propagation observable on $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ and we set $\chi := \chi(H(\xi))$ and $\chi^{\mathrm{ex}} := \chi(H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi))$.

Proof. We set $q := q^t$, $j := j^t$. We note that, by Proposition F.9,

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\big(H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi)\check{\Gamma}(q) - \check{\Gamma}(q)H(\xi)\big)\chi \\ &\qquad = \chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\big(-\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)) \cdot \mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}(q, [\underline{k}, q]^\circ) + \mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}(q, [\underline{\omega}, q]^\circ)\big)\chi + O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{J.2}$$

where $[\underline{\omega}, q]^\circ$ is the extension by continuity of the form $\underline{\omega}q - q\omega$, a priori defined on $(D(\omega) \oplus D(\omega)) \times D(\omega)$. The same remark goes for $[\underline{k}, q]^\circ$. On the other hand, Proposition F.5 gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}[H^{\mathrm{ex}}(\xi), \Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j})]\check{\Gamma}(j)\chi \\ &\qquad = \chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\big(- \nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)) \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j}, [\underline{k}, \underline{j}]^\circ) + \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j}, [\underline{\omega}, \underline{j}]^\circ)\big)\check{\Gamma}(j)\chi + O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{J.3}$$

where we made use of Lemma F.10 to observe $\check{\Gamma}(j)\chi = \chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\check{\Gamma}(j) + O(t^{-1})$.

In view of (J.2) and (J.3), to complete the proof of the lemma, it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)) \cdot \mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}(q, [\underline{k}, q]^\circ)\chi \\ &\qquad = 2\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(k)) \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j}, [\underline{k}, \underline{j}]^\circ)\check{\Gamma}(j)\chi + O(t^{-2})\end{aligned} \tag{J.4}$$

and

$$\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}(q, [\underline{\omega}, q]^\circ)\chi = 2\chi^{\mathrm{ex}}\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j}, [\underline{\omega}, \underline{j}]^\circ)\check{\Gamma}(j)\chi + O(t^{-2}). \tag{J.5}$$

Both relations are a consequence of the following fact: Let $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be s.t. all its derivatives of non-zero order are bounded. Let $\underline{g} := \mathrm{diag}(g, g)$ be the corresponding operator on $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}2\mathrm{d}\Gamma^{\mathrm{ex}}(\underline{j}, [\underline{g}, \underline{j}]^\circ)\check{\Gamma}(j)\chi = \mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}(\underline{j}j, 2[\underline{g}, \underline{j}]^\circ j)\chi &= \mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}(q, [\underline{g}, q]^\circ)\chi - \mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}(q, [[\underline{g}, \underline{j}]^\circ, j])\chi \\ &= \mathrm{d}\check{\Gamma}(q, [\underline{g}, q]^\circ)\chi + O(t^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{J.6}$$

where in the last step we made use of Lemma C.4. This concludes the proof. □

Lemma J.2. *Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let j_0 be as specified in Definition 3.1. Then there holds*

$$\chi[\Gamma(j_0^t), [H(\xi), \Gamma(j_0^t)]]\chi = O(t^{-2}), \tag{J.7}$$

where we set $\chi := \chi(H(\xi))$.

Proof. We set $j_0 := j_0^t$ and recall that, by Proposition F.5,

$$\begin{aligned}&[H(\xi), \Gamma(j_0)] \\ &\qquad = \big(-\nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma(k)) \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma(j_0, [k, j_0]^\circ) + \mathrm{d}\Gamma(j_0, [\omega, j_0]^\circ)\big) + O(t^{-2})(H_{1,0}(\xi) + 1)^3,\end{aligned} \tag{J.8}$$

in the sense of forms on $D(H_{1,0}(\xi)^3)$. In view of this relation and the fact that $\Gamma(j_0)\chi = \chi\Gamma(j_0) + O(t^{-1})$ (Lemma F.6), it is enough to check that

$$\chi[\Gamma(j_0), \nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma(k)) \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma(j_0, [k, j_0]^\circ)]\chi = O(t^{-2}) \tag{J.9}$$

and

$$\chi[\Gamma(j_0), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(j_0, [\omega, j_0]^\circ)]\chi = O(t^{-2}). \tag{J.10}$$

Relation (J.10) follows immediately from formula (A.5) which gives

$$\chi[\Gamma(j_0), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(j_0, [\omega, j_0]^\circ)]\chi = \chi\mathrm{d}\Gamma(j_0^2, [j_0, [\omega, j_0]^\circ])\chi = O(t^{-2}), \tag{J.11}$$

where in the last step we applied Lemma C.4. Formula (J.9) follows from

$$[\Gamma(j_0), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(j_0, [k, j_0]^\circ)]\chi = O(t^{-2}), \tag{J.12}$$

which is justified as (J.11), from the fact that $\mathrm{d}\Gamma(j_0, [k, j_0]^\circ)\chi = O(t^{-1})$ and from Lemma F.4, which gives

$$\chi[\Gamma(j_0), \nabla\Omega(\xi - \mathrm{d}\Gamma(k))] = O(t^{-1}). \tag{J.13}$$

This concludes the proof. ☐

K Negative spectrum of the conjugate operator

Lemma K.1. *Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\Psi \in D(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a))$. There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that the following holds true: For any pair of functions $q, q_R \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, with $0 \le q, q_R \le 1$, $\operatorname{supp} q \subset (-\infty, \varepsilon)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, $q(s) = q_R(s)$ for $s > -R$ and $q_R(s) = 0$ for $s < -R-1$; we have*

$$\sup_{t\ge 1} \|(\Gamma(q^t) - \Gamma(q_R^t))\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\chi(H(\xi))\Psi\| \le \frac{c}{R}. \tag{K.1}$$

Proof. Let us denote by $\mathbf{1}_{\{A\le -R\}}$ the spectral projection of a self-adjoint operator A on the interval $(-\infty, -R]$. We set $q := q^t$ and $q_R := q_R^t$ and recall that $\Psi \in D(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a))$. As a consequence $\chi(H(\xi))\Psi \in D(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a))$ for any $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$, since $H(\xi)$ is of class $C^1(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a))$ [47, Proposition 2.5].

Making use of the subsequent Lemma K.2, and abbreviating $\chi = \chi(H(\xi))$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|\big(\Gamma(q(a/t)) - \Gamma(q_R(a/t))\big)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\chi\Psi\big\| \\
&\quad = \big\|\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a/t)\le -R+\varepsilon N\}}\big(\Gamma(q) - \Gamma(q_R)\big)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\chi\Psi\big\| \\
&\quad = \big\|\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a/t)\le -R+\varepsilon N\}}\mathbf{1}_{\{N\le R\}}\big(\Gamma(q) - \Gamma(q_R)\big)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\chi\Psi\big\| \\
&\qquad + \big\|\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a/t)\le -R+\varepsilon N\}}\mathbf{1}_{\{N\ge R\}}\big(\Gamma(q) - \Gamma(q_R)\big)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\chi\Psi\big\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{K.2}$$

The first term on the r.h.s. above can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a/t)\le -R(1-\varepsilon)\}}\big(\Gamma(q) - \Gamma(q_R)\big)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\chi\Psi\big\| \\
&\quad = \big\|\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a/t)\le -R(1-\varepsilon)\}}\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a/t)^{-1}\big(\Gamma(q) - \Gamma(q_R)\big)\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a/t)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\chi\Psi\big\| \\
&\quad \le \frac{2}{(1-\varepsilon)Rt}\big\|\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\chi\Psi\big\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{K.3}$$

To estimate the expression on the r.h.s. of (K.3), we proceed similarly as in the proof of [25, Lemma 44]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\|\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\chi\Psi\big\| &\le \Big\|\int_0^t dt'\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t'H(\xi)}[H(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(a)]^\circ\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t'H(\xi)}\chi\Psi\Big\| + \big\|\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a)\chi\Psi\big\| \\
&\le tc'\big\|\Psi\| + \|\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a)\chi\Psi\big\|,
\end{aligned} \tag{K.4}$$

where we made use of the fact that $c' := \|[H(\xi), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(a)]^\circ\chi\| < \infty$, by Lemma I.2. Thus we obtain that

$$\|\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a/t)\le -R(1-\varepsilon)\}}\big(\Gamma(q) - \Gamma(q_R)\big)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\chi\Psi\| \le \frac{c''}{R}\|\Psi\| + \frac{c''}{Rt}\|\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a)\chi\Psi\|, \tag{K.5}$$

where the constant c'' does not depend on the choice of t, q and q_R. As for the second term on the r.h.s. of (K.2), it is bounded by

$$2\|\mathbf{1}_{\{N\geq R\}}\chi\Psi\| \leq 2\|\mathbf{1}_{\{N\geq R\}}(1+N)^{-1}\|\,\|(1+N)\chi\Psi\| \leq \frac{c'''}{R}\|\Psi\|, \tag{K.6}$$

where $c''' := 2\|(N+1)\chi(H(\xi))\| < \infty$. Altogether, we get that

$$\|\big(\Gamma(q(a/t)) - \Gamma(q_R(a/t))\big)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH(\xi)}\chi\Psi\| \leq \frac{c}{R}\|\Psi\| + \frac{c}{Rt}\|\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a)\chi\Psi\|, \tag{K.7}$$

where c is independent of t, q and q_R. This concludes the proof. ☐

Lemma K.2. *Let $q, q_R \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be s.t. $0 \leq q, q_R \leq 1$, $\operatorname{supp} q \subset (-\infty, \varepsilon)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, $q(s) = q_R(s)$ for $s > -R$ and $q_R(s) = 0$ for $s < -R-1$. Then, for $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$,*

$$\big(\Gamma(q(a/t)) - \Gamma(q_R(a/t))\big)\Psi = \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathrm{d}\Gamma(a/t)\leq -R+\varepsilon N\}}\big(\Gamma(q(a/t)) - \Gamma(q_R(a/t))\big)\Psi. \tag{K.8}$$

Proof. As all the operators involved commute with the number operator, it is enough to consider the problem in some n-particle subspace. We embed $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$ into the non-symmetrized tensor product of single-particle spaces $\mathfrak{h}^{\otimes n}$. We note that $a\otimes 1\otimes\cdots\otimes 1, 1\otimes a\otimes 1\otimes\cdots\otimes 1, \dots, 1\otimes\cdots\otimes 1\otimes a$ is a family of n commuting operators on $\mathfrak{h}^{\otimes n}$. We denote their joint spectral projection-valued measure by F. Thus the n-particle component of the vector on the l.h.s. of (K.8) is a sum of terms of the form

$$\int q(a_1/t)\dots q(a_{i-1}/t)\big(q(a_i/t) - q_R(a_i/t)\big)q_R(a_{i+1}/t)\dots q_R(a_n/t)dF(a)\Psi_n, \tag{K.9}$$

where Ψ_n is the n-particle component of Ψ. Now, by the assumed properties of q and q_R, we obtain that the above expression is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\int &\mathbf{1}\big((a_1/t+\cdots+a_n/t) \leq -R+\varepsilon n\big)\\ &\times q(a_1/t)\dots q(a_{i-1}/t)\big(q(a_i/t) - q_R(a_i/t)\big)q_R(a_{i+1}/t)\dots q_R(a_n/t)dF(a)\Psi_n.\end{aligned} \tag{K.10}$$

This proves (K.8) on $\mathfrak{h}^{\otimes n}$. Since both sides of (K.8) leave $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$ invariant, this completes the proof. ☐

L Structure of the isolated spectrum

We begin by recalling some analytic perturbation theory for isolated eigenvalues following Kato. Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ is an open set which intersects with the real line and $D \ni \kappa \to T(\kappa)$ is a holomorphic family of Type A in the sense of Kato. We assume that $T(\kappa)$ is a self-adjoint operator when $\kappa \in D\cap\mathbb{R}$. Suppose $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is an isolated eigenvalue of the self-adjoint operator $T(\kappa_0)$, with $\kappa_0 \in \mathbb{R}\cap D$. Denote by n_0 its multiplicity, which we assume to be finite. Let $e > 0$ be such that $\sigma(T(\kappa_0)) \cap J_{2e} = \{\lambda_0\}$, where $J_e := [\lambda_0 - e, \lambda_0 + e]$.

Abbreviate $\sigma_e(\kappa) := \sigma(T(\kappa)) \cap B_e(\lambda_0)$. There exists $r > 0$ such that $B_r(\kappa_0) \subset D$ and for all $\kappa \in B_r(\kappa_0)$ we have $\sigma_{2e}(\kappa) = \sigma_e(\kappa)$. Such an r exists because the set $\{(\kappa,\lambda) | \lambda \in \sigma(T(\kappa))\}$ is a closed subset of $D\times\mathbb{C}$.

Denote by C the circle in $\mathbb{C}$ encircling λ_0 with radius $3e/2$. Then $\sigma_e(\kappa)$ is enclosed by the circle for all $\kappa \in B_r(\kappa_0)$, and accounts for all the spectrum of $T(\kappa)$ inside (or on) the circle. We can thus compute the Riesz projection:

$$P(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_C dz \frac{1}{z - T(\kappa)}.$$

For real κ the bounded operator $P(\kappa)$ is the spectral projection onto the spectral subspace pertaining to the spectrum of $T(\kappa)$ inside the cluster $\sigma_e(\kappa)$. In particular $P(\kappa_0) = P_{\lambda_0}(\kappa_0)$, the orthogonal projection onto the n_0-dimensional eigenspace of $T(\kappa_0)$, pertaining to the eigenvalue λ_0. Due to norm-continuity of $\kappa \to P(\kappa)$ we conclude that the set $\sigma_e(\kappa)$ has cardinality at most n_0, corresponding to eigenvalues with (algebraic) multiplicities summing up to n_0.

Denote by $v_1^0, \dots, v_{n_0}^0$ an ONB for the range of $P_{\lambda_0}(\kappa_0)$. Then, possibly choosing r smaller, we may assume that $v_j(\kappa) = P(\kappa)v_j^0$ forms a linearly independent analytic set of vectors spanning $\mathrm{Ran}(P(\kappa))$. Using the Gram-Schmidt procedure we can pass to an analytic ONB $u_1(\kappa), \dots, u_{n_0}(\kappa)$ for $\mathrm{Ran}(P(\kappa))$. Such a basis defines an analytic family of unitary maps $\Pi_\kappa \colon \mathrm{Ran}(P(\kappa)) \to \mathbb{C}^{n_0}$, defining $\Pi_\kappa(u_j(\kappa)) = e_j$, the j'th standard basis vector. We can now construct an analytic family of $n_0 \times n_0$ matrices $A(\kappa) = \Pi_\kappa T(\kappa)\Pi_\kappa^*$. By construction $A(\kappa)$ is self-adjoint for $\kappa \in B_r(\kappa_0) \cap \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma(A(\kappa)) = \sigma_e(\kappa)$.

By a result of Kato [41, Theorem 6.1], we can identify a number $m_0 \le n_0$ of real analytic functions $\mu_j \colon B_r(\kappa_0) \cap \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, such that $\sigma_e(\kappa) = \{\mu_1(\kappa), \dots, \mu_{m_0}(\kappa)\}$. They all coincide with λ_0 if $\kappa = \kappa_0$ and are otherwise distinct.

The above discussion implies the following result on analytic continuation of shells through crossings.

Proposition L.1. *Let $\mathcal{X}_1$ be a level crossing, which is a sphere of radius $R > 0$. Let $(\mathcal{A}_m^-, S_m^-)$, $m \in J^-$ and $(\mathcal{A}_n^+, S_n^+)$, $n \in J^+$ be shells approaching this crossing from the inside and outside, respectively. Then, (after suitable identification of the index sets $J_\pm =: J$) one can find analytic functions*

$$\mathcal{A}_n^+ \cup \mathcal{X}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_n^- \ni \xi \to S_n(\xi), \tag{L.1}$$

such that $S_n(\xi) = S_n^\pm(\xi)$, $\xi \in \mathcal{A}_n^\pm$.

Proof. Put $T(\kappa) = H(\kappa, 0, \dots, 0)$, where we exploit the rotation invariance of the spectrum to conclude the proposition from the preceding discussion. □

Let $\mathcal{A}(r;R) := \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^\nu \,|\, r < |\xi| < R\}$ for some $0 \le r < R \le \infty$. Keeping in mind the possibility that the inner or outer boundary of a shell is a subset of the essential spectrum, we obtain from the above proposition that $\Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}} \backslash (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R})$ is a union of graphs of an at most countable family of rotation invariant analytic functions

$$\mathcal{A}(r_n; R_n) \ni \xi \to S_n(\xi), \tag{L.2}$$

where $n \in J$. (The zero total momentum fiber has been cut out since one may in principle have shells like graphs of the two functions $\xi \to (\xi \pm \xi_0)^2$ crossing analytically at $\xi = 0$ but not naturally occurring as a single-valued rotation invariant function.) These considerations enable a splitting of the isolated bound states $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{iso}} = E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}})\mathcal{H}$ into dressed particle subspaces:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{iso}} = \bigoplus_n \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{iso},n}, \qquad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{iso},n} = \overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathrm{iso},n}}, \tag{L.3}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathrm{iso},n} = \Big\{ I_{\mathrm{LLP}}^* \int^\oplus d\xi \, \Psi_\xi \,\Big|\, \Psi \in C_0^0(\mathcal{A}(r_n; R_n); \mathcal{F}),\ H(\xi)\Psi_\xi = S_n(\xi)\Psi_\xi \Big\}, \tag{L.4}$$

where by $\Psi \in C_0^0(\mathcal{A}(r_n; R_n); \mathcal{F})$ it is understood that $\xi \to \Psi_\xi \in \mathcal{F}$ is a continuous function, compactly supported in $\mathcal{A}(r_n; R_n)$.

After this preparation we state and prove the following corollary of Proposition L.1:

Corollary L.2. *Let ω be the boson dispersion relation. Then*

$$S_n^{(1)}(\xi;k) := S_n(\xi - k) + \omega(k), \tag{L.5}$$

defined for $k \in \xi - \mathcal{A}(r_n; R_n)$, is a constant function at most for ξ from some countable set.

Proof. Let us first assume that ω is not a constant function. Suppose that

$$\xi - \mathcal{A}(r_n; R_n) \ni k \to S_n^{(1)}(\xi;k) \tag{L.6}$$

is constant for $\xi = \xi_0$ and $\xi = \xi_0 + k'$ for some $k' \neq 0$. (For $\nu > 1$ it is enough to assume that there is one such k' to arrive at a contradiction. For $\nu = 1$ we assume that there are uncountably many). Then

$$\begin{aligned} k \in \xi_0 - \mathcal{A}(r_n; R_n): &\quad S_n(\xi_0 - k) + \omega(k) = c_{\xi_0}, \\ k \in \xi_0 + k' - \mathcal{A}(r_n; R_n): &\quad S_n(\xi_0 - k + k') + \omega(k) = c_{\xi_0 + k'}. \end{aligned} \tag{L.7}$$

But the latter condition means that $k - k' \in \xi_0 - \mathcal{A}(r_n; R_n)$, so we can substitute it into the first equality, obtaining the equations

$$k \in \xi_0 + k' - \mathcal{A}(r_n; R_n): \quad \begin{aligned} S_n(\xi_0 - k + k') + \omega(k - k') = c_{\xi_0}, \\ S_n(\xi_0 - k + k') + \omega(k) = c_{\xi_0 + k'}. \end{aligned} \tag{L.8}$$

Consequently,

$$\omega(k) - \omega(k - k') = c_{\xi_0 + k'} - c_{\xi_0}. \tag{L.9}$$

Since this equality holds on an open set, it extends to all $k \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$ by analyticity. Now let us assume that $\nu > 1$. Then, making use of rotation invariance of ω, we obtain for any $O \in \mathrm{O}(\nu)$

$$\omega(k) - \omega(k - Ok') = c_{\xi_0 + k'} - c_{\xi_0}. \tag{L.10}$$

By differentiating this relation w.r.t. one-parameter families of rotations, we obtain $\nabla\omega(k) \cdot Lk' = 0$, for any element L of the Lie algebra of the group of rotations. Recalling that such L are antisymmetric matrices and choosing coordinates so that $k' = (c, 0, \dots, 0)$, we obtain that $\partial_i \omega(k) = 0$ for all $2 \leq i \leq \nu$. By rotation invariance, this is only possible if ω is constant, which is a contradiction.

Let us now go back to formula (L.9) and assume that $\nu = 1$. By differentiating this relation w.r.t. k, we obtain that

$$\nabla\omega(k) = \nabla\omega(k - k') \tag{L.11}$$

i.e. $\nabla\omega$ is a continuous function which has uncountably many periods k'. But this is only possible if $\nabla\omega$ is a constant function [9]. This implies that $\omega(k) = c_1 k + c_2$. We note that $c_1 = 0$ by reflection invariance. Thus we obtain again that ω is a constant function contradicting our assumption.

Finally, let us suppose that ω is a constant function. Then $S_n^{(1)}(\xi;k) = S_n(\xi - k) + \omega(k)$ can only be constant if S_n is constant. But this is excluded by the following property

$$\lim_{|\xi| \to \infty} (\Sigma_0^{(1)}(\xi) - \Sigma_0(\xi)) = 0, \tag{L.12}$$

proven in [46, Theorem 2.4], and the fact that for a constant dispersion relation

$$\Sigma_0^{(1)}(\xi) = \inf_{k \in \mathbb{R}^\nu} (\Sigma_0(\xi - k) + \omega(k)) = \inf_{k \in \mathbb{R}^\nu} \Sigma_0(k) + m = \text{const.} \tag{L.13}$$

In the above reasoning we made use of Proposition L.1 to show that any shell $(\mathcal{A}, S)$ s.t. S is constant extends to a constant shell S_n on $\mathcal{A}(0, \infty)$. $\square$

M Structure of the spectrum of the extended Hamiltonian

For a Borel set $O \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^\nu$ we recall the notion of O-compatibility from Subsection 2.4. A state $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{bnd}}$ and a boson wave packet $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ are called O-compatible if there exists a Borel subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{\nu+1}$ such that: $\Psi \in E(S)\mathcal{H}$ and for any k in the essential support of h and $(\xi, \mu) \in S$, we have $(\xi + k, \mu + \omega(k)) \in O$. As shown in Lemma M.1 below, this property ensures that the simple tensor $\Psi \otimes a^*(h)|0\rangle$ is an element of $E^{(1)}(O)(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{bnd}} \otimes \mathfrak{h})$.

Recall that $E^{(1)}$ denotes the joint spectral resolution for the pair $P^{(1)}, H^{(1)}$, cf. (2.22), as well as the notation $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{iso}} = E(\Sigma_{\mathrm{iso}})\mathcal{H}$ for the subspace of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{bnd}}$, consisting of isolated bound states (2.48). Finally, we remind the reader of the notation $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\nu+1}$ for the set of points (ξ, λ), with $\lambda < \Sigma_0^{(1)}(\xi)$, i.e. the energy-momentum set below the two-boson threshold. For the purpose of this appendix we write $\mathcal{C}(O) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{bnd}} \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ for the set of O-compatible pairs (Ψ, h). The following lemma characterizes the incoming and outgoing states below the two-boson threshold. It is similar to [24, Lemma 30].

Lemma M.1. *Let $O \subset \mathcal{R}$ be an open set. Then*

$$E^{\mathrm{ex}}(O)\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{ex}} = E(O)\mathcal{H} \oplus E^{(1)}(O)(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{iso}} \otimes \mathfrak{h}), \tag{M.1}$$

$$E^{(1)}(O)(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{iso}} \otimes \mathfrak{h}) = \overline{\mathrm{Span}\big\{\Psi \otimes a^*(h)|0\rangle \,\big|\, (\Psi, h) \in \mathcal{C}(O)\big\}}, \tag{M.2}$$

$$E^{(1)}(O)(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{iso}} \otimes \mathfrak{h}. \tag{M.3}$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{1}_O$ be the characteristic function of O. Making use of the decomposition (2.26), we compute

$$\mathbf{1}_O\big(P^{\mathrm{ex}}, H^{\mathrm{ex}}\big) = \mathbf{1}_O(P, H) \oplus \Big(\bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_O\big(P^{(\ell)}, H^{(\ell)}\big)\Big). \tag{M.4}$$

Since O is located below the 2-boson threshold $\Sigma_0^{(2)}$, the contributions from asymptotic particle sectors, with $\ell \geq 2$, are zero. The range of the 0'th summand is $E(O)\mathcal{H}$ and the range of the 1'st summand is $E^{(1)}(O)(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathfrak{h})$. We are thus reduced to establishing the identity (M.2) and the inclusion (M.3).

Abbreviate

$$V := \overline{\mathrm{Span}\big\{\Psi \otimes a^*(h)|0\rangle \,\big|\, (\Psi, h) \in \mathcal{C}(O)\big\}}. \tag{M.5}$$

Clearly, $V \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{iso}} \otimes \mathfrak{h}$. In order to prove (M.2) we need to verify $E^{(1)}(O)(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathfrak{h}) = V$.

In the following we will make repeated use of the direct integral representation

$$I_{\mathrm{LLP}}^{(1)}(\Psi \otimes a^*(h)|0\rangle) = \int^{\oplus} d\xi \int^{\oplus} dk\, h(k)\Psi_{\xi - k} \tag{M.6}$$

for simple tensors, with $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. This decomposition is the same as the one in Subsection 2.2, cf. (2.24), (2.27) and (2.28). If $\Psi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathrm{iso},n}$, cf. (L.4), we can in particular compute:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathrm{LLP}}^{(1)} E^{(1)}(O)(\Psi \otimes a^*(h)|0\rangle) &= \int^{\oplus} d\xi \int^{\oplus} dk\, h(k)\mathbf{1}_O(\xi, H^{(1)}(\xi; k))\Psi_{\xi - k} \\ &= \int^{\oplus} d\xi \int^{\oplus} dk\, h(k)\mathbf{1}_O(\xi, S_n(\xi - k) + \omega(k))\Psi_{\xi - k}. \end{aligned} \tag{M.7}$$

If Ψ and h are O-compatible we see that for $k \in \mathrm{supp}\, h$ and ξ such that $\Psi_{\xi - k} \neq 0$, we must have $(\xi, S_n(\xi - k) + \omega(k)) \in O$ and hence, by (L.3) and a density argument, we have established that $V \subset E^{(1)}(O)(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathfrak{h})$.

We proceed to show that $E^{(1)}(O)(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathfrak{h})\subset\mathcal{H}_{\rm iso}\otimes\mathfrak{h}$. For this it suffices to argue that for any Borel set $U\subset\{(\xi,\lambda)\,|\,\lambda\geq\Sigma_0^{(1)}(\xi)\}$ and state $\varphi=E^{(1)}(O)(\Psi\otimes a^*(h)|0\rangle)$, with $\Psi\in\mathcal{H}$ and $h\in\mathfrak{h}$, we must have $(E(U)\otimes 1)\varphi=0$. For this we compute

$$\begin{aligned}(E(U)\otimes 1)\varphi &= (E(U)\otimes 1)I_{\rm LLP}^{(1)*}\int^{\oplus}d\xi\int^{\oplus}dk\,h(k)\mathbf{1}_O(\xi,H^{(1)}(\xi;k))\Psi_{\xi-k}\\ &= I_{\rm LLP}^{(1)*}\int^{\oplus}d\xi\int^{\oplus}dk\,h(k)\mathbf{1}_U(\xi-k,H(\xi-k))\mathbf{1}_O(\xi,H(\xi-k)+\omega(k))\Psi_{\xi-k}.\end{aligned}\qquad\text{(M.8)}$$

For a point $(\xi-k,\mu)$ to be in U we must have $\mu\geq\Sigma_0^{(1)}(\xi-k)$. Hence, $\mu+\omega(k)\geq\Sigma_0^{(1)}(\xi-k)+\omega(k)\geq\Sigma^{(2)}(\xi)$. Conversely, for a point $(\xi,\mu+\omega(k))$ to be in O, we must have $\mu+\omega(k)<\Sigma^{(2)}(\xi)$. Since these two situations cannot occur simultaneously we conclude that $\mathbf{1}_U(\xi-k,H(\xi-k))\mathbf{1}_O(\xi,H(\xi-k)+\omega(k))=0$. This concludes the proof of (M.3).

Consider a state of the form $\chi(P^{(1)},H^{(1)})(\Psi\otimes a^*(h)|0\rangle)$, $\chi\in C_0^\infty(O)_{\mathbb{R}}$, $\Psi\in\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{{\rm iso},n}$, and $h\in\mathfrak{h}$ with compact essential support. To conclude the proof it suffices to show that such states can be approximated by elements from V. Note that by (L.3), the spectral theorem, and the inclusion $E^{(1)}(O)(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathfrak{h})\subset\mathcal{H}_{\rm iso}\otimes\mathfrak{h}$ just proved: any state in $E^{(1)}(O)(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathfrak{h})$ can be approximated using states of the considered form.

Put $r:=d(\operatorname{supp}\chi,\mathbb{R}^{\nu+1}\backslash O)>0$. Let $\epsilon>0$ be given. We may assume $2\epsilon<r$. Using that χ is uniformly continuous we get a δ', such that $|\chi(\xi',\mu')-\chi(\xi'',\mu'')|\leq\epsilon$, for $(\xi',\mu'),(\xi'',\mu'')$ with $|\mu'-\mu''|<\delta'$ and $|\xi'-\xi''|<\delta'$. We may take $\delta'<\epsilon$. Let $R>0$ be so large that $\operatorname{supp}h\subset\{k\in\mathbb{R}^\nu\,|\,|k|\leq R\}$. Using that ω is also uniformly continuous on the ball of radius R, we get a $0<\delta\leq\delta'$ such that $|\omega(k')-\omega(k'')|<\delta'$ if $|k'-k''|<\delta$.

Cover $B_R(0)$ with finitely many pairwise disjoint Borel sets B_ℓ such that $B_\ell\subset B_\delta(k_\ell)$, $\ell=1,\dots,L$, for some collection of momenta $k_1,\dots,k_L$. Write

$$\begin{aligned}I_{\rm LLP}^{(1)}\chi(P^{(1)},H^{(1)})(\Psi\otimes a^*(h)|0\rangle) &= \int^{\oplus}d\xi\int^{\oplus}dk\,h(k)\chi(\xi,S_n(\xi-k)+\omega(k))\Psi_{\xi-k}\\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{L}\int^{\oplus}d\xi\int^{\oplus}dk\,h(k)\mathbf{1}_{B_\ell}(k)\chi(\xi,S_n(\xi-k)+\omega(k))\Psi_{\xi-k}.\end{aligned}\qquad\text{(M.9)}$$

For $k\in B_\delta(k_\ell)$ we have $|\chi(\xi;S_n(\xi-k)+\omega(k))-\chi(\xi-k+k_\ell;S_n(\xi-k)+\omega(k_\ell))|<\epsilon$. Define

$$\psi_\ell:=(\chi_\ell(P,H)\Psi)\otimes a^*(\mathbf{1}_{B_\ell}h)|0\rangle,\qquad\text{(M.10)}$$

with $\chi_\ell(\xi,\lambda):=\chi(\xi+k_\ell,\lambda+\omega(k_\ell))$. Then $K_\ell:=\operatorname{supp}\chi_\ell=\operatorname{supp}\chi-(k_\ell,\omega(k_\ell))$. Note that $K_\ell\cap\Sigma\subset\Sigma_{\rm iso}$, and hence; $\psi_\ell\in\mathcal{H}_{\rm iso}\otimes\mathfrak{h}$.

Estimate

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\|I_{\rm LLP}^{(1)}\psi_\ell-\int^{\oplus}d\xi\int^{\oplus}dk\,h(k)\mathbf{1}_{B_\ell}(k)\chi(\xi,S_n(\xi-k)+\omega(k))\Psi_{\xi-k}\Big\|^2\\ &\quad=\int d\xi\int dk\,\mathbf{1}_{B_\ell}(k)|h(k)|^2\big|\chi_\ell(\xi-k,S_n(\xi-k))-\chi(\xi,S_n(\xi-k)+\omega(k))\big|^2\|\Psi_{\xi-k}\|^2\\ &\quad\leq\epsilon^2\|\Psi\|^2\int dk\,\mathbf{1}_{B_\ell}(k)|h(k)|^2.\end{aligned}\qquad\text{(M.11)}$$

Due to the fact that $B_\ell\cap B_{\ell'}=\emptyset$, summing up over ℓ yields

$$\big\|\chi(P^{(1)},H^{(1)})(\Psi\otimes a^*(h)|0\rangle)-\sum_{\ell=1}^{L}\psi_\ell\big\|\leq\epsilon\|h\|\|\Psi\|.\qquad\text{(M.12)}$$

It remains to verify that $\chi_\ell(P,H)\Psi$ and $\mathbf{1}_{B_\ell}h$ are O-compatible, such that we in fact have $\psi_\ell \in V$. Let $k \in B_\ell \subset B_\delta(k_\ell)$ and $(\xi,\mu) \in K_\ell$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(\xi+k,\mu+\omega(k)) &= (\xi+k_\ell,\mu+\omega(k_\ell)) + (k-k_\ell,\omega(k)-\omega(k_\ell)) \\ &\in \operatorname{supp}\chi + (k-k_\ell,\omega(k)-\omega(k_\ell)).\end{aligned} \tag{M.13}$$

By the choice of δ we conclude that $|(k-k_\ell,\omega(k)-\omega(k_\ell))| < \epsilon < r$ and hence we have $(\xi+k,\mu+\omega(k)) \in O$. This means that $\chi_\ell(P,H)\Psi$ and $\mathbf{1}_{B_\ell}h$ are O-compatible, which concludes the proof. □

Lemma M.2. *Let*

$$\Sigma^{(1)}_{\mathrm{pp}} := \big\{(\xi,\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu+1} \,\big|\, \lambda \in \sigma_{\mathrm{pp}}(H^{(1)}(\xi))\big\}. \tag{M.14}$$

Then $E^{(1)}(\Sigma^{(1)}_{\mathrm{pp}} \cap \mathcal{E}^{(1)}) = 0$, hence the set $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^\nu \,|\, \sigma_{\mathrm{pp}}(H^{(1)}(\xi)) \cap \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(\xi) \neq \emptyset\}$ has zero Lebesgue measure.

Proof. Let us consider a vector $\Psi \in E^{(1)}(O)(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{(1)})$, where $O \subset \mathcal{E}^{(1)}$ is some Borel subset. Let $\mathbf{1}_O$ be the characteristic function of O. Then, making use of the expansion (2.26), we can write

$$\Psi = I^{(1)*}_{\mathrm{LLP}} \int^{\oplus} d\xi \int^{\oplus} dk\, \mathbf{1}_O(\xi, H^{(1)}(\xi;k))\Psi_{\xi-k}. \tag{M.15}$$

Now suppose that $\Psi \in E^{(1)}(\Sigma^{(1)}_{\mathrm{pp}})(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{(1)})$. We note that $\Sigma^{(1)}_{\mathrm{pp}}(\xi) \cap \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(\xi)$ can be at most countable due to the separability of $\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{(1)}$. Then, by [51, Théorème 21], $\Sigma^{(1)}_{\mathrm{pp}} \cap \mathcal{E}^{(1)}$ is a countable union of graphs of Borel functions from Borel subsets of $\mathbb{R}^\nu$ to $\mathbb{R}$. Thus, without loss of generality, we can assume that there exists a Borel function $p\colon N \to \mathbb{R}$, defined on a Borel set N, s.t. $\Psi \in \mathbf{1}_N(P^{(1)})(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{(1)})$ and

$$H^{(1)}\Psi = p(P^{(1)})\Psi. \tag{M.16}$$

Suppose, by contradiction, that $\Psi \neq 0$ and satisfies (M.16). Since $\mathbf{1}_O$ is supported below the two-boson threshold, it is easy to see that

$$\Psi_\xi \in E_\xi((-\infty, \Sigma^{(1)}_0(\xi)))\mathcal{F}, \tag{M.17}$$

where E_ξ is the spectral measure of $H(\xi)$. Consequently, $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{iso}} \otimes \mathfrak{h}$. Hence, there exists a shell $(\mathcal{A},S)$ in Σ_{iso} s.t.

$$\Psi' := (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(P,H)\otimes 1)\Psi \neq 0, \tag{M.18}$$

where $\mathcal{G}_S$ is the graph of S. Since $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{G}_S}(P,H)\otimes 1$ commutes with $H^{(1)}$, $P^{(1)}$, we obtain that Ψ' also satisfies (M.16). Thus we obtain

$$\int_N d\xi \int dk\, \big(S(\xi-k)+\omega(k)-p(\xi)\big)^2 \|\Psi'_{\xi-k}\|^2 = 0. \tag{M.19}$$

Hence the set of ξ for which

$$\int dk\, \big(S(\xi-k)+\omega(k)-p(\xi)\big)^2 \|\Psi'_{\xi-k}\|^2 \neq 0 \tag{M.20}$$

has zero Lebesgue measure. Conversely, the set of ξ for which the real analytic function $k \to S(\xi-k)+\omega(k)$ is constant also has zero Lebesgue measure by Corollary L.2. Since $k \to \Psi'_{\xi-k}$ has essential support of positive Lebesgue measure, we conclude that the above integral can only vanish for a set of ξ's having zero Lebesgue measure. This is a contradiction, which concludes the proof. □

References

[1] T. Adachi and H. Tamura, *Asymptotic completeness for long-range many-particle systems with Stark effect. II*, Commun. Math. Phys., **174** (1996), 537–559.

[2] Z. Ammari, *Asymptotic completeness for a renormalized nonrelativistic Hamiltonian in quantum field theory: the Nelson model*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom., **3** (2000), 217–285.

[3] N. Angelescu, R. A. Minlos and V. A. Zagrebnov, *Lower spectral branches of a particle coupled to a Bose field*, Rev. Math. Phys., **17** (2005), 1111–1142.

[4] A. Arai. *On a model of a harmonic oscillator coupled to a quantized massless scalar field I,II*, J. Math. Phys. **22**, (1981) 2539–2548, 2549–2552.

[5] V. Bach, J. Fröhlich and I. M. Sigal, *Mathematical theory of nonrelativistic matter and radiation*, Lett. Math. Phys., **34** (1995), 183–201.

[6] ———, *Quantum electrodynamics of confined non-relativistic particles*, Adv. Math., **137** (1998), 299–395.

[7] V. Bach, J. Fröhlich, I. M. Sigal and A. Soffer, *Positive commutators and the spectrum of Pauli-Fierz Hamiltonian of atoms and molecules*, Commun. Math. Phys., **207** (1999), 557–587.

[8] M. Combescure and F. Dunlop, *Three-body asymptotic completeness for $P(\phi)_2$ models*, Commun. Math. Phys., **85** (1982), 381–418.

[9] R. H. Cox and L. C. Kurtz, *Real periodic functions*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **73** (1966), 761–762.

[10] W. De Roeck and A. Kupiainen, *Approach to ground state and time-independent photon bound for massless spin-boson models*, To appear in Ann. Henri Poincaré.

[11] J. Dereziński, *Asymptotic completeness of long-range N-body quantum systems*, Ann. of Math. (2), **138** (1993), 427–476.

[12] J. Dereziński and C. Gérard, *Scattering theory of classical and quantum N-particle systems*, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.

[13] ———, *Asymptotic completeness in quantum field theory. Massive Pauli-Fierz Hamiltonians*, Rev. Math. Phys., **11** (1999), 383–450.

[14] ———, *Spectral and scattering theory of spatially cut-off $P(\phi)_2$ Hamiltonians*, Commun. Math. Phys., **213** (2000), 39–125.

[15] J. Dereziński, K. Meissner and M. Napiórkowski, *On the energy-momentum spectrum of homogeneous Fermi gas*, To appear in Ann. Henri Poincaré.

[16] W. Dybalski, *Haag-Ruelle scattering theory in presence of massless particles*, Lett. Math. Phys., **72** (2005), 27–38.

[17] W. Dybalski and Y. Tanimoto, *Asymptotic completeness in a class of massless relativistic quantum field theories*, Commun. Math. Phys., **305** (2011), 427–440.

[18] W. Dybalski and C. Gérard. In preparation.

[19] J. Faupin, J. S. Møller and E. Skibsted, *Regularity of bound states*, Rev. Math. Phys., **23** (2011), 453–530.

[20] J. Faupin and I. M. Sigal, *On quantum Huygens principle and Rayleigh scattering*, ArXiv: 1202.6151v1.

[21] ———, *Comment on the photon number bound and Rayleigh scattering*, ArXiv:1207.4735v2.

[22] H. Fröhlich, *Electrons in lattice fields*, Adv. in Phys., **3** (1954), 325–362.

[23] J. Fröhlich, *Existence of dressed one-electron states in a class of persistent models*, Fortschr. Phys. **22** (1974), 159–198.

[24] J. Fröhlich, M. Griesemer and B. Schlein, *Asymptotic completeness for Rayleigh scattering*, Ann. Henri Poincaré, **3** (2002), 107–170.

[25] ———, *Asymptotic completeness for Compton scattering*, Commun. Math. Phys., **252** (2004), 415–476.

[26] ———, *Rayleigh scattering at atoms with dynamical nuclei*, Commun. Math. Phys., **271** (2007), 387–430.

[27] J. Fröhlich, M. Griesemer and I. M. Sigal, *Spectral theory for the standard model of non-relativistic QED*, Commun. Math. Phys., **283** (2008), 613–646.

[28] V. Georgescu, C. Gérard and J. S. Møller, *Spectral theory of massless Pauli-Fierz models*, Commun. Math. Phys. **249** (2004), 29–78.

[29] C. Gérard, *Mourre estmate for regular dispersive systems*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Phys. Théo., **54** (1991), 59–88.

[30] ———, *On the scattering theory of massless Nelson models*, Rev. Math. Phys., **14** (2002), 1165–1280.

[31] C. Gérard and I. Łaba, *Scattering theory for N-particle systems in constant magnetic fields*, Duke Math. J., **76** (1994), 433–465.

[32] ———, *Multiparticle quantum scattering in constant magnetic fields*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **90**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.

[33] C. Gérard, J. S. Møller and M. G. Rasmussen, *Asymptotic completeness in quantum field theory: Translation invariant Nelson type models restricted to the vacuum and one-particle sectors*, Lett. Math. Phys., **95** (2011), 109–134.

[34] G. M. Graf, *Asymptotic completeness for N-body short-range quantum systems: a new proof*, Commun. Math. Phys., **132** (1990), 73–101.

[35] G. M. Graf and D. Schenker, 2-*Magnon scattering in the Heisenberg model*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Phys. Théo., **67** (1997), 91–107.

[36] D. Hasler and I. Herbst, *Ground state properties in non-relativistic QED*, Mathematical Results in Quantum Physics (P. Exner Ed.), Proceedings of the QMath 11 Conference, World Scientific (2010).

[37] I. Herbst, J. S. Møller and E. Skibsted, *Asymptotic completeness for N-body Stark Hamiltonians*, Commun. Math. Phys., **174** (1996), 509–535.

[38] R. Høegh-Krohn, *Asymptotic fields in some models of quantum field theory. I*, J. Math. Phys., **9** (1968), 2075-2079.

[39] M. Hübner and H. Spohn, *Spectral properties of the spin-boson Hamiltonian*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, **62** (1995), 289–323.

[40] ———, *Radiative decay: nonperturbative approaches*, Rev. Math. Phys., **7** (1995), 363–387.

[41] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, (second edition) Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 132. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.

[42] G. Lechner, *Construction of quantum field theories with factorizing S-matrices*, Commun. Math. Phys., **277** (2008), 821–860.

[43] T. D. Lee, F. E. Low, and D. Pines, *The motion of slow electrons in a polar crystal*, Phys. Rev., **90** (1953), 297–302.

[44] E. H. Lieb and M. Loss, *Existence of atoms and molecules in non-relativistic quantum electrodynamics*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys., **7** (2003), 667–710.

[45] J. S. Møller, *The translation invariant massive Nelson model: I. The bottom of the spectrum*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **6** (2005), 1091–1135.

[46] ———, *The Polaron revisited*, Rev. Math. Phys., **18** (2006), 485–517.

[47] J. S. Møller and M .G. Rasmussen, *The translation invariant massive Nelson model: II. The continuous spectrum below the two-boson threshold.* To appear in Ann. Henri Poincaré.

[48] E. Mourre, *Absence of singular continuous spectrum for certain self-adjoint operators*, Commun. Math. Phys., **78** (1981), 391–408.

[49] E. Nelson, *Interaction of nonrelativistic particles with a quantized scalar field*, J. Math. Phys., **5** (1964), 1190–1197.

[50] M. G. Rasmussen, *A Taylor-like expansion of a commutator with a function of self-adjoint, pairwise commuting operators*, Math. Scand., **111** (2012), 107–117.

[51] C. A. Rogers et al., *Analytic Sets* Academic Press, 1980.

[52] I. M. Sigal, and A. Soffer, *The N-particle scattering problem: asymptotic completeness for short-range systems*, Ann. of Math. (2), **126** (1987), 35–108.

[53] E. Skibsted, *Asymptotic completeness for particles in combined constant electric and magnetic fields. II*, Duke Math. J., **89** (1997), 307–350.

[54] T. Spencer and F. Zirilli, *Scattering states and bound states in $\lambda P(\phi)_2$*, Commun. Math. Phys., **49** (1976), 1–16.

[55] H. Spohn, *The polaron at large total momentum*, J. Phys. A, **21** (1988), 1199–1211.

[56] ———, *Asymptotic completeness for Rayleigh scattering*, J. Math. Phys., **38** (1997), 2281–2296 .

[57] D. R. Yafaev, *Mathematical scattering theory. General theory*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1992.